# BSIM4v4.7 MOSFET Model

## -User's Manual

Tanvir Hasan Morshed, Darsen D. Lu, Wenwei (Morgan) Yang, Mohan V. Dunga, Xuemei (Jane) Xi, Jin He,

Weidong Liu, Kanyu, M. Cao, Xiaodong Jin, Jeff J. Ou, Mansun Chan,

Ali M. Niknejad, Chenming Hu

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

#### **Developers:**

#### **BSIM4v4.7 Developers:**

Professor Chenming Hu (**project director**), UC Berkeley Professor Ali M. Niknejad (**project director**), UC Berkeley Tanvir Morshed, UC Berkeley Darsen Lu, UC Berkeley

#### **Developers of BSIM4 Previous Versions:**

Dr. Weidong Liu, Synopsys Dr. Xiaodong Jin, Marvell Dr. Kanyu (Mark) Cao, UC Berkeley Dr. Jeff J. Ou, Intel Dr. Jin He, UC Berkeley Dr. Xuemei (Jane) Xi, UC Berkeley Dr. Wenwei Yang Dr. Mohan V. Dunga, UC Berkeley Professor Ali M. Niknejad, UC Berkeley Professor Chenming Hu, UC Berkeley

#### Web Sites:

BSIM4 web site with BSIM source code and documents: http://www-device.eecs.berkeley.edu/~bsim3/bsim4.html

Compact Model Council: http://www.eigroup.org/CMC/default.htm

#### **Technical Support:**

Yogesh Singh Chauhan: yogesh@eecs.berkeley.edu

## Acknowledgement:

The development of BSIM4.7 benefited from the input of many BSIM users, especially the Compact Model Council (CMC) member companies. The developers would like to thank Keith Green at TI, Jung-Suk Goo and Wenwei Yang at Globalfoundries, Xingming Liu and Jushan Xie at Cadence, Joddy Wang, Robin Tan, Jane Xi and Weidong Liu at Synopsys, Ben Gu at Freescale, James Ma at ProPlus Design, Joe Watts at IBM, Geoffrey Coram at Analog Device, Wei-hung Chen at UC Berkeley, for their valuable assistance in identifying the desirable modifications and testing of the new model.

The BSIM project is partially supported by SRC and CMC.

## Contents

Chapter 1: Effective Oxide Thickness, Channel Length and Channel Width	1
1.1 Gate Dielectric Model	3
1.2 Poly-Silicon Gate Depletion	4
Chapter 2: Threshold Voltage Model	10
2.1 Long-Channel Model With Uniform Doping	10
2.2 Non-Uniform Vertical Doping	11
2.3 Non-Uniform Lateral Doping: Pocket (Halo) Implant	13
2.4 Short-Channel and DIBL Effects	14
2.5 Narrow-Width Effect	17
Chapter 3: Channel Charge and Subthreshold Swing Models	20
3.1 Channel Charge Model	20
3.2 Subthreshold Swing <i>n</i>	23
Chapter 4: Gate Direct Tunneling Current Model	25
4.1 Model Selectors	26
4.2 Voltage Across Oxide V <sub>ox</sub>	26
4.3 Equations for Tunneling Currents	27
4.3.1 Gate-to-Substrate Current ( $I_{gb} = I_{gbacc} + I_{gbinv}$ )	27
4.3.2 Gate-to-Channel Current ( $I_{gc0}$ ) and Gate-to-S/D ( $I_{gs}$ and $I_{gd}$ )	28
4.3.3. Partition of $I_{gc}$	29
Chapter 5: Drain Current Model	31
5.1 Bulk Charge Effect	31
5.2 Unified Mobility Model	31
5.3 Asymmetric and Bias-Dependent Source/ Drain Resistance Model	34
5.4 Drain Current for Triode Region	
5.5 Velocity Saturation	37
5.6 Saturation Voltage V <sub>dsat</sub>	38
5.6.1 Intrinsic case	38
5.6.2 Extrinsic Case	38
5.6.3V <sub>dseff</sub> Formulation	39
5.7 Saturation-Region Output Conductance Model	39

5.7.1 Channel Length Modulation (CLM)	41
5.7.2 Drain-Induced Barrier Lowering (DIBL)	41
5.7.3 Substrate Current Induced Body Effect (SCBE)	42
5.7.4 Drain-Induced Threshold Shift (DITS) by Pocket Implant	44
5.8 Single-Equation Channel Current Model	44
5.9 New Current Saturation Mechanisms: Velocity Overshoot and Source End Vel	ocity Limit
Model	45
5.9.1 Velocity Overshoot	45
5.9.2 Source End Velocity Limit Model	45
Chapter 6: Body Current Models	47
6.1 I <sub>ii</sub> Model	47
6.2 I <sub>GIDL</sub> and I <sub>GISL</sub> Model	47
Chapter 7: Capacitance Model	50
7.1 General Description	50
7.2 Methodology for Intrinsic Capacitance Modeling	51
7.2.1 Basic Formulation	51
7.2.2 Short Channel Model	53
7.2.3 Single Equation Formulation	55
7.2.4.Charge partitioning	56
7.3 Charge-Thickness Capacitance Model (CTM)	57
7.4 Intrinsic Capacitance Model Equations	61
7.4.1 <i>capMod</i> = 0	61
7.4.2 <i>capMod</i> = 1	63
7.5 Fringing/Overlap Capacitance Models	67
7.5.1 Fringing capacitance model	67
7.5.2 Overlap capacitance model	68
Chapter 8: New Material Models	71
8.1 Model Selector	71
8.2 Non-Silicon Channel	71
8.3 Non-SiO <sub>2</sub> Gate insulator	72
8.4 Non-Poly Silicon Gate Dielectric	73
Chapter 9: High-Speed/RF Models	75

9.1 Charge-Deficit Non-Quasi-Static (NQS) Model	75
9.1.1 Transient Model	76
9.1.2 AC Model	78
9.2 Gate Electrode Electrode and Intrinsic-Input Resistance (IIR) Model	79
9.2.1 General Description	79
9.2.2 Model Option and Schematic	79
9.3 Substrate Resistance Network	81
9.3.1 General Description	81
9.3.2 Model Selector and Topology	81
Chapter 10: Noise Modeling	85
10.1 Flicker Noise Models	85
10.1.1 General Description	85
10.1.2 Equations	85
10.2 Channel Thermal Noise	87
10.3 Other Noise Sources Modeled	91
Chapter 11: Asymmetric MOS Junction Diode Models	93
11.1 Junction Diode IV Model	93
11.1.1 Source/Body Junction Diode	93
11.1.2 Drain/Body Junction Diode	95
11.1.3 Total Junction Source/Drain Diode Including Tunneling	96
11.2 Junction Diode CV Model	97
11.2.1 Source/Body Junction Diode	97
11.2.2 Drain/Body Junction Diode	98
Chapter 12: Layout-Dependent Parasitics Models	100
12.1 Geometry Definition	100
12.2 Model Formulation and Options	101
12.2.1 Effective Junction Perimeter and Area	101
12.2.2 Source/Drain Diffusion Resistance	102
12.2.3 Gate Electrode Resistance	103
12.2.4 Option for Source/Drain Connections	103
12.2.5 Option for Source/Drain Contacts	104

Chapter 13: Temperature Dependence Model	105
13.1 Temperature Dependence of Threshold	105
13.2 Temperature Dependence of Mobility	
13.3 Temperature Dependence of Saturation Velocity	
13.4 Temperature Dependence of LDD	107
13.5 Temperature Dependence of Junction	108
13.6 Temperature Dependence of Junction Diode CV	111
13.7 Temperature Dependences of $E_g$ and $n_i$	112
Chapter 14: Stress Effect Model	114
14.1 Stress Effect Model Development	114
14.1.1 Mobility-related Equations	115
14.1.2 Vth-related Equations	117
14.1.3 Multiple Finger Device	118
14.2 Effective SA and SB for Irregular LOD	118
Chapter 15: Well Proximity Effect Model	120
15.1 Well Proximity Effect Model	121
Chapter 16: Parameter Extraction Methodology	122
16.1 Optimization strategy	122
16.2 Extraction Strategy	123
16.3 Extraction Procedure	123
16.3.1 Extraction Requirements	123
16.3.2 Optimization	125
16.3.3 Extraction Routine	127
Appendix A: Complete Parameter List	135
A.1 BSIM4.7.0 Model Selectors/Controller	135
A.2 Process Parameters	138
A.3 Basic Model Parameters	
A.4 Parameters for Asymmetric and Bias-Dependent R _ Model	146
A.5 Impact Ionization Current Model Parameters	
A.6 Gate-Induced Drain Leakage Model Parameters	
A.7 Gate Dielectric Tunneling Current Model Parameters	
0	

A.8 Charge and Capacitance Model Parameters	152
A.9 High-Speed/RF Model Parameters	154
A.10 Flicker and Thermal Noise Model Parameters	157
A.11 Layout-Dependent Parasitic Model Parameters	159
A.12 Asymmetric Source/Drain Junction Diode Model Parameters	160
A.13 Temperature Dependence Parameters	164
A.14 Stress Effect Model Parameters	166
A.15 Well-Proximity Effect Model Parameters	168
A.16 dW and dL Parameters	169
A.17 Range Parameters for Model Application	171
A.18 Notes 1-8	172
Appendix B: Core Parameters	174
Appendix C: References	

# Chapter 1: Effective Oxide Thickness, Channel Length and Channel Width

BSIM4, as the extension of BSIM3 model, addresses the MOSFET physical effects into sub-100nm regime. The continuous scaling of minimum feature size brought challenges to compact modeling in two ways: One is that to push the barriers in making transistors with shorter gate length, advanced process technologies are used such as non-uniform substrate doping. The second is its opportunities to RF applications.

To meet these challenges, BSIM4 has the following major improvements and additions over BSIM3v3: (1) an accurate new model of the intrinsic input resistance for both RF, high-frequency analog and high-speed digital applications; (2) flexible substrate resistance network for RF modeling; (3) a new accurate channel thermal noise model and a noise partition model for the induced gate noise; (4) a non-quasi-static (NQS) model that is consistent with the Rg-based RF model and a consistent AC model that accounts for the NQS effect in both transconductances and capacitances. (5) an accurate gate direct tunneling model for multiple layer gate dielectrics; (6) a comprehensive and versatile geometry-dependent parasitics model for various source/drain connections and multi-finger devices; (7) improved model for steep vertical retrograde doping profiles; (8) better model for pocket-implanted devices in  $V_{th}$ , bulk charge effect model, and Rout; (9) asymmetrical and bias-dependent source/drain resistance, either internal or external to the intrinsic MOSFET at the user's discretion; (10) acceptance of anyone of the electrical, physical gate oxide thickness or equivalent oxide thickness as the model input at the user's choice in a physically accurate manner; (11) the quantum mechanical charge-layer-thickness model for both IV and CV; (12) a more accurate mobility model for predictive modeling; (13) a improved gate-induced drain/source leakage (GIDL/GISL) current model considering the work function difference between drain/source and gate; (14) an improved unified flicker (1/f) noise model, which is smooth over all bias regions and considers the bulk charge effect; (15) different diode IV and CV chrematistics for source and drain junctions; (16) junction diode breakdown with or without current limiting; (17) dielectric constant of the gate dielectric as a model parameter; (18) A new scalable stress effect model for process induced stress effect; device performance becoming thus a function of the active area geometry and the location of the device in the active area; (19) A unified current-saturation model that includes all mechanisms of current saturation- velocity saturation, velocity overshoot and source end velocity limit; (20) A new temperature model format that allows convenient prediction of temperature effects on saturation velocity, mobility, and S/D resistances; (21) A improved material model that is suitable to describe non-SiO<sub>2</sub> gate insulator, non-poly-Si gate and non-Si channel; (22) A new threshold voltage definition is introduced into C-V model to improve sub-threshold fitting; (23) an improved model predicts well the mobility behavior in high k/metal gate structure; (24) a widthdependent trap-assistant tunneling model is introduced to describe the current density enhancement in narrow device, (25) Correlation Coefficient of gate and drain noise implemented in holistic noise model (tnoiMod=2), (26) The new thermal noise model shows much better physical behavior in all bias conditions (tnoiMod=3), (27) Improved DIBL/ROUT model.

## **1.1 Gate Dielectric Model**

As the gate oxide thickness is vigorously scaled down, the finite chargelayer thickness can't be ignored [1]. BSIM4 models this effect in both IV and CV. For this purpose, BSM4 accepts two of the following methods as the model inputs:

- *mrtlMod*=0, the electrical gate oxide thickness *TOXE*<sup>1</sup>, the physical gate oxide thickness *TOXP*, and their difference *DTOX* = *TOXE TOXP* are the input parameters. Based on these parameters, the effect of effective gate oxide capacitance C<sub>oxeff</sub> on IV and CV is modeled [2].
- *mrtlMod*=1, for the high-*k* gate dielectric, the equivalent SiO<sub>2</sub> thickness (*EOT*) is the input parameter. Based on *EOT*, *TOXP* could be calculated as following:

$$TOXP = EOT - \frac{3.9}{EPSRSUB} \times X_{DC} \Big|_{V_{gs} = VDDEOT, V_{ds} = V_{bs} = 0}$$
(1.1)

In this case, *TOXE* is equal to *EOT*. It is worth pointing out that the new model parameters: the effective width (*Weffeot*), length (*Leffeot*), temperature (*Tempeot*) and bias condition (*Vddeot*) for *EOT* extraction are also needed in this calculation.

Here, *mrtlMod* is a global selector which is used to turn on or off the new material models. This selector will be discussed in detail in Chapter 8.

Figure 1.1 illustrates the algorithm and options for specifying the gate dielectric thickness and calculation of the gate dielectric capacitance for BSIM4 model evaluation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Capital and italic alphanumericals in this manual are model parameters.



Figure 1.1 Algorithm for BSIM4 gate dielectric model.

## **1.2 Poly-Silicon Gate Depletion**

When a gate voltage is applied to the poly-silicon gate, e.g. NMOS with  $n^+$  poly-silicon gate, a thin depletion layer will be formed at the interface between the poly-silicon and the gate oxide. Although this depletion layer is very thin due to the high doping concentration of the poly-silicon gate, its effect cannot be ignored since the gate oxide thickness is small.

Figure 1.2 shows an NMOSFET with a depletion region in the  $n^+$  polysilicon gate. The doping concentration in the  $n^+$  poly-silicon gate is *NGATE* and the doping concentration in the substrate is *NSUB*. The depletion width in the poly gate is  $X_p$ . The depletion width in the substrate is  $X_d$ . The positive charge near the interface of the poly-silicon gate and the gate oxide is distributed over a finite depletion region with thickness  $X_p$ . In the presence of the depletion region, the voltage drop across the gate oxide and the substrate will be reduced, because part of the gate voltage will be dropped across the depletion region in the gate. That means the effective gate voltage will be reduced.



Figure 1.2. Charge distribution in a MOSFET with the poly gate depletion effect. The device is in the strong inversion region.

The effective gate voltage can be calculated in the following manner. Assume the doping concentration in the poly gate is uniform. The voltage drop in the poly gate  $V_{poly}$  can be calculated as

$$V_{poly} = 0.5X_{poly}E_{poly} = \frac{qNGATE \cdot X_{poly}^{2}}{2\varepsilon_{si}}$$
(1.2)

where  $E_{poly}$  is the maximum electrical field in the poly gate. The boundary condition at the interface of poly gate and the gate oxide is

$$EPSROX \cdot E_{ox} = \varepsilon_{si} E_{poly} = \sqrt{2q\varepsilon_{si} NGATE \cdot V_{poly}}$$
(1.3)

where  $E_{ox}$  is the electric field in the gate oxide. The gate voltage satisfies

$$V_{gs} - V_{FB} - \Phi_s = V_{poly} + V_{ox} \tag{1.4}$$

where  $V_{ox}$  is the voltage drop across the gate oxide and satisfies  $V_{ox} = E_{ox}TOXE$ .

From (1.2) and (1.3), we can obtain

$$a(V_{gs} - V_{FB} - \Phi_s - V_{poly})^2 - V_{poly} = 0$$
(1.5)

where

$$a = \frac{EPSROX^2}{2q\varepsilon_{si}NGATE \cdot TOXE^2}$$
(1.6)

By solving (1.5), we get the effective gate voltage  $V_{gse}$  which is equal to

$$V_{gse} = VFB + \Phi_{s} + \frac{q\varepsilon_{si}NGATE \cdot TOXE^{2}}{EPSROX^{2}} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{2EPSROX^{2} \left(V_{gs} - VFB - \Phi_{s}\right)}{q\varepsilon_{si}NGATE \cdot TOXE^{2}}} - 1 \right)$$
(1.7)

The above discussion is only suitable when *mrtlMod*=0. Considering the non-silicon channel or high-k gate insulator,  $V_{gse}$  is modified as follows:

$$V_{gse} = VFB + \Phi_s + \frac{q\varepsilon_{gate}NGATE}{coxe^2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{2coxe^2 \left(V_{gs} - VFB - \Phi_s\right)}{q\varepsilon_{gate}NGATE}} - 1 \right)$$
(1.8)

Note: Here  $\varepsilon_{si} = EPSRGATE \cdot EPS0$ . EPSRGATE = 0 means the metal gate, and there is no depletion effect.

#### **1.3 Effective Channel Length and Width**

The effective channel length and width used in the drain current model are given below where *XL* and *XW* are parameters to account the channel length/width offset due to mask/etch effect

$$L_{eff} = L_{drawn} + XL - 2dL \tag{1.9}$$

$$W_{eff} = \frac{W_{drawn}}{NF} + XW - 2dW \tag{1.10}$$

$$W_{eff}' = \frac{W_{drawn}}{NF} + XW - 2dW'$$
(1.11)

The difference between (1.10) and (1.11) is that the former includes bias dependencies. *NF* is the number of device fingers. *dW* and *dL* are modeled by

$$dW = dW' + DWG \cdot V_{gsteff} + DWB \left( \sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bseff}} - \sqrt{\Phi_s} \right)$$

$$dW' = WINT + \frac{WL}{L^{WLN}} + \frac{WW}{W^{WWN}} + \frac{WWL}{L^{WLN}W^{WWN}}$$

$$dL = LINT + \frac{LL}{L^{LLN}} + \frac{LW}{W^{LWN}} + \frac{LWL}{L^{LLN}W^{LWN}}$$
(1.12)

*WINT* represents the traditional manner from which "delta *W*" is extracted (from the intercept of straight lines on a  $1/R_{ds} \sim W_{drawn}$  plot). The parameters *DWG* and *DWB* are used to account for the contribution of both gate and substrate bias effects. For *dL*, *LINT* represents the traditional manner from which "delta *L*" is extracted from the intercept of lines on a  $R_{ds} \sim L_{drawn}$  plot).

The remaining terms in dW and dL are provided for the convenience of the user. They are meant to allow the user to model each parameter as a function of  $W_{\text{drawn}}$ ,  $L_{\text{drawn}}$  and their product term. By default, the above geometrical dependencies for dW and dL are turned off.

MOSFET capacitances can be divided into intrinsic and extrinsic components. The intrinsic capacitance is associated with the region between the metallurgical source and drain junction, which is defined by the effective length ( $L_{active}$ ) and width ( $W_{active}$ ) when the gate to source/drain regions are under flat-band condition.  $L_{active}$  and  $W_{active}$  are defined as

$$L_{active} = L_{drawn} + XL - 2dL \tag{1.13}$$

$$W_{active} = \frac{W_{drawn}}{NF} + XW - 2dW \tag{1.14}$$

$$dL = DLC + \frac{LLC}{L^{LLN}} + \frac{LWC}{W^{LWN}} + \frac{LWLC}{L^{LLN}W^{LWN}}$$
(1.15)

$$dW = DWC + \frac{WLC}{L^{WLN}} + \frac{WWC}{W^{WWN}} + \frac{WWLC}{L^{WLN}W^{WWN}}$$
(1.16)

The meanings of *DWC* and *DLC* are different from those of *WINT* and *LINT* in the I-V model. Unlike the case of I-V, we assume that these dimensions are bias- dependent. The parameter  $\delta L_{eff}$  is equal to the source/drain to gate overlap length plus the difference between drawn and actual POLY CD due to processing (gate patterning, etching and oxidation) on one side.

The effective channel length  $L_{eff}$  for the I-V model does not necessarily carry a physical meaning. It is just a parameter used in the I-V formulation. This  $L_{eff}$  is therefore very sensitive to the I-V equations and also to the conduction characteristics of the LDD region relative to the channel region. A device with a large  $L_{eff}$  and a small parasitic resistance can have a similar current drive as another with a smaller  $L_{eff}$  but larger  $R_{ds}$ .

The  $L_{active}$  parameter extracted from capacitance is a closer representation of the metallurgical junction length (physical length). Due to the graded source/drain junction profile, the source to drain length can have a very strong bias dependence. We therefore define  $L_{active}$  to be that measured at flat-band voltage between gate to source/drain. If *DWC*, *DLC* and the length/width dependence parameters (*LLC*, *LWC*, *LWLC*, *WLC*, *WWC* and *WWLC*) are not specified in technology files, BSIM4 assumes that the DC bias-independent  $L_{eff}$  and  $W_{eff}$  will be used for the capacitance models, and *DWC*, *DLC*, *LLC*, *LWC*, *LWLC*, *WLC*, *WWC* and *WWLC* will be set to the values of their DC counterparts.

BSIM4 uses the effective source/drain diffusion width  $W_{effcj}$  for modeling parasitics, such as source/drain resistance, gate electrode resistance, and gate-induced drain leakage (GIDL) current.  $W_{effcj}$  is defined as

$$W_{efficj} = \frac{W_{drawn}}{NF} + XW - 2 \cdot \left( DWJ + \frac{WLC}{L^{WLN}} + \frac{WWC}{W^{WWN}} + \frac{WWLC}{L^{WLN}W^{WWN}} \right)$$
(1.17)

Note: Any compact model has its validation limitation, so does BSIM4. BSIM4 is its own valid designation limit which is larger than the warning limit, shown in following table. For users' reference, the fatal limitation in BSIM4 is also shown.

Parameter name	Designed Limitation(m)	Warning Limitation(m)	Fatal Limitation(m)
Leff	1e-8	1e-9	0
LeffCV	1e-8	1e-9	0
Weff	1e-7	1e-9	0
WeffCV	1e-7	1e-9	0
Toxe	5e-10	1e-10	0
Тохр	5e-10	1e-10	0
Toxm	5e-10	1e-10	0

## **Chapter 2: Threshold Voltage Model**

#### 2.1 Long-Channel Model With Uniform Doping

Accurate modeling of threshold voltage  $V_{th}$  is important for precise description of device electrical characteristics.  $V_{th}$  for long and wide MOSFETs with uniform substrate doping is given by

$$V_{th} = VFB + \Phi_s + \gamma \sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bs}} = VTH0 + \gamma \left(\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bs}} - \sqrt{\Phi_s}\right)$$
(2.1)

where *VFB* is the flat band voltage, *VTH0* is the threshold voltage of the long channel device at zero substrate bias, and  $\gamma$  is the body bias coefficient given by

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{2q\varepsilon_{si}N_{substrate}}}{C_{oxe}}$$
(2.2)

where  $N_{substrate}$  is the uniform substrate doping concentration.

Equation (2.1) assumes that the channel doping is constant and the channel length and width are large enough. Modifications have to be made when the substrate doping concentration is not constant and/or when the channel is short, or narrow.

Consider process variation, a new instance parameter *DELVTO* is added to *VTH*0 as:

If VTH0 is given,

$$VTH0 = VTH0 + DELVTO \tag{2.3}$$

If VTH0 isn't given,

$$VFB = VFB + DELVTO$$

$$VTH0 = VFB + \Phi_s + \gamma \sqrt{\Phi_s}$$
(2.4)

#### 2.2 Non-Uniform Vertical Doping

The substrate doping profile is not uniform in the vertical direction and therefore  $\gamma$  in (2.2) is a function of both the depth from the interface and the substrate bias. If  $N_{substrate}$  is defined to be the doping concentration (*NDEP*) at  $X_{dep0}$  (the depletion edge at  $V_{bs} = 0$ ),  $V_{th}$  for non-uniform vertical doping is

$$V_{th} = V_{th,NDEP} + \frac{qD_0}{C_{oxe}} + K1_{NDEP} \left( \sqrt{\varphi_s - V_{bs} - \frac{qD_1}{\varepsilon_{si}}} - \sqrt{\varphi_s - V_{bs}} \right)$$
(2.5)

where  $K1_{NDEP}$  is the body-bias coefficient for  $N_{substrate} = NDEP$ ,

$$V_{th,NDEP} = VTH0 + K1_{NDEP} \left( \sqrt{\varphi_s - V_{bs}} - \sqrt{\varphi_s} \right)$$
(2.6)

with a definition of

$$\varphi_s = 0.4 + \frac{k_B T}{q} \ln\left(\frac{NDEP}{n_i}\right)$$
(2.7)

where  $n_i$  is the intrinsic carrier concentration in the channel region. The zero-th and 1st moments of the vertical doping profile in (2.5) are given by (2.8) and (2.9), respectively, as

$$D_{0} = D_{00} + D_{01} = \int_{0}^{X_{dep0}} \left( N(x) - NDEP \right) dx + \int_{X_{dep0}}^{X_{dep}} \left( N(x) - NDEP \right) dx$$
(2.8)

$$D_{1} = D_{10} + D_{11} = \int_{0}^{X_{dep0}} \left( N(x) - NDEP \right) x dx + \int_{X_{dep0}}^{X_{dep}} \left( N(x) - NDEP \right) x dx$$
(2.9)

By assuming the doping profile is a steep retrograde, it can be shown that D01 is approximately equal to  $-C_{01}V_{bs}$  and that  $D_{10}$  dominates  $D_{11}$ ;  $C_{01}$ 

represents the profile of the retrograde. Combining (2.5) through (2.9), we obtain

$$V_{th} = VTH0 + K1\left(\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bs}} - \sqrt{\Phi_s}\right) - K2 \cdot V_{bs}$$
(2.10)

where  $K2 = qC_{01} / C_{\text{oxe}}$ , and the surface potential is defined as

$$\Phi_s = 0.4 + \frac{k_B T}{q} \ln\left(\frac{NDEP}{n_i}\right) + PHIN$$
(2.11)

where

$$PHIN = -qD_{10}/\varepsilon_{si} \tag{2.12}$$

*VTH*0, *K*1, *K*2, and *PHIN* are implemented as model parameters for model flexibility. Appendix A lists the model selectors and parameters. Detail information on the doping profile is often available for predictive modeling. Like BSIM3v3, BSIM4 allows *K*1 and *K*2 to be calculated based on such details as *NSUB*, *XT*, *VBX*, *VBM*, etc. (with the same meanings as in BSIM3v3):

$$K1 = \gamma_2 - 2K2\sqrt{\Phi_s - VBM} \tag{2.13}$$

$$K2 = \frac{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \left(\sqrt{\Phi_s - VBX} - \sqrt{\Phi_s}\right)}{2\sqrt{\Phi_s} \left(\sqrt{\Phi_s - VBM} - \sqrt{\Phi_s}\right) + VBM}$$
(2.14)

where  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are the body bias coefficients when the substrate doping concentration are equal to *NDEP* and *NSUB*, respectively:

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2q\varepsilon_{si}NDEP}}{C_{ove}}$$
(2.15)

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2q\varepsilon_{si}NSUB}}{C_{oxe}}$$
(2.16)

VBX is the body bias when the depletion width is equal to XT, and is determined by

$$\frac{qNDEP \cdot XT^2}{2\varepsilon_{si}} = \Phi_s - VBX \tag{2.17}$$

## 2.3 Non-Uniform Lateral Doping: Pocket (Halo) Implant

In this case, the doping concentration near the source/drain junctions is higher than that in the middle of the channel. Therefore, as channel length becomes shorter, a  $V_{th}$  roll-up will usually result since the effective channel doping concentration gets higher, which changes the body bias effect as well. To consider these effects,  $V_{th}$  is written as

$$V_{th} = VTH0 + K1\left(\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bs}} - \sqrt{\Phi_s}\right) \cdot \sqrt{1 + \frac{LPEB}{L_{eff}}} - K2 \cdot V_{bs}$$

$$+ K1\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{LPE0}{L_{eff}}} - 1\right)\sqrt{\Phi_s}$$

$$(2.18)$$

In addition, pocket implant can cause significant drain-induced threshold shift (DITS) in long-channel devices [3]:

$$\Delta V_{th} \left( DITS \right) = -nv_t \cdot \ln \left( \frac{\left( 1 - e^{-V_{ds}/v_t} \right) \cdot L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + DVTP0 \cdot \left( 1 + e^{-DVTP1 \cdot V_{ds}} \right)} \right)$$
(2.19)

For  $V_{ds}$  of interest, the above equation is simplified and implemented as for *tempMod* = 1:

$$\Delta V_{th} \left( DITS \right) = -nv_t \cdot \ln \left( \frac{L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + DVTP0 \cdot \left( 1 + e^{-DVTP1 \cdot V_{ds}} \right)} \right)$$
(2.20)

for *tempMod* = 2:

$$\Delta V_{th} \left( DITS \right) = -nv_{tnom} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + DVTP0 \cdot \left( 1 + e^{-DVTP1 \cdot V_{ds}} \right)} \right)$$
(2.21)

Note: when *tempMod* =2, drain-induced threshold voltage shift (DITS) due to pocket implant has no temperature dependence, so nominal temperature (TNOM) is used as Eq.(2.21). When *tempMod*=0 or 1, Eq.(2.20) is used.



Figure 2.1 Asymmetric dependence of  $V_{th}$  variation on  $V_{ds}$ 

It was observed that  $V_{th}$  shift dependence of  $V_{ds}$  shows asymmetric behavior (Figure 2.1) which can be accurately captured by introducing an tanh() function in the  $\Delta V_{th}(DITS)$  formulation. The complete expression for  $\Delta V_{th}(DITS)$  is shown in Eq. 2.22.

$$\Delta V_{th}(DITS) = -nv_t \cdot \ln\left(\frac{L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + DVTP0 \cdot (1 + e^{-DVTP1 \cdot V_{ds}})}\right)$$
$$-\left(DVTP5 + \frac{DVTP2}{L_{eff}^{DVTP3}}\right) \cdot \tanh(DVTP4 \cdot V_{ds})$$
(2.22)

#### 2.4 Short-Channel and DIBL Effects

As channel length becomes shorter,  $V_{th}$  shows a greater dependence on channel length (SCE: short-channel effect) and drain bias (DIBL: drain

induced barrier lowering).  $V_{th}$  dependence on the body bias becomes weaker as channel length becomes shorter, because the body bias has weaker control of the depletion region. Based on the quasi 2D solution of the Poisson equation,  $V_{th}$  change due to SCE and DIBL is modeled [4]

$$\Delta V_{th} \left( SCE, DIBL \right) = -\theta_{th} \left( L_{eff} \right) \cdot \left[ 2 \left( V_{bi} - \Phi_s \right) + V_{ds} \right]$$
(2.23)

where  $V_{bi}$ , known as the built-in voltage of the source/drain junctions, is given by

$$V_{bi} = \frac{k_B T}{q} \ln\left(\frac{NDEP \cdot NSD}{n_i^2}\right)$$
(2.24)

where *NSD* is the doping concentration of source/drain diffusions. The shortchannel effect coefficient  $\theta_{th}(L_{eff})$  in (2.23) has a strong dependence on the channel length given by

$$\theta_{th}\left(L_{eff}\right) = \frac{0.5}{\cosh\left(\frac{L_{eff}}{l_t}\right) - 1}$$
(2.25)

 $l_t$  is referred to as the characteristic length and is given by

$$l_{t} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{si} \cdot TOXE \cdot X_{dep}}{EPSROX \cdot \eta}}$$
(2.26)

with the depletion width  $X_{dep}$  equal to

$$X_{dep} = \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon_{si} \left(\Phi_s - V_{bs}\right)}{qNDEP}}$$
(2.27)

 $X_{dep}$  is larger near the drain due to the drain voltage.  $X_{dep} / \eta$  represents the average depletion width along the channel.

Note that in BSIM3v3 and [4],  $\theta_{th}(L_{eff})$  is approximated with the form of

$$\theta_{th}\left(L_{eff}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{L_{eff}}{2l_{t}}\right) + 2\exp\left(-\frac{L_{eff}}{l_{t}}\right)$$
(2.28)

which results in a phantom second  $V_{th}$  roll-up when  $L_{eff}$  becomes very small (e.g.  $L_{eff} < LMIN$ ). In BSIM4, the function form of (2.25) is implemented with no approximation.

To increase the model flexibility for different technologies, several parameters such as *DVT*0, *DVT*1, *DVT*2, *DSUB*, *ETA*0, and *ETAB* are introduced, and SCE and DIBL are modeled separately.

To model SCE, we use

$$\theta_{th} \left( \text{SCE} \right) = \frac{0.5 \cdot DVT0}{\cosh \left( DVT1 \cdot \frac{L_{eff}}{l_t} \right) - 1}$$
(2.29)

$$\Delta V_{th} \left( \text{SCE} \right) = -\theta_{th} \left( \text{SCE} \right) \cdot \left( V_{bi} - \Phi_s \right)$$
(2.30)

with  $l_t$  changed to

$$l_{t} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{si} \cdot TOXE \cdot X_{dep}}{EPSROX}} \cdot (1 + DVT2 \cdot V_{bs})$$
(2.31)

To model DIBL, we use

$$\theta_{th} \left( \text{DIBL} \right) = \frac{0.5}{\cosh \left( DSUB \cdot \frac{L_{eff}}{l_{t0}} \right) - 1}$$
(2.32)

$$\Delta V_{th} (\text{DIBL}) = -\theta_{th} (\text{DIBL}) \cdot (ETA0 + ETAB \cdot V_{bs}) \cdot V_{ds}$$
(2.33)

and  $l_{t0}$  is calculated by

$$U_{t0} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{si} \cdot TOXE \cdot X_{dep0}}{EPSROX}}$$
(2.34)

with

$$X_{dep0} = \sqrt{\frac{2\varepsilon_{si}\Phi_s}{qNDEP}}$$
(2.35)

*DVT*1 is basically equal to  $1/\eta$ . *DVT*2 and *ETAB* account for substrate bias effects on SCE and DIBL, respectively.

#### 2.5 Narrow-Width Effect

The actual depletion region in the channel is always larger than what is usually assumed under the one-dimensional analysis due to the existence of fringing fields. This effect becomes very substantial as the channel width decreases and the depletion region underneath the fringing field becomes comparable to the "classical" depletion layer formed from the vertical field. The net result is an increase in  $V_{th}$ . This increase can be modeled as

$$\frac{\pi q NDEP \cdot X_{dep, \max}^{2}}{2C_{oxe} W_{eff}} = 3\pi \frac{TOXE}{W_{eff}} \Phi_{s}$$
(2.36)

This formulation includes but is not limited to the inverse of channel width due to the fact that the overall narrow width effect is dependent on process (i.e. isolation technology).  $V_{th}$  change is given by

$$\Delta V_{th} \left( Narrow_width1 \right) = \left( K3 + K3B \cdot V_{bs} \right) \frac{TOXE}{W_{eff} + W0} \Phi_s$$
(2.37)

In addition, we must consider the narrow width effect for small channel lengths. To do this we introduce the following

$$\Delta V_{th} \left( Narrow_width 2 \right) = -\frac{0.5 \cdot DVT0W}{\cosh \left( DVT1W \cdot \frac{L_{eff}W_{eff}}{l_{hv}} \right) - 1} \cdot \left( V_{bi} - \Phi_s \right)$$
(2.38)

with  $l_{tw}$  given by

$$l_{tw} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{si} \cdot TOXE \cdot X_{dep}}{EPSROX}} \cdot (1 + DVT2W \cdot V_{bs})$$
(2.39)

The complete  $V_{th}$  model implemented in SPICE is

$$V_{th} = VTH0 + \left(K_{1ox} \cdot \sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bseff}} - K1 \cdot \sqrt{\Phi_s}\right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{LPEB}{L_{eff}}} - K_{2ox}V_{bseff}$$

$$+ K_{1ox} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{LPE0}{L_{eff}}} - 1\right) \sqrt{\Phi_s} + \left(K3 + K3B \cdot V_{bseff}\right) \frac{TOXE}{W_{eff}' + W0} \Phi_s$$

$$- 0.5 \cdot \left[\frac{DVT0W}{\cosh\left(DVT1W\frac{L_{eff}W_{eff}'}{l_{low}}\right) - 1} + \frac{DVT0}{\cosh\left(DVT1\frac{L_{eff}}{l_l}\right) - 1}\right] \left(V_{bi} - \Phi_s\right)$$

$$- \frac{0.5}{\cosh\left(DSUB\frac{L_{eff}}{l_{low}}\right) - 1} \left(ETA0 + ETAB \cdot V_{bseff}\right) \cdot V_{ds} - nv_t \cdot \ln\left(\frac{L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + DVTP0.\left(1 + e^{-DVTP1V_{DS}}\right)}\right)$$

$$- \left(DVTP5 + \frac{DVTP2}{L_{eff}^{DVTP3}}\right) \cdot \tanh\left(DVTP4 \cdot V_{ds}\right)$$

$$(2.40)$$

where *TOXE* dependence is introduced in model parameters K1 and K2 to improve the scalability of  $V_{th}$  model over *TOXE* as

$$K_{1ox} = K1 \cdot \frac{TOXE}{TOXM} \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$K_{2ox} = K2 \cdot \frac{TOXE}{TOXM} \tag{2.42}$$

Note that all  $V_{bs}$  terms are substituted with a  $V_{bseff}$  expression as shown in (2.43). This is needed in order to set a low bound for the body bias during simulations since unreasonable values can occur during SPICE iterations if this expression is not introduced.

$$V_{bseff} = V_{bc} + 0.5 \cdot \left[ \left( V_{bs} - V_{bc} - \delta_1 \right) + \sqrt{\left( V_{bs} - V_{bc} - \delta_1 \right)^2 - 4\delta_1 \cdot V_{bc}} \right]$$
(2.43)

where  $\delta_1 = 0.001$ V, and  $V_{bc}$  is the maximum allowable  $V_{bs}$  and found from  $dV_{th}/dV_{bs} = 0$  to be

$$V_{bc} = 0.9 \left( \Phi_s - \frac{K1^2}{4K2^2} \right)$$
(2.44)

For positive  $V_{bs}$ , there is need to set an upper bound for the body bias as:

$$V_{bseff} = 0.95\Phi_{s} - 0.5\left(0.95\Phi_{s} - V_{bseff} - \delta_{1} + \sqrt{\left(0.95\Phi_{s} - V_{bseff} - \delta_{1}\right)^{2} + 4\delta_{1}.0.95\Phi_{s}}\right)$$

# **Chapter 3: Channel Charge and Subthreshold Swing Models**

#### **3.1 Channel Charge Model**

The channel charge density in subthreshold for zero  $V_{ds}$  is written as

$$Voff' = VOFF + \frac{VOFFL}{L_{eff}}$$
(3.1)

where

$$Voff' = VOFF + \frac{VOFFL}{L_{eff}}$$
(3.2)

*VOFFL* is used to model the length dependence of  $V_{off}$  on non-uniform channel doping profiles.

In strong inversion region, the density is expressed by

$$Q_{chs0} = C_{oxe} \cdot \left( V_{gse} - V_{th} \right) \tag{3.3}$$

A unified charge density model considering the charge layer thickness effect is derived for both subthreshold and inversion regions as

$$Q_{ch0} = C_{oxeff} \cdot V_{gsteff} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $C_{oxeff}$  is modeled by

$$C_{oxeff} = \frac{C_{oxe} \cdot C_{cen}}{C_{oxe} + C_{cen}} \quad \text{with } C_{cen} = \frac{\varepsilon_{si}}{X_{DC}}$$
(3.5)

and  $X_{DC}$  is given as

$$X_{DC} = \frac{ADOS \times 1.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}}{1 + \left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + 4(VTH0 - VFB - \Phi_s)}{2TOXP}\right)^{0.7 \times BDOS}}$$
(3.6)

Here, *ADOS* and *BDOS* are the parameters to describe the density of states in new materials and used to control the charge centroid. In the above equations,  $V_{gsteff}$  the effective ( $V_{gse}$ - $V_{th}$ ) used to describe the channel charge densities from subthreshold to strong inversion, is modeled by

$$V_{gsteff} = \frac{nv_{t} \ln\left\{1 + \exp\left[\frac{m^{*}\left(V_{gse} - V_{th}\right)}{nv_{t}}\right]\right\}}{m^{*} + nC_{oxe} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2\Phi_{s}}{qNDEP\varepsilon_{si}}} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(1 - m^{*}\right)\left(V_{gse} - V_{th}\right) - Voff'}{nv_{t}}\right]}$$
(3.7)

where

$$m^* = 0.5 + \frac{\arctan(MINV)}{\pi}$$
(3.8)

*MINV* is introduced to improve the accuracy of  $G_m$ ,  $G_m/I_d$  and  $G_{m2}/I_d$  in the moderate inversion region. To account for the drain bias effect, The *y* dependence has to be included in (3.4). Consider first the case of strong inversion

$$Q_{chs}(y) = C_{oxeff} \cdot \left(V_{gse} - V_{th} - A_{bulk}V_F(y)\right)$$
(3.9)

VF(y) stands for the quasi-Fermi potential at any given point y along the channel with respect to the source. (3.9) can also be written as

$$Q_{chs}(y) = Q_{chs0} + \Delta Q_{chs}(y)$$
(3.10)

The term  $\Delta Q_{chs}(y) = -C_{oxeff}A_{bulk}VF(y)$  is the incremental charge density introduced by the drain voltage at y. In subthreshold region, the channel charge density along the channel from source to drain can be written as

$$Q_{chsubs}(y) = Q_{chsubs0} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{A_{bulk}V_F(y)}{nv_t}\right)$$
(3.11)

Taylor expansion of (3.11) yields the following (keeping the first two terms)

$$Q_{chsubs}(y) = Q_{chsubs0} \left( 1 - \frac{A_{bulk} V_F(y)}{n v_t} \right)$$
(3.12)

Similarly, (3.12) is transformed into

$$Q_{chsubs}(y) = Q_{chsubs0} + \Delta Q_{chsubs}(y)$$
(3.13)

where  $Q_{chsubs}(y)$  is the incremental channel charge density induced by the drain voltage in the sub-threshold region. It is written as

$$\Delta Q_{chsubs}(y) = -Q_{chsubs0} \cdot \frac{A_{bulk}V_F(y)}{nv_t}$$
(3.14)

To obtain a unified expression for the incremental channel charge density  $\Delta Q_{ch}(y)$  induced by  $V_{ds}$ , we assume  $Q_{ch}(y)$  to be

$$\Delta Q_{ch}(y) = \frac{\Delta Q_{chs}(y) \cdot \Delta Q_{chsubs}(y)}{\Delta Q_{chs}(y) + \Delta Q_{chsubs}(y)}$$
(3.15)

Substituting  $Q_{ch}(y)$  of (3.13) and (3.14) into (3.15), we obtain

$$\Delta Q_{ch}(y) = -\frac{V_F(y)}{V_b} Q_{ch0}$$
(3.16)

where  $V_b = (V_{gsteff} + nv_t) / A_{bulk}$ . In the model implementation, *n* of  $V_b$  is replaced by a typical constant value of 2. The expression for  $V_b$  now becomes

$$V_b = \frac{V_{gsteff} + 2v_t}{A_{bulk}} \tag{3.17}$$

A unified expression for  $Q_{ch}(y)$  from subthreshold to strong inversion regions is

$$Q_{ch}(y) = C_{oxeff} \cdot V_{gsteff} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_F(y)}{V_b}\right)$$
(3.18)

#### 3.2 Subthreshold Swing n

The drain current equation in the subthreshold region can be expressed as

$$I_{ds} = I_0 \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{V_{ds}}{v_t}\right) \right] \cdot \exp\left(\frac{V_{gs} - V_{th} - V_{off}}{nv_t}\right)$$
(3.19)

where

$$I_0 = \mu \frac{W}{L} \sqrt{\frac{q\varepsilon_{si}NDEP}{2\Phi_s}} v_t^2$$
(3.20)

 $v_t$  is the thermal voltage and equal to  $k_BT/q$ .  $V_{off}' = VOFF + VOFFL / L_{eff}$  is the offset voltage, which determines the channel current at  $V_{gs} = 0$ . In (3.19), n is the subthreshold swing parameter. Experimental data shows that the subthreshold swing is a function of channel length and the interface state density. These two mechanisms are modeled by the following

$$n = 1 + NFACTOR \cdot \frac{C_{dep}}{C_{oxe}} + \frac{Cdsc\_Term + CIT}{C_{oxe}}$$
(3.21)

where Cdsc-Term, written as

$$Cdsc\_Term = \left(CDSC + CDSCD \cdot V_{ds} + CDSCB \cdot V_{bseff}\right) \cdot \frac{0.5}{\cosh\left(DVT1\frac{L_{eff}}{l_{i}}\right) - 1}$$
(3.22)

represents the coupling capacitance between drain/source to channel. Parameters *CDSC*, *CDSCD* and *CDSCB* are extracted. Parameter *CIT* is the capacitance due to interface states. From (3.21), it can be seen that subthreshold swing shares the same exponential dependence on channel length as the DIBL effect. Parameter *NFACTOR* is close to 1 and introduced to compensate for errors in the depletion width capacitance calculation.

# **Chapter 4: Gate Direct Tunneling Current Model**

As the gate oxide thickness is scaled down to 3nm and below, gate leakage current due to carrier direct tunneling becomes important. This tunneling happens between the gate and silicon beneath the gate oxide. To reduce the tunneling current, high-k dielectrics are being studied to replace gate oxide. In order to maintain a good interface with substrate, multi-layer dielectric stacks are being proposed. The BSIM4 gate tunneling model has been shown to work for multi-layer gate stacks as well. The tunneling carriers can be either electrons or holes, or both, either from the conduction band or valence band, depending on (the type of the gate and) the bias regime.

In BSIM4, the gate tunneling current components include the tunneling current between gate and substrate  $(I_{gb})$ , and the current between gate and channel  $(I_{gc})$ , which is partitioned between the source and drain terminals by  $I_{gc} = I_{gcs} + I_{gcd}$ . The third component happens between gate and source/drain diffusion regions  $(I_{gs} \text{ and } I_{gd})$ . Figure 4.1 shows the schematic gate tunneling current flows.



Figure 4.1. Shematic gate current components flowing between nMOSFET terminals in version.

#### 4.1 Model Selectors

Two global selectors are provided to turn on or off the tunneling components. igcMod = 1, 2 turns on  $I_{gc}$ ,  $I_{gs}$ , and  $I_{gd}$ ; igbMod = 1 turns on  $I_{gb}$ . When the selectors are set to zero, no gate tunneling currents are modeled. When tempMod = 2, following  $V_t (= kT/q)$  will be replaced by  $V_{tnom}(=kTnom/q)$ 

#### 4.2 Voltage Across Oxide Vox

The oxide voltage  $V_{ox}$  is written as  $V_{ox} = V_{oxacc} + V_{oxdepinv}$  with

$$V_{oxacc} = V_{fbzb} - V_{FBeff} \tag{4.1}$$

$$V_{oxdepinv} = K_{1ox} \sqrt{\Phi_s} + V_{gsteff}$$
(4.2)

(4.1) and (4.2) are valid and continuous from accumulation through depletion to inversion.  $V_{fbzb}$  is the flat-band voltage calculated from zero-bias  $V_{th}$  by

$$V_{fbzb} = V_{th} \Big|_{zeroV_{bs} andV_{ds}} - \Phi_s - K1\sqrt{\Phi_s}$$
(4.3)

and

$$V_{FBeff} = V_{fbzb} - 0.5 \left[ \left( V_{fbzb} - V_{gb} - 0.02 \right) + \sqrt{\left( V_{fbzb} - V_{gb} - 0.02 \right)^2 + 0.08 V_{fbzb}} \right]$$
(4.4)

#### **4.3 Equations for Tunneling Currents**

Note: when tempMod = 2, nominal temperature (TNOM) is used to replace the operating temperature in following gate tunneling current equations. When tempMod=0, or 1, operating temperature is still used.

#### **4.3.1 Gate-to-Substrate Current** $(I_{gb} = I_{gbacc} + I_{gbinv})$

 $\underline{I}_{gbacc}$ , determined by ECB (Electron tunneling from Conduction Band), is significant in accumulation and given by

$$I_{gbacc} = W_{eff} L_{eff} \cdot A \cdot T_{oxRatio} \cdot V_{gb} \cdot V_{aux}$$

$$\cdot \exp\left[-B \cdot TOXE \left(AIGBACC - BIGBACC \cdot V_{oxacc}\right) \cdot \left(1 + CIGBACC \cdot V_{oxacc}\right)\right]$$

$$(4.5)$$

where the physical constants A = 4.97232e-7 A/V<sup>2</sup>, B = 7.45669e11 (g/F-s<sup>2</sup>)<sup>0.5</sup>, and

$$T_{oxRatio} = \left(\frac{TOXREF}{TOXE}\right)^{NTOX} \cdot \frac{1}{TOXE^2}$$
(4.6)

$$V_{aux} = NIGBACC \cdot v_t \cdot \log\left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V_{gb} - V_{fbzb}}{NIGBACC \cdot v_t}\right)\right)$$
(4.7)

 $\underline{I}_{gbinv}$ , determined by EVB (Electron tunneling from Valence Band), is significant in inversion and given by

$$I_{gbinv} = W_{eff} L_{eff} \cdot A \cdot T_{oxRatio} \cdot V_{gb} \cdot V_{aux}$$

$$\cdot \exp\left[-B \cdot TOXE\left(AIGBINV - BIGBINV \cdot V_{oxdepinv}\right) \cdot \left(1 + CIGBINV \cdot V_{oxdepinv}\right)\right]$$

$$(4.8)$$

where  $A = 3.75956e-7 \text{ A/V}^2$ ,  $B = 9.82222e11 \text{ (g/F-s}^2)^{0.5}$ , and

$$V_{aux} = NIGBINV \cdot v_t \cdot \log\left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_{oxdepinv} - EIGBINV}{NIGBINV \cdot v_t}\right)\right)$$
(4.9)

# 4.3.2 Gate-to-Channel Current $(I_{gc\theta})$ and Gate-to-S/D $(I_{gs} \text{ and } I_{gd})$

<u> $I_{gc0}$ </u>, determined by ECB for NMOS and HVB (Hole tunneling from Valence Band) for PMOS at  $V_{ds}$ =0, is formulated as

$$Igc0 = W_{eff} L_{eff} \cdot A \cdot T_{oxRatio} \cdot V_{gse} \cdot V_{aux}$$

$$\cdot \exp\left[-B \cdot TOXE\left(AIGC - BIGC \cdot V_{oxdepinv}\right) \cdot \left(1 + CIGC \cdot V_{oxdepinv}\right)\right]$$
(4.10)

where  $A = 4.97232 \text{ A/V}^2$  for NMOS and 3.42537 A/V<sup>2</sup> for PMOS,  $B = 7.45669e11 (g/F-s^2)^{0.5}$  for NMOS and 1.16645e12 (g/F-s<sup>2</sup>)<sup>0.5</sup> for PMOS, and for *igcMod* = 1:

$$V_{aux} = NIGC \cdot v_t \cdot \log\left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_{gse} - VTH0}{NIGC \cdot v_t}\right)\right)$$
(4.11)

for *igcMod* = 2:

$$V_{aux} = NIGC \cdot v_t \cdot \log\left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_{gse} - VTH}{NIGC \cdot v_t}\right)\right)$$
(4.12)

 $\underline{I}_{gs}$  and  $\underline{I}_{gd}$  --  $I_{gs}$  represents the gate tunneling current between the gate and the source diffusion region, while  $I_{gd}$  represents the gate tunneling current between the gate and the drain diffusion region.  $I_{gs}$  and  $I_{gd}$  are determined by ECB for NMOS and HVB for PMOS, respectively.

$$I_{gs} = W_{eff} DLCIG \cdot A \cdot T_{oxRatioEdge} \cdot V_{gs} \cdot V_{gs}'$$

$$\cdot \exp\left[-B \cdot TOXE \cdot POXEDGE \cdot \left(AIGS - BIGS \cdot V_{gs}'\right) \cdot \left(1 + CIGS \cdot V_{gs}'\right)\right]$$

$$(4.13)$$

and
$$I_{gd} = W_{eff} DLCIGD \cdot A \cdot T_{oxRatioEdge} \cdot V_{gd} \cdot V_{gd}$$

$$\cdot \exp\left[-B \cdot TOXE \cdot POXEDGE \cdot \left(AIGD - BIGD \cdot V_{gd}\right) \cdot \left(1 + CIGD \cdot V_{gd}\right)\right]$$

$$(4.14)$$

where  $A = 4.97232 \text{ A/V}^2$  for NMOS and 3.42537 A/V<sup>2</sup> for PMOS, B = 7.45669e11 (g/F-s<sup>2</sup>)<sup>0.5</sup> for NMOS and 1.16645e12 (g/F-s<sup>2</sup>)<sup>0.5</sup> for PMOS, and

$$T_{oxRatioEdge} = \left(\frac{TOXREF}{TOXE \cdot POXEDGE}\right)^{NTOX} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(TOXE \cdot POXEDGE\right)^2}$$
(4.15)

$$V_{gs} = \sqrt{\left(V_{gs} - V_{fbsd}\right)^2 + 1.0e - 4}$$
(4.16)

$$V_{gd} = \sqrt{\left(V_{gd} - V_{fbsd}\right)^2 + 1.0e - 4}$$
(4.17)

 $V_{fbsd}$  is the flat-band voltage between gate and S/D diffusions calculated as

If NGATE > 0.0

$$V_{fbsd} = \frac{k_B T}{q} \log\left(\frac{NGATE}{NSD}\right) + VFBSDOFF$$
(4.18)

Else  $V_{fbsd} = 0.0$ .

# 4.3.3. Partition of Igc

To consider the drain bias effect,  $I_{gc}$  is split into two components,  $I_{gcs}$  and  $I_{gcd}$ , that is  $I_{gc} = I_{gcs} + I_{gcd}$ , and

$$Igcs = Igc0 \cdot \frac{PIGCD \cdot V_{dseff} + \exp(-PIGCD \cdot V_{dseff}) - 1 + 1.0e - 4}{PIGCD^2 \cdot V_{dseff}^2 + 2.0e - 4}$$
(4.19)

and

$$Igcd = Igc0 \cdot \frac{1 - \left(PIGCD \cdot V_{dseff} + 1\right) \cdot \exp\left(-PIGCD \cdot V_{dseff}\right) + 1.0e - 4}{PIGCD^2 \cdot V_{dseff}^2 + 2.0e - 4}$$
(4.20)

where  $I_{gc0}$  is  $I_{gc}$  at  $V_{ds}=0$ .

If the model parameter *PIGCD* is not specified, it is given by

$$PIGCD = \frac{B \cdot TOXE}{V_{gsteff}} \left( 1 - \frac{V_{dseff}}{2 \cdot V_{gsteff}} \right)$$
(4.21)

# **Chapter 5: Drain Current Model**

# 5.1 Bulk Charge Effect

The depletion width will not be uniform along channel when a non-zero  $V_{ds}$  is applied. This will cause  $V_{th}$  to vary along the channel. This effect is called bulk charge effect.

BSIM4 uses  $A_{bulk}$  to model the bulk charge effect. Several model parameters are introduced to account for the channel length and width dependences and bias effects.  $A_{bulk}$  is formulated by

(5.1)

$$A_{bulk} = \left\{ 1 + F\_doping \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A0 \cdot L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + 2\sqrt{XJ \cdot X_{dep}}} \cdot \\ \left( 1 - AGS \cdot V_{gsteff} \left( \frac{L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + 2\sqrt{XJ \cdot X_{dep}}} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{B0}{W_{eff} + B1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \right\} \frac{1}{1 + KETA \cdot V_{bseff}}$$

where the second term on the RHS is used to model the effect of nonuniform doping profiles

$$F_{-}doping = \frac{\sqrt{1 + LPEB/L_{eff}}K_{1ox}}{2\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bseff}}} + K_{2ox} - K3B\frac{TOXE}{W_{eff}' + W0}\Phi_s$$
(5.2)

Note that  $A_{bulk}$  is close to unity if the channel length is small and increases as the channel length increases.

# 5.2 Unified Mobility Model <u>mrtlMod=0</u>

A good mobility model is critical to the accuracy of a MOSFET model. The scattering mechanisms responsible for surface mobility basically include phonons, coulombic scattering, and surface roughness. For good quality interfaces, phonon scattering is generally the dominant scattering mechanism at room temperature. In general, mobility depends on many process parameters and bias conditions. For example, mobility depends on the gate oxide thickness, substrate doping concentration, threshold voltage, gate and substrate voltages, etc. [5] proposed an empirical unified formulation based on the concept of an effective field  $E_{eff}$  which lumps many process parameters and bias conditions together.  $E_{eff}$  is defined by

$$E_{eff} = \frac{Q_B + Q_n / 2}{\varepsilon_{si}}$$
(5.3)

The physical meaning of  $E_{eff}$  can be interpreted as the average electric field experienced by the carriers in the inversion layer. The unified formulation of mobility is then given by

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{\mu_0}{1 + (E_{eff} / E_0)^{\nu}}$$
(5.4)

For an NMOS transistor with n-type poly-silicon gate, (6.3) can be rewritten in a more useful form that explicitly relates  $E_{eff}$  to the device parameters

$$E_{eff} \approx \frac{V_{gs} + V_{th}}{6TOXE}$$
(5.5)

BSIM4 provides three different models of the effective mobility. The *mobMod* = 0 and 1 models are from BSIM3v3.2.2; the new *mobMod* = 2, a universal mobility model, is more accurate and suitable for predictive modeling.

mobMod = 0

(5.6)

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0 \cdot f(L_{eff})}{1 + \left(UA + UCV_{bseff}\right) \left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + 2V_{th}}{TOXE}\right) + UB \left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + 2V_{th}}{TOXE}\right)^2 + UD \left(\frac{V_{th} \cdot TOXE}{V_{gsteff} + 2\sqrt{V_{th}^2 + 0.0001}}\right)^2$$

$$mobMod = 1$$

(5.7)

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0 \cdot f(L_{eff})}{1 + \left[UA\left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + 2V_{th}}{TOXE}\right) + UB\left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + 2V_{th}}{TOXE}\right)^{2}\right] \left(1 + UC \cdot V_{bseff}\right) + UD\left(\frac{V_{th} \cdot TOXE}{V_{gsteff} + 2\sqrt{V_{th}^{2} + 0.0001}}\right)^{2}}$$
$$mobMod = 2$$

(5.8)

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0 \cdot f\left(L_{eff}\right)}{1 + \left(UA + UC \cdot V_{bseff}\right) \left[\frac{V_{gsteff} + C_0 \cdot \left(VTHO - VFB - \Phi_s\right)}{TOXE}\right]^{EU} + UD\left(\frac{V_{th} \cdot TOXE}{V_{gsteff} + 2\sqrt{V_{th}^2 + 0.0001}}\right)$$

where the constant C0 = 2 for NMOS and 2.5 for PMOS.

$$f(L_{eff}) = 1 - UP \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{L_{eff}}{LP}\right)$$
(5.9)

#### <u>mrtlMod=1</u>

A new expression of the vertical field in channel is adopted:

(5.10)

$$E_{eff} = \frac{V_{gsteff} + 2V_{th} - 2 \cdot BSIM \, 4type \cdot (PHIG - EASUB - Eg \, / \, 2 + 0.45)}{EOT} \cdot \frac{3.9}{EPSRSUB}$$

Thus the mobility model is modified as following:

mobMod=0

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0 \cdot f(L_{eff})}{1 + (UA + UC \cdot V_{bseff})E_{eff} + UB \cdot E_{eff}^2 + UD \left(\frac{V_{th} \cdot EOT}{V_{gsteff} + 2\sqrt{V_{th}^2 + 0.00001}}\right)^2}$$
(5.11)

mobMod=1

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0 \cdot f(L_{eff})}{1 + (UA \cdot E_{eff} + UB \cdot E_{eff}^2)(1 + UC \cdot V_{bseff})UD\left(\frac{V_{th} \cdot EOT}{V_{gsteff} + 2\sqrt{V_{th}^2 + 0.00001}}\right)^2}$$
(5.12)

#### Note: There is no changes in *mobMod*=2 when *mtrlMod*=1.

BSIM4.6.2 introduces a new model to predict the mobility in high k/metal gate structure, in which Coulombic scattering is important. *mobMod*=3

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0.f(L_{eff})}{1 + (UA + UCV_{bseff}) \left[ \frac{V_{gsteff} + C_0.(VTH0 - V_{fb} - \Phi_s)}{6.TOXE} \right]^{EU} + \frac{UD}{0.5 \left[ 1 + V_{gsteff} / V_{gsteff,Vth} \right]^{UCS}}$$
(5.13)

Here,  $V_{gsteff,Vth} = V_{gsteff}(V_{gse} = V_{th}, V_{ds} = V_{bs} = 0)$ .

# **5.3 Asymmetric and Bias-Dependent Source/ Drain Resistance Model**

BSIM4 models source/drain resistances in two components: biasindependent diffusion resistance (sheet resistance) and bias-dependent LDD resistance. Accurate modeling of the bias-dependent LDD resistances is important for deep-submicron CMOS technologies. In BSIM3 models, the LDD source/drain resistance  $R_{ds}(V)$  is modeled internally through the I-V equation and symmetry is assumed for the source and drain sides. BSIM4 keeps this option for the sake of simulation efficiency. In addition, BSIM4 allows the source LDD resistance  $R_s(V)$  and the drain LDD resistance  $R_d(V)$ to be external and asymmetric (i.e.  $R_s(V)$  and  $R_d(V)$  can be connected between the external and internal source and drain nodes, respectively; furthermore,  $R_s(V)$  does not have to be equal to  $R_d(V)$ . This feature makes accurate RF CMOS simulation possible. The internal  $R_{ds}(V)$  option can be invoked by setting the model selector **rdsMod** = 0 (internal) and the external one for  $R_s(V)$  and  $R_d(V)$  by setting **rdsMod** = 1 (external).

rdsMod = 0 (Internal  $R_{ds}(V)$ )

$$R_{ds}(V) = \begin{cases} RDSWMIN + RDSW \cdot \\ PRWB \cdot \left(\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bseff}} - \sqrt{\Phi_s}\right) + \frac{1}{1 + PRWG \cdot V_{gsteff}} \end{bmatrix} \\ / \left(1e6 \cdot W_{effcj}\right)^{WR} \end{cases}$$
(5.14)

rdsMod = 1 (External  $R_d(V)$  and  $R_s(V)$ )

$$R_{d}(V) = \begin{cases} RDWMIN + RDW \cdot & (5.15) \\ \left[ -PRWB \cdot V_{bd} + \frac{1}{1 + PRWG \cdot (V_{gd} - V_{fbsd})} \right] \end{cases} / \left[ (1e6 \cdot W_{effcj})^{WR} \cdot NF \right] \\ R_{s}(V) = \begin{cases} RSWMIN + RSW \cdot & (5.16) \\ \left[ -PRWB \cdot V_{bs} + \frac{1}{1 + PRWG \cdot (V_{gs} - V_{fbsd})} \right] \end{cases} / \left[ (1e6 \cdot W_{effcj})^{WR} \cdot NF \right] \end{cases}$$
(5.16)

 $V_{fbsd}$  is the calculated flat-band voltage between gate and source/drain as given in Section 4.3.2.

The following figure shows the schematic of source/drain resistance connection for rdsMod = 1.



The diffusion source/drain resistance  $R_{sdiff}$  and  $R_{ddiff}$  models are given in the chapter of layout-dependence models.

# **5.4 Drain Current for Triode Region**

 $R_{ds}(V)=0$  or *rdsMod*=1 ("intrinsic case")

Both drift and diffusion currents can be modeled by

$$I_{ds}(y) = WQ_{ch}(y)\mu_{ne}(y)\frac{dV_F(y)}{dy}$$
(5.17)

where  $\mu_{ne}(y)$  can be written as

$$\mu_{ne}(y) = \frac{\mu_{eff}}{1 + \frac{E_y}{E_{sat}}}$$
(5.18)

Substituting (6.17) in (6.16), we get

$$I_{ds}(y) = WQ_{ch0}\left(1 - \frac{V_F(y)}{V_b}\right) \frac{\mu_{eff}}{1 + \frac{E_y}{E_{sat}}} \frac{dV_F(y)}{dy}$$
(5.19)

(6.18) is integrated from source to drain to get the expression for linear drain current. This expression is valid from the subthreshold regime to the strong inversion regime

$$I_{ds0} = \frac{W\mu_{eff}Q_{ch0}V_{ds}\left(1 - \frac{V_{ds}}{2V_b}\right)}{L\left(1 + \frac{V_{ds}}{E_{sat}L}\right)}$$
(5.20)

 $R_{ds}(V) > 0$  and *rdsMod*=0 ("Extrinsic case")

The drain current in this case is expressed by

$$I_{ds} = \frac{I_{ds0}}{1 + \frac{R_{ds}I_{ds0}}{V_{ds}}}$$
(5.21)

# **5.5 Velocity Saturation**

Velocity saturation is modeled by [5]

$$v = \frac{\mu_{eff} E}{1 + E/E_{sat}} \quad E < E_{sat}$$

$$= VSAT \qquad E \ge E_{sat}$$
(5.22)

where  $E_{sat}$  corresponds to the critical electrical field at which the carrier velocity becomes saturated. In order to have a continuous velocity model at  $E = E_{sat}, E_{sat}$  must satisfy

$$E_{sat} = \frac{2VSAT}{\mu_{eff}}$$
(5.23)

# 5.6 Saturation Voltage V<sub>dsat</sub>

#### 5.6.1 Intrinsic case

In this case, the LDD source/drain resistances are either zero or non zero but not modeled inside the intrinsic channel region. It is easy to obtain  $V_{dsat}$  as [7]

$$V_{dsat} = \frac{E_{sat}L(V_{gsteff} + 2v_t)}{A_{bulk}E_{sat}L + V_{gsteff} + 2v_t}$$
(5.24)

#### 5.6.2 Extrinsic Case

In this case, non-zero LDD source/drain resistance  $R_{ds}(V)$  is modeled internally through the I-V equation and symetry is assumed for the source and drain sides.  $V_{dsat}$  is obtained as [7]

$$V_{dsat} = \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \tag{5.25}$$

where

$$a = A_{bulk}^{2} W_{eff} VSATC_{oxe} R_{ds} + A_{bulk} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1\right)$$
(5.26)

$$b = -\begin{bmatrix} \left(V_{gsteff} + 2v_{t}\right) \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} - 1\right) + A_{bulk} E_{sat} L_{eff} \\ + 3A_{bulk} \left(V_{gsteff} + 2v_{t}\right) W_{eff} VSATC_{oxe} R_{ds} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.27)

$$c = \left(V_{gsteff} + 2v_t\right)E_{sat}L_{eff} + 2\left(V_{gsteff} + 2v_t\right)^2 W_{eff}VSATC_{oxe}R_{ds}$$
(5.28)

$$\lambda = A1V_{gsteff} + A2 \tag{5.29}$$

 $\lambda$  is introduced to model the non-saturation effects which are found for PMOSFETs.

#### 5.6.3V<sub>dseff</sub> Formulation

An effective  $V_{ds}$ ,  $V_{dseff}$ , is used to ensure a smooth transition near  $V_{dsat}$  from trode to saturation regions.  $V_{dseff}$  is formulated as

$$V_{dseff} = V_{dsat} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( V_{dsat} - V_{ds} - \delta \right) + \sqrt{\left( V_{dsat} - V_{ds} - \delta \right)^2 + 4\delta \cdot V_{dsat}} \right]$$
(5.30)

where  $\delta$  (*DELTA*) is a model parameter.

# 5.7 Saturation-Region Output Conductance Model

A typical I-V curve and its output resistance are shown in Figure 6.1. Considering only the channel current, the I-V curve can be divided into two parts: the linear region in which the current increases quickly with the drain voltage and the saturation region in which the drain current has a weaker dependence on the drain voltage. The first order derivative reveals more detailed information about the physical mechanisms which are involved in the device operation. The output resistance curve can be divided into four regions with distinct  $R_{out}$ ~ $V_{ds}$  dependences.

The first region is the triode (or linear) region in which carrier velocity is not saturated. The output resistance is very small because the drain current has a strong dependence on the drain voltage. The other three regions belong to the saturation region. As will be discussed later, there are several physical mechanisms which affect the output resistance in the saturation region: channel length modulation (CLM), drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL), and the substrate current induced body effect (SCBE). These mechanisms all affect the output resistance in the saturation range, but each of them dominates in a specific region. It will be shown next that CLM dominates in the second region, DIBL in the third region, and SCBE in the fourth region.



Figure 5.1 General behavior of MOSFET output resistance.

The channel current is a function of the gate and drain voltage. But the current depends on the drain voltage weakly in the saturation region. In the following, the Early voltage is introduced for the analysis of the output resistance in the saturation region:

$$I_{ds}(V_{gs}, V_{ds}) = I_{dsat}(V_{gs}, V_{dsat}) + \int_{V_{dsat}}^{V_{ds}} \frac{\partial I_{ds}(V_{gs}, V_{ds})}{\partial V_d} \cdot dV_d$$

$$= I_{dsat}(V_{gs}, V_{dsat}) \cdot \left[1 + \int_{V_{dsat}}^{V_{ds}} \frac{1}{V_A} \cdot dV_d\right]$$
(5.31)

where the Early voltage  $V_A$  is defined as

$$V_{A} = I_{dsat} \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial I_{ds} \left( V_{gs}, V_{ds} \right)}{\partial V_{d}} \right]^{-1}$$
(5.32)

We assume in the following analysis that the contributions to the Early voltage from all mechanisms are independent and can be calculated separately.

#### 5.7.1 Channel Length Modulation (CLM)

If channel length modulation is the only physical mechanism to be taken into account, the Early voltage can be calculated by

$$V_{ACLM} = I_{dsat} \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial I_{ds} \left( V_{gs}, V_{ds} \right)}{\partial L} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial V_d} \right]^{-1}$$
(5.33)

Based on quasi two-dimensional analysis and through integration, we propose  $V_{ACLM}$  to be

$$V_{ACLM} = C_{clm} \cdot \left( V_{ds} - V_{dsat} \right) \tag{5.34}$$

where

$$C_{clm} = \frac{1}{PCLM} \cdot F \cdot \left(1 + PVAG \frac{V_{gsteff}}{E_{sat}L_{eff}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{R_{ds} \cdot I_{dso}}{V_{dseff}}\right) \left(L_{eff} + \frac{V_{dsat}}{E_{sat}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{litl}$$
(5.35)

and the F factor to account for the impact of pocket implant technology is

$$F = \frac{1}{1 + FPROUT \cdot \frac{\sqrt{L_{eff}}}{V_{gsteff} + 2v_t}}$$
(5.36)

and *litl* in (6.34) is given by

$$litl = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{si}TOXE \cdot XJ}{EPSROX}}$$
(5.37)

*PCLM* is introduced into  $V_{ACLM}$  to compensate for the error caused by *XJ* since the junction depth *XJ* cannot be determined very accurately.

#### 5.7.2 Drain-Induced Barrier Lowering (DIBL)

The Early voltage  $V_{ADIBLC}$  due to DIBL is defined as

$$V_{ADIBL} = I_{dsat} \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial I_{ds} \left( V_{gs}, V_{ds} \right)}{\partial V_{th}} \cdot \frac{\partial V_{th}}{\partial V_{d}} \right]^{-1}$$
(5.38)

 $V_{th}$  has a linear dependence on  $V_{ds}$ . As channel length decreases,  $V_{ADIBLC}$  decreases very quickly

$$V_{ADIBL} = \frac{V_{gsteff} + 2v_t}{\theta_{rout} \left(1 + PDIBLCB \cdot V_{bseff}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{A_{bulk}V_{dsat}}{A_{bulk}V_{dsat} + V_{gsteff} + 2v_t}\right) \cdot \left(1 + PVAG\frac{V_{gsteff}}{E_{sat}L_{eff}}\right)$$

where  $\theta_{rout}$  has a similar dependence on the channel length as the DIBL effect in  $V_{th}$ , but a separate set of parameters are used:

$$\theta_{rout} = \frac{PDIBLC1}{2\cosh\left(\frac{DROUT \cdot L_{eff}}{h_0}\right) - 2} + PDIBLC2$$
(5.40)

Parameters *PDIBLC1*, *PDIBLC2*, *PDIBLCB* and *DROUT* are introduced to correct the DIBL effect in the strong inversion region. The reason why *DVT*0 is not equal to *PDIBLC1* and *DVT*1 is not equal to *DROUT* is because the gate voltage modulates the DIBL effect. When the threshold voltage is determined, the gate voltage is equal to the threshold voltage. But in the saturation region where the output resistance is modeled, the gate voltage is much larger than the threshold voltage. Drain induced barrier lowering may not be the same at different gate bias. *PDIBLC2* is usually very small. If *PDIBLC2* is put into the threshold voltage model, it will not cause any significant change. However it is an important parameter in  $V_{ADIBLC}$  for long channel devices, because *PDIBLC2* will be dominant if the channel is long.

#### 5.7.3 Substrate Current Induced Body Effect (SCBE)

When the electrical field near the drain is very large (> 0.1MV/cm), some electrons coming from the source (in the case of NMOSFETs) will be

energetic (hot) enough to cause impact ionization. This will generate electron-hole pairs when these energetic electrons collide with silicon atoms. The substrate current  $I_{sub}$  thus created during impact ionization will increase exponentially with the drain voltage. A well known  $I_{sub}$  model [8] is

$$I_{sub} = \frac{A_i}{B_i} I_{ds} \left( V_{ds} - V_{dsat} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{B_i \cdot litl}{V_{ds} - V_{dsat}} \right)$$
(5.41)

Parameters  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are determined from measurement.  $I_{sub}$  affects the drain current in two ways. The total drain current will change because it is the sum of the channel current as well as the substrate current. The total drain current can now be expressed as follows

$$I_{ds} = I_{ds-w/o-Isub} + I_{sub} = I_{ds-w/o-Isub} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dsat}}{\frac{B_i}{A_i} \exp\left(\frac{B_i \cdot liil}{V_{ds} - V_{dsat}}\right)} \right]$$
(5.42)

The Early voltage due to the substrate current  $V_{\text{ASCBE}}$  can therefore be calculated by

$$V_{ASCBE} = \frac{B_i}{A_i} \exp\left(\frac{B_i \cdot litl}{V_{ds} - V_{dsat}}\right)$$
(5.43)

We can see that  $V_{ASCBE}$  is a strong function of  $V_{ds}$ . In addition, we also observe that  $V_{ASCBE}$  is small only when  $V_{ds}$  is large. This is why SCBE is important for devices with high drain voltage bias. The channel length and gate oxide dependence of  $V_{ASCBE}$  comes from  $V_{dsat}$  and *litl*. We replace  $B_i$ with *PSCBE2* and  $A_i/B_i$  with *PSCBE1/L<sub>eff</sub>* to get the following expression for  $V_{ASCBE}$ 

$$\frac{1}{V_{ASCBE}} = \frac{PSCBE2}{L_{eff}} \exp\left(-\frac{PSCBE1 \cdot litl}{V_{ds} - V_{dsat}}\right)$$
(5.44)

#### 5.7.4 Drain-Induced Threshold Shift (DITS) by Pocket Implant

It has been shown that a long-channel device with pocket implant has a smaller  $R_{out}$  than that of uniformly-doped device [3]. The  $R_{out}$  degradation factor F is given in (6.35). In addition, the pocket implant introduces a potential barrier at the drain end of the channel. This barrier can be lowered by the drain bias even in long-channel devices. The Early voltage due to DITS is modeled by

$$V_{ADITS} = \frac{1}{PDITS} \cdot F \cdot \left[ 1 + \left( 1 + PDITSL \cdot L_{eff} \right) \exp(PDITSD \cdot V_{ds}) \right]$$
(5.45)

# **5.8 Single-Equation Channel Current Model**

The final channel current equation for both linear and saturation regions now becomes

$$I_{ds} = \frac{I_{ds0} \cdot NF}{1 + \frac{R_{ds}I_{ds0}}{V_{dseff}}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{C_{clm}} \ln\left(\frac{V_A}{V_{Asat}}\right) \right]$$

$$\cdot \left( 1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{V_{ADIBL}} \right) \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{V_{ADITS}} \right) \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{V_{ASCBE}} \right)$$
(5.46)

where NF is the number of device fingers, and

 $V_A$  is written as

$$V_A = V_{Asat} + V_{ACLM} \tag{5.47}$$

where  $V_{Asat}$  is

$$V_{Asat} = \frac{E_{sat}L_{eff} + V_{dsat} + 2R_{ds}vsatC_{oxe}W_{eff}V_{gsteff} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{A_{bulk}V_{dsat}}{2(V_{gsteff} + 2v_{i})}\right]}{R_{ds}vsatC_{oxe}W_{eff}A_{bulk} - 1 + \frac{2}{\lambda}}$$
(5.48)

 $V_{Asat}$  is the Early voltage at  $V_{ds} = V_{dsat}$ .  $V_{Asat}$  is needed to have continuous drain current and output resistance expressions at the transition point between linear and saturation regions.

# 5.9 New Current Saturation Mechanisms: Velocity Overshoot and Source End Velocity Limit Model

#### **5.9.1 Velocity Overshoot**

In the deep-submicron region, the velocity overshoot has been observed to be a significant effect even though the supply voltage is scaled down according to the channel length. An approximate non-local velocity field expression has proven to provide a good description of this effect

$$v = v_d \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\mu E}{1 + E/E_c} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x}\right)$$
(5.49)

This relationship is then substituted into (6.45) and the new current expression including the velocity overshoot effect is obtained:

$$I_{DS,HD} = \frac{I_{DS} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{V_{dseff}}{L_{eff} E_{sat}}\right)}{1 + \frac{V_{dseff}}{L_{eff} E_{sat}^{OV}}}$$
(5.50)

where

$$E_{sat}^{OV} = E_{sat} \left[ 1 + \frac{LAMBDA}{L_{eff} \cdot \mu_{eff}} \cdot \frac{\left(1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{Esat \cdot litl}\right)^2 - 1}{\left(1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{Esat \cdot litl}\right)^2 + 1} \right]$$
(5.51)

LAMBDA is the velocity overshoot coefficient.

#### 5.9.2 Source End Velocity Limit Model

When MOSFETs come to nanoscale, because of the high electric field and strong velocity overshoot, carrier transport through the drain end of the channel is rapid. As a result, the dc current is controlled by how rapidly carriers are transported across a short low-field region near the beginning of the channel. This is known as injection velocity limits at the source end of the channel. A compact model is firstly developed to account for this current saturation mechanism.

Hydro-dynamic transportation gives the source end velocity as :

$$v_{sHD} = \frac{I_{DS,HD}}{Wq_s}$$
(5.52)

where  $q_s$  is the source end inversion charge density. Source end velocity limit gives the highest possible velocity which can be given through ballistic transport as:

$$v_{sBT} = \frac{1-r}{1+r} VTL \tag{5.53}$$

where VTL: thermal velocity, r is the back scattering coefficient which is given:

$$r = \frac{L_{eff}}{XN \cdot L_{eff} + LC} \quad XN \ge 3.0 \tag{5.54}$$

The real source end velocity should be the lower of the two, so a final Unified current expression with velocity saturation, velocity overshoot and source velocity limit can be expressed as :

$$I_{DS} = \frac{I_{DS,HD}}{\left[1 + \left(v_{sHD} / v_{sBT}\right)^{2MM}\right]^{1/2MM}}$$
(5.55)

where MM=2.0.

# **Chapter 6: Body Current Models**

In addition to the junction diode current and gate-to-body tunneling current, the substrate terminal current consists of the substrate current due to impact ionization ( $I_{ii}$ ), and gate-induced drain leakage and source leakage currents ( $I_{GIDL}$  and  $I_{GISL}$ ).

# 6.1 *I<sub>ii</sub>* Model

The impact ionization current model in BSIM4 is the same as that in BSIM3v3.2, and is modeled by

$$I_{ii} = \frac{ALPHA0 + ALPHA1 \cdot L_{eff}}{L_{eff}} \left( V_{ds} - V_{dseff} \right) \exp\left(\frac{BETA0}{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}\right) \cdot I_{dsNoSCBE}$$
(6.1)

where parameters *ALPHA*0 and *BETA*0 are impact ionization coefficients; parameter *ALPHA*1 is introduced to improves the  $I_{ii}$  scalability, and

$$I_{dsNoSCBE} = \frac{I_{ds0} \cdot NF}{1 + \frac{R_{ds}I_{ds0}}{V_{dseff}}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{C_{clm}} \ln\left(\frac{V_A}{V_{Asat}}\right) \right] \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{V_{ADIBL}} \right) \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{V_{ADITS}} \right)$$
(6.2)

# 6.2 *I<sub>GIDL</sub>* and *I<sub>GISL</sub>* Model <u>mtrlMod=0</u>

The GIDL/GISL current and its body bias effect are modeled by [9]-[10]

$$I_{GIDL} = AGIDL \cdot W_{effCJ} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGIDL}{3 \cdot T_{oxe}}$$

$$\cdot \exp\left(-\frac{3 \cdot T_{oxe} \cdot BGIDL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGIDL}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{db}^{3}}{CGIDL + V_{db}^{3}}$$
(6.3)

$$I_{GISL} = AGISL \cdot W_{effCJ} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{-V_{ds} - V_{gde} - EGISL}{3 \cdot T_{oxe}}$$

$$\cdot \exp\left(-\frac{3 \cdot T_{oxe} \cdot BGISL}{-V_{ds} - V_{gde} - EGISL}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{sb}^3}{CGISL + V_{sb}^3}$$
(6.4)

where *AGIDL*, *BGIDL*, *CGIDL* and *EGIDL* are model parameters for the drain side and *AGISL*, *BGISL*, *CGISL* and *EGISL* are the model parameters for the source side. They are explained in Appendix A. *CGIDL* and *CGISL* account for the body-bias dependence of  $I_{GIDL}$  and  $I_{GISL}$  respectively.  $W_{effCJ}$  and *Nf* are the effective width of the source/drain diffusions and the number of fingers. Further explanation of  $W_{effCJ}$  and *Nf* can be found in the chapter of the layout-dependence model.

#### <u>mtrlMod=1</u>

In this case, the work function difference  $(V_{fbsd})$  between source/drain and channel could be modeled as follows:

$$V_{fbsd} = PHIG - (EASUB + \frac{Eg0}{2} - BSIM \, 4typy \times MIN\left(\frac{Eg0}{2}, v_t \ln\left(\frac{NSD}{n_i}\right)\right)$$
(6.5)

Moreover, the GIDL/GISL current should be modified as following:

$$I_{GIDL} = AGIDL \cdot W_{effCJ} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGIDL + V_{fbsd}}{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9}}$$

$$exp\left(-\frac{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9} \cdot BGIDL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGIDL + V_{fbsd}}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{db}^{3}}{CGIDL + V_{db}^{3}}$$

$$(6.6)$$

$$I_{GIDS} = AGISL \cdot W_{effCJ} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGISL + V_{fbsd}}{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9}}$$

$$exp\left(-\frac{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9} \cdot BGISL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGISL + V_{fbsd}}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{db}^{3}}{CGISL + V_{db}^{3}}$$
(6.7)

#### gidlMod = 1

In this new model, the basic idea is to decouple  $V_{ds}$  and  $V_{gs}$  dependence by introducing an extra parameter *rgidl*. The body bias dependence part is also revised. Here, *KGIDL* and *FGILD* are  $V_{bs}$  dependent parameters.

$$I_{GIDL} = AGIDL \cdot W_{diod} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{V_{ds} - RGIDL \cdot V_{gse} - EGIDL + V_{fbsd}}{3 \cdot T_{oxe}}$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{3 \cdot T_{oxe} \cdot BGIDL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGIDL}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{KGIDL}{V_{ds} - FGIDL}\right)$$

$$I_{GISL} = AGISL \cdot W_{dios} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{-V_{ds} - RGISL \cdot V_{gse} - EGISL + V_{fbsd}}{3 \cdot T_{oxe}}$$

$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{3 \cdot T_{oxe} \cdot BGISL}{-V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGISL}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{KGISL}{-V_{bs} - FGISL}\right)$$
(6.9)

Here  $V_{fbsd} = 0$  when mtrlMod = 0.

# **Chapter 7: Capacitance Model**

Accurate modeling of MOSFET capacitance plays equally important role as that of the DC model. This chapter describes the methodology and device physics considered in both intrinsic and extrinsic capacitance modeling in BSIM4.0.0. Complete model parameters can be found in Appendix A.

# 7.1 General Description

BSIM4.0.0 provides three options for selecting intrinsic and overlap/fringing capacitance models. These capacitance models come from BSIM3v3.2, and the BSIM3v3.2 capacitance model parameters are used without change in BSIM4 except that separate *CKAPPA* parameters are introduced for the source-side and drain-side overlap capacitances. The BSIM3v3.2 *capMod* = 1 is no longer supported in BSIM4. The following table maps the BSIM4 capacitance models to those of BSIM3v3.2.

BSIM4 capacitance models	Matched <i>capMod</i> in BSIM3v3.2.2
<i>capMod</i> = 0 (simple and piece- wise model)	Intrinsic $capMod = 0 + overlap/fringing capMod = 0$
<i>capMod</i> = 1 (single-equation model)	Intrinsic <i>capMod</i> = 2 + overlap/fringing <i>capMod</i> = 2
capMod = 2 (default model;	Intrinsic $capMod = 3 + overlap/fringing capMod = 2$
singel-equation and charge-thickness model	

BSIM4 capacitance models have the following features:

• Separate effective channel length and width are used for capacitance models.

- *capMod* = 0 uses piece-wise equations. *capMod* = 1 and 2 are smooth and single equation models; therefore both charge and capacitance are continous and smooth over all regions.
- Threshold voltage is consistent with DC part except for *capMod* = 0, where a long-channel  $V_{th}$  is used. Therefore, those effects such as body bias, short/narrow channel and DIBL effects are explicitly considered in *capMod* = 1 and 2.
- A new threshold voltage definition is introduced to improve the fitting in subthreshold region. Setting *cvchargeMod* = 1 activates the new  $V_{gsteff,CV}$  calculation which is similar to the  $V_{gsteff}$  formulation in the I-V model.
- Overlap capacitance comprises two parts: (1) a bias-independent component which models the effective overlap capacitance between the gate and the heavily doped source/drain; (2) a gate-bias dependent component between the gate and the lightly doped source/drain region.
- Bias-independent fringing capacitances are added between the gate and source as well as the gate and drain.

# 7.2 Methodology for Intrinsic Capacitance Modeling

#### 7.2.1 Basic Formulation

To ensure charge conservation, terminal charges instead of terminal voltages are used as state variables. The terminal charges  $Q_g$ ,  $Q_b$ ,  $Q_s$ , and  $Q_d$  are the charges associated with the gate, bulk, source, and drain termianls, respectively. The gate charge is comprised of mirror charges from these components: the channel charge ( $Q_{inv}$ ), accumulation charge ( $Q_{acc}$ ) and substrate depletion charge ( $Q_{sub}$ ). The accumulation charge and the substrate charge are associated with the substrate while the channel charge comes from the source and drain terminals

$$\begin{cases}
Q_g = -(Q_{sub} + Q_{inv} + Q_{acc}) \\
Q_b = Q_{acc} + Q_{sub} \\
Q_{inv} = Q_s + Q_d
\end{cases}$$
(7.1)

The substrate charge can be divided into two components: the substrate charge at zero source-drain bias ( $Q_{sub0}$ ), which is a function of gate to substrate bias, and the additional non-uniform substrate charge in the presence of a drain bias ( $\delta Q_{sub}$ ).  $Q_g$  now becomes

$$Q_g = -(Q_{inv} + Q_{acc} + Q_{sub0} + \delta Q_{sub})$$

$$(7.2)$$

The total charge is computed by integrating the charge along the channel. The threshold voltage along the channel is modified due to the non-uniform substrate charge by

$$V_{th}(y) = V_{th}(0) + (A_{bulk} - 1)V_y$$
(7.3)

$$\begin{cases} Q_c = W_{active} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} q_c dy = -W_{active} C_{oxe} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} (V_{gt} - A_{bulk} V_y) dy \\ Q_g = W_{active} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} q_g dy = W_{active} C_{oxe} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} (V_{gt} + V_{th} - V_{FB} - \Phi_s - V_y) dy \\ Q_b = W_{active} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} q_b dy = -W_{active} C_{oxe} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} (V_{th} - V_{FB} - \Phi_s + (A_{bulk} - 1) V_y) dy \end{cases}$$
(7.4)

where  $V_{gt} = V_{gse} - V_{th}$  and

$$dy = \frac{dV_y}{E_y} \tag{7.5}$$

where  $E_y$  is expressed in

$$I_{ds} = \frac{W_{active}\mu_{eff}C_{oxe}}{L_{active}} \left(V_{gt} - \frac{A_{bulk}}{2}V_{ds}\right) V_{ds} = W_{active}\mu_{eff}C_{oxe}\left(V_{gt} - A_{bulk}V_{y}\right) E_{y}$$
(7.6)

All capacitances are derived from the charges to ensure charge conservation. Since there are four terminals, there are altogether 16 components. For each component

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial V_j} \tag{7.7}$$

where *i* and *j* denote the transistor terminals.  $C_{ij}$  satisfies

$$\sum_{i} C_{ij} = \sum_{j} C_{ij} = 0 \tag{7.8}$$

#### 7.2.2 Short Channel Model <u>cvchargeMod=0</u>

The long-channel charge model assumes a constant mobility with no velocity saturation. Since no channel length modulation is considered, the channel charge remains constant in saturation region. Conventional long-channel charge models assume  $V_{dsat,CV} = V_{gt} / A_{bulk}$  and therefore is independent of channel length. If we define a drain bias,  $V_{dsat,CV}$ , for capacitance modeling, at which the channel charge becomes constant, we will find that  $V_{dsat,CV}$  in general is larger than  $V_{dsat}$  for I-V but smaller than the long-channel  $V_{dsat} = V_{gt} / A_{bulk}$ . In other words,

$$V_{dsat,IV} < V_{dsat,CV} < V_{dsat,IV} \bigg|_{Lactive \to \infty} = \frac{V_{gsteff,CV}}{A_{bulk}}$$
(7.9)

and  $V_{dsat,CV}$  is modeled by

$$V_{dsat,CV} = \frac{V_{gsteff,CV}}{A_{bulk} \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{CLC}{L_{active}}\right)^{CLE}\right]}$$
(7.10)  
$$V_{gsteff,CV} = NOFF \cdot nv_t \cdot \ln\left[1 + \exp\left(\frac{V_{gse} - V_{th} - VOFFCV}{NOFF \cdot nv_t}\right)\right]$$
(7.11)

Model parameters *CLC* and *CLE* are introduced to consider the effect of channel-length modulation.  $A_{bulk}$  for the capacitance model is modeled by

$$A_{bulk} = \left\{ 1 + F_{doping} \cdot \left[ \frac{A0 \cdot L_{eff}}{L_{eff} + 2\sqrt{XJ \cdot X_{dep}}} \cdot + \frac{B0}{W_{eff} + B1} \right] \cdot \right\} \frac{1}{1 + KETA \cdot V_{bseff}}$$
(7.12)

where

$$F_{-}doping = \frac{\sqrt{1 + LPEB/L_{eff}}K_{1ox}}{2\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bseff}}} + K_{2ox} - K3B\frac{TOXE}{W_{eff}} + W0}\Phi_s$$
(7.13)

#### cvchargeMod=1

In order to improve the predictive modeling in the subthreshold region, a new threshold voltage for C-V is introduced as following:

$$V_{gsteffCV} = \frac{nv_{t} \ln \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{m^{*}\left(V_{gse} - V_{th}\right)}{nv_{t}}\right)\right)}{m^{*} + nC_{oxe}\sqrt{\frac{2\phi_{s}}{qNDEP\varepsilon_{si}}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(1 - m^{*}\right)\left(V_{gse} - V_{th}\right) - Voff^{'}}{nv_{t}}\right)}$$

$$m^{*} = 0.5 + \frac{\arctan\left(MINVCV\right)}{\pi}$$

$$Voff^{'} = VOFFCV + \frac{VOFFCVL}{L_{eff}}$$

$$(7.16)$$

It is clear that this new definition is similar to  $V_{gsteff}$  in I-V model.

Note: The default value of *cvchargeMod* is zero to keep the backward compatibility.

#### 7.2.3 Single Equation Formulation

Traditional MOSFET SPICE capacitance models use piece-wise equations. This can result in discontinuities and non-smoothness at transition regions. The following describes single-equation formulation for charge, capacitance and voltage modeling in *capMod* = 1 and 2.

#### (a) Transition from depletion to inversion region

The biggest discontinuity is at threshold voltage where the inversion capacitance changes abruptly from zero to  $C_{oxe}$ . Concurrently, since the substrate charge is a constant, the substrate capacitance drops abruptly to zero at threshold voltage. The BSIM4 charge and capacitance models are formulated by substituting  $V_{gst}$  with  $V_{gsteff,CV}$  as

$$Q(V_{gst}) = Q(V_{gsteff,CV})$$
(7.17)

For capacitance modeling

$$C(V_{gst}) = C(V_{gsteff,CV}) \frac{\partial V_{gsteff,CV}}{V_{g,d,s,b}}$$
(7.18)

#### (b) Transition from accumulation to depletion region

An effective smooth flatband voltage  $V_{FBeff}$  is used for the accumulation and depletion regions.

$$V_{FBeff} = V_{fbzb} - 0.5 \left[ \left( V_{fbzb} - V_{gb} - 0.02 \right) + \sqrt{\left( V_{fbzb} - V_{gb} - 0.02 \right)^2 + 0.08 V_{fbzb}} \right]$$
(7.19)

where

$$V_{fbzb} = V_{th} \Big|_{zeroV_{bs} andV_{ds}} - \Phi_s - K1\sqrt{\Phi_s}$$
(7.20)

A bias-independent  $V_{th}$  is used to calculate  $V_{fbzb}$  for *capMod* = 1 and 2. For *capMod* = 0, *VFBCV* is used instead (refer to Appendix A).

#### (c) Transition from linear to saturation region

An effective  $V_{ds}$ ,  $V_{cveff}$ , is used to smooth out the transition between linear and saturation regions.

$$V_{cveff} = V_{dsat,CV} - 0.5 \left\{ V_4 + \sqrt{V_4^2 + 4\delta_4 V_{dsat,CV}} \right\}$$
(7.21)  
where  $V_4 = V_{dsat,CV} - V_{ds} - \delta_4; \delta_4 = 0.02V$ 

#### 7.2.4. Charge partitioning

The inversion charges are partitioned into  $Q_{inv} = Q_s + Q_d$ . The ratio of  $Q_d$  to  $Q_s$  is the charge partitioning ratio. Existing charge partitioning schemes are 0/100, 50/50 and 40/60 (*XPART* = 1, 0.5 and 0).

#### 50/50 charge partition

This is the simplest of all partitioning schemes in which the inversion charges are assumed to be contributed equally from the source and drain terminals.

#### 40/60 charge partition

This is the most physical model of the three partitioning schemes in which the channel charges are allocated to the source and drain terminals by assuming a linear dependence on channel position *y*.

$$\begin{cases} Q_s = W_{active} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} q_c \left(1 - \frac{y}{L_{active}}\right) \\ Q_d = W_{active} \int_{0}^{L_{active}} q_c \frac{y}{L_{active}} dy \end{cases}$$
(7.22)

#### 0/100 charge partition

In fast transient simulations, the use of a quasi-static model may result in a large unrealistic drain current spike. This partitioning scheme is developed to artificially suppress the drain current spike by assigning all inversion charges in the saturation region to the source electrode. Notice that this charge partitioning scheme will still give drain current spikes in the linear region and aggravate the source current spike problem.

# 7.3 Charge-Thickness Capacitance Model (CTM) <u>mtrlMod=0</u>

Current MOSFET models in SPICE generally overestimate the intrinsic capacitance and usually are not smooth at  $V_{fb}$  and  $V_{th}$ . The discrepancy is more pronounced in thinner  $T_{ox}$  devices due to the assumption of inversion and accumulation charge being located at the interface. Numerical quantum simulation results in Figure 8.1 indicate the significant charge thickness in all regions of operation.



Figure 7.1 Charge distribution from numerical quantum simulations show significant charge thickness at various bias conditions shown in the inset.

CTM is a charge-based model and therefore starts with the DC charge thickness,  $X_{DC}$ . The charge thickness introduces a capacitance in series with  $C_{ox}$  as illustrated in Figure 7.2, resulting in an effective  $C_{oxeff}$ . Based on numerical self-consistent solution of Shrődinger, Poisson and Fermi-Dirac equations, universal and analytical  $X_{DC}$  models have been developed.  $C_{oxeff}$  can be expressed as

$$C_{oxeff} = \frac{C_{oxp} \cdot C_{cen}}{C_{oxp} + C_{cen}}$$
(7.23)

where

$$C_{cen} = \varepsilon_{si} / X_{DC} \tag{7.24}$$



Figure 7.2 Charge-thickness capacitance concept in CTM.  $V_{gse}$  accounts for the poly depletion effect.

#### (i) $X_{DC}$ for accumulation and depletion

The DC charge thickness in the accumulation and depletion regions can be expressed by

$$X_{DC} = \frac{1}{3} L_{debye} \exp\left[ACDE \cdot \left(\frac{NDEP}{2 \times 10^{16}}\right)^{-0.25} \cdot \frac{V_{gse} - V_{bseff} - V_{FBeff}}{TOXP}\right]$$
(7.25)

where  $L_{debye}$  is Debye length, and  $X_{DC}$  is in the unit of cm and  $(V_{gse} - V_{bseff} - V_{FBeff}) / TOXP$  is in units of MV/cm. For numerical stability, (8.25) is replaced by (8.26)

$$X_{DC} = X_{\max} - \frac{1}{2} \left( X_0 + \sqrt{X_0^2 + 4\delta_x X_{\max}} \right)$$
(7.26)

where

$$X_0 = X_{\max} - X_{DC} - \delta_x \tag{7.27}$$

and  $X_{max} = L_{debye} / 3$ ;  $= 10^{-3} TOXE$ .

#### (ii) $X_{\rm DC}$ of inversion charge

The inversion charge layer thickness can be formulated as

$$X_{DC} = \frac{ADOS \times 1.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}}{1 + \left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + 4(VTH0 - VFB - \Phi_s)}{2TOXP}\right)^{0.7 \times BDOS}}$$
(7.28)

Here, the density of states parameters *ADOS* and *BDOS* are introduced to control the charge centroid. Their default values are one.

Through the *VFB* term, equation (7.28) is found to be applicable to  $N^+$  or  $P^+$  poly-Si gates and even other future gate materials.

#### (iii) Body charge thickness in inversion

In inversion region, the body charge thickness effect is modeled by including the deviation of the surface potential  $\Phi_S$  (bias-dependence) from 2  $\Phi_B$  [2]

$$\varphi_{\delta} = \Phi_s - 2\Phi_B = \nu_t \ln\left(1 + \frac{V_{gsteffCV} \cdot (V_{gsteffCV} + 2K_{1ox}\sqrt{2\Phi_B})}{MOIN \cdot K_{1ox}^2 \nu_t}\right)$$
(7.29)

The channel charge density is therefore derived as

$$q_{inv} = -C_{oxeff} \cdot \left( V_{gsteff,CV} - \varphi_{\delta} \right)_{eff}$$
(7.30)

where

(7.31)

#### <u>mtrlMod=1</u>

First, *TOXP* should be iteratively calculated by *EOT* as follows:

$$TOXP = EOT - \frac{3.9}{EPSRSUB} \times X_{DC} \Big|_{V_{gs} = VDDEOT, V_{ds} = V_{bs} = 0}$$
(7.32)

$$X_{DC} = \frac{ADOS \times 1.9 \times 10^{-9}}{1 + \left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + (VTH0 - VFB - \phi_s)}{2TOXP}\right)^{0.7 \times BDOS}}$$
(7.33)

With the calculated *TOXP*,  $X_{DC}$  could be obtained at different gate voltage. Now  $C_{cen}$  is equal to *EPSRSUB/X<sub>DC</sub>*. The other calculations are as same as *mtrlMod*=0.

# 7.4 Intrinsic Capacitance Model Equations

 $7.4.1 \ capMod = 0$ 

#### **Accumulation region**

$$Q_g = W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxe} \left( V_{gs} - V_{bs} - VFBCV \right)$$
(7.34)

$$Q_{sub} = -Q_g \tag{7.35}$$

$$Q_{inv} = 0 \tag{7.36}$$

## Subthreshold region

$$Q_{sub0} = -W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxe} \cdot \frac{K_{1ox}^{2}}{2} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(V_{gs} - VFBCV - V_{bs})}{K_{1ox}^{2}}} \right)$$
(7.37)

$$Q_g = -Q_{sub0} \tag{7.38}$$

$$Q_{inv} = 0 \tag{7.39}$$

#### **Strong inversion region**

$$V_{dsat,cv} = \frac{V_{gs} - V_{th}}{A_{bulk}'}$$
(7.40)

$$A_{bulk}' = A_{bulk} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{CLC}{L_{eff}} \right)^{CLE} \right)$$
(7.41)

$$V_{th} = VFBCV + \Phi_s + K_{lox}\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bseff}}$$
(7.42)

Linear region

$$Q_{g} = C_{oxe} W_{active} L_{active} \left( V_{gs} - VFBCV - \Phi_{s} - \frac{V_{ds}}{2} + \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}^{2}}{12 \left( V_{gs} - V_{th} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}}{2} \right)} \right)$$
(7.43)  
(7.43)

$$Q_{b} = C_{oxe} W_{active} L_{active} \left( VFBCV - V_{th} - \Phi_{s} + \frac{(1 - A_{bulk}')V_{ds}}{2} - \frac{(1 - A_{bulk}')A_{bulk}'V_{ds}^{2}}{12\left(V_{gs} - V_{th} - \frac{A_{bulk}'V_{ds}}{2}\right)} \right)$$

## 50/50 partitioning:

$$Q_{inv} = -C_{oxe}W_{active}L_{active}\left(V_{gs} - V_{th} - \Phi_s - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}}{2} + \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}^2}{12\left(V_{gs} - V_{th} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}}{2}\right)}\right)$$
(7.45)  
$$Q_s = Q_d = 0.5Q_{inv}$$
(7.46)

# 40/60 partitioning:

(7.47)

$$Q_{d} = -C_{oxe}W_{active}L_{active}\left(\frac{V_{gs} - V_{th}}{2} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}}{2} + \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds} \left[\frac{(V_{gs} - V_{th})^{2}}{6} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}(V_{gs} - V_{th})}{8} + \frac{(A_{bulk} V_{ds})^{2}}{40}\right]}{12(V_{gs} - V_{th} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{ds}}{2})^{2}}\right)$$

$$Q_{s} = -(Q_{g} + Q_{b} + Q_{d})$$
(7.48)

# 0/100 partitioning:

$$Q_{d} = -C_{oxe}W_{active}L_{active}\left(\frac{V_{gs} - V_{th}}{2} + \frac{A_{bulk} 'V_{ds}}{4} - \frac{(A_{bulk} 'V_{ds})^{2}}{24}\right)$$
(7.49)

$$Q_s = -\left(Q_g + Q_b + Q_d\right) \tag{7.50}$$

## Saturation region:

$$Q_{g} = C_{oxe} W_{active} L_{active} \left( V_{gs} - VFBCV - \Phi_{s} - \frac{V_{dsat}}{3} \right)$$
(7.51)

$$Q_{b} = -C_{oxe}W_{active}L_{active}\left(VFBCV + \Phi_{s} - V_{th} + \frac{(1 - A_{bulk}')V_{dsat}}{3}\right)$$
(7.52)

50/50 partitioning:

$$Q_s = Q_d = -\frac{1}{3} C_{oxe} W_{active} L_{active} \left( V_{gs} - V_{th} \right)$$
(7.53)

## 40/60 partitioning:

$$Q_d = -\frac{4}{15} C_{oxe} W_{active} L_{active} \left( V_{gs} - V_{th} \right)$$
(7.54)

$$Q_s = -\left(Q_g + Q_b + Q_d\right) \tag{7.55}$$

#### 0/100 partitioning:

$$Q_d = 0 \tag{7.56}$$

$$Q_s = -\left(Q_s + Q_b\right) \tag{7.57}$$

**7.4.2** *capMod* = 1  

$$Q_g = -(Q_{inv} + Q_{acc} + Q_{sub0} + \delta Q_{sub})$$
(7.58)

$$Q_s = -\left(Q_g + Q_b + Q_d\right) \tag{7.59}$$

$$Q_{inv} = Q_s + Q_d \tag{7.60}$$

$$Q_{acc} = -W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxe} \cdot \left( V_{FBeff} - V_{fbzb} \right)$$
(7.61)

$$Q_{sub0} = -W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxe} \cdot \frac{K_{1ox}^{2}}{2} \cdot \left[ -1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\left(V_{gse} - V_{FBeff} - V_{gsteff} - V_{bseff}\right)}{K_{1ox}^{2}}} \right]$$
(7.62)

$$V_{dsat,cv} = \frac{V_{gsteffcv}}{A_{bulk}},$$
(7.63)

$$Q_{inv} = -W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxe} \cdot \left[ V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{1}{2} A_{bulk} 'V_{cveff} + \frac{A_{bulk} '^2 V_{cveff}^2}{12 \cdot \left( V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{A_{bulk} 'V_{cveff}}{2} \right)} \right]$$
(7.64)

$$\delta Q_{sub} = W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxe} \cdot \left[ \frac{1 - A_{bulk} '}{2} V_{cveff} - \frac{(1 - A_{bulk} ') \cdot A_{bulk} 'V_{cveff}}{12 \cdot \left( V_{gsteff, cv} - \frac{A_{bulk} 'V_{cveff}}{2} \right)} \right]$$
(7.65)

# 50/50 charge partitioning:

$$Q_{S} = Q_{D} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxe}}{2} \left[ V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{1}{2}A_{bulk}'V_{cveff} + \frac{A_{bulk}'^{2}V_{cveff}^{2}}{12\cdot\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{A_{bulk}'V_{cveff}}{2}\right)} \right]$$
(7.66)

# 40/60 charge partitioning:

$$Q_{s} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxe}}{2\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{A_{bulk}'V_{cveff}}{2}\right)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} V_{gsteff,cv}^{3} - \frac{4}{3}V_{gsteff,cv}^{2}A_{bulk}'V_{cveff} \\ +\frac{2}{3}V_{gsteff,cv}\left(A_{bulk}'V_{cveff}\right)^{2} - \frac{2}{15}\left(A_{bulk}'V_{cveff}\right)^{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7.67)
$$Q_{D} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxe}}{2\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{2}\right)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} V_{gsteff,cv}^{3} - \frac{5}{3}V_{gsteff,cv}^{2}A_{bulk} V_{cveff} + V_{gsteff,cv}^{3}A_{bulk} V_{cveff} + V_{gsteff,cv}^{3}A_{bulk$$

## 0/100 charge partitioning:

$$Q_{s} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxe}}{2} \cdot \left[ V_{gsteff,cv} + \frac{1}{2}A_{bulk}'V_{cveff} - \frac{A_{bulk}'^{2}V_{cveff}^{2}}{12\cdot\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{A_{bulk}'V_{cveff}}{2}\right)} \right]$$
(7.69)  

$$Q_{D} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxe}}{2} \cdot \left[ V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{3}{2}A_{bulk}'V_{cveff} + \frac{A_{bulk}'^{2}V_{cveff}^{2}}{4\cdot\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \frac{A_{bulk}'V_{dveff}}{2}\right)} \right]$$
(7.70)

## $7.4.3 \ capMod = 2$

$$Q_{acc} = W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxeff} \cdot V_{gbacc}$$
(7.71)

$$V_{gbacc} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[ V_0 + \sqrt{V_0^2 + 0.08V_{fbzb}} \right]$$
(7.72)

$$V_0 = V_{fbzb} + V_{bseff} - V_{gs} - 0.02 \tag{7.73}$$

$$V_{cveff} = V_{dsat} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( V_1 + \sqrt{V_1^2 + 0.08V_{dsat}} \right)$$
(7.74)

$$V_1 = V_{dsat} - V_{ds} - 0.02 \tag{7.75}$$

$$V_{dsat} = \frac{V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta}}{A_{bulk}}$$
(7.76)

$$\left( V_{gsteff,CV} - \varphi_{\delta} \right)_{eff} = 0.5 \cdot \left[ \left( V_{gsteff,CV} - \varphi_{\delta} - 0.001 \right) + \sqrt{\left( V_{gsteff,CV} - \varphi_{\delta} - 0.001 \right)^2 + V_g} \right]$$
(7.77)

$$Q_{sub0} = -W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxeff} \cdot \frac{K_{1ox}^2}{2} \cdot \left[-1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4\left(V_{gse} - V_{FBeff} - V_{bseffs} - V_{gsteff,cv}\right)}{K_{1ox}^2}}\right]$$
(7.78)

$$\delta Q_{sub} = W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxeff} \cdot \left[ \frac{1 - A_{bulk} '}{2} V_{cveff} - \frac{(1 - A_{bulk} ') \cdot A_{bulk} 'V_{cveff}^2}{12 \cdot \left( V_{gsteff, cv} - \varphi_{\delta} - \frac{A_{bulk} 'V_{cveff}}{2} \right)} \right]$$
(7.79)

$$Q_{b} = C_{oxe}W_{active}L_{active}\left(VFBCV - V_{th} - \Phi_{s} + \frac{(1 - A_{bulk}')V_{ds}}{2} - \frac{(1 - A_{bulk}')A_{bulk}'V_{ds}^{2}}{12\left(V_{gs} - V_{th} - \frac{A_{bulk}'V_{ds}}{2}\right)}\right)$$

## 50/50 partitioning:

$$Q_{s} = Q_{D} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxeff}}{2} \left[ V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} - \frac{1}{2}A_{bulk} V_{cveff} + \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{12 \cdot \left( \left( V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} \right)_{eff} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{2} \right) \right]$$
(7.81)

## 40/60 partitioning:

$$Q_{s} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxeff}}{2\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{2}\right)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta}\right)^{3} - \frac{4}{3}\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta}\right)^{2}A_{bulk} V_{cveff} \\ + \frac{2}{3}\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta}\right)\left(A_{bulk} V_{cveff}\right)^{2} - \frac{2}{15}\left(A_{bulk} V_{cveff}\right)^{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

(7.83)

$$Q_{D} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxeff}}{2\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{2}\right)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta}\right)^{3} - \frac{5}{3}\left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta}\right)^{2}A_{bulk} V_{cveff} \\ + \left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta}\right)\left(A_{bulk} V_{cveff}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{5}\left(A_{bulk} V_{cveff}\right)^{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 0/100 partitioning:

$$Q_{s} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxeff}}{2} \cdot \left[ V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} + \frac{1}{2}A_{bulk} V_{cveff} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{12 \cdot \left(V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{2}\right)} \right]$$

(7.85)

$$Q_{D} = -\frac{W_{active}L_{active}C_{oxeff}}{2} \cdot \left[ \left( V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} \right)_{eff} - \frac{3}{2}A_{bulk} V_{cveff} + \frac{A_{bulk} V_{cveff}}{4 \cdot \left( \left( V_{gsteff,cv} - \varphi_{\delta} \right)_{eff} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{dveff}}{2} \right)} \right]$$

## 7.5 Fringing/Overlap Capacitance Models

#### 7.5.1 Fringing capacitance model

The fringing capacitance consists of a bias-independent outer fringing capacitance and a bias-dependent inner fringing capacitance. Only the bias-independent outer fringing capacitance (CF) is modeled. If CF is not given, it is calculated by

$$CF = \frac{2 \cdot EPSROX \cdot \varepsilon_0}{\pi} \cdot \log\left(1 + \frac{4.0e - 7}{TOXE}\right)$$
(7.86)

#### 7.5.2 Overlap capacitance model

An accurate overlap capacitance model is essential. This is especially true for the drain side where the effect of the capacitance is amplified by the transistor gain. In old capacitance models this capacitance is assumed to be bias independent. However, experimental data show that the overlap capacitance changes with gate to source and gate to drain biases. In a single drain structure or the heavily doped S/D to gate overlap region in a LDD structure the bias dependence is the result of depleting the surface of the source and drain regions. Since the modulation is expected to be very small, we can model this region with a constant capacitance. However in LDD MOSFETs a substantial portion of the LDD region can be depleted, both in the vertical and lateral directions. This can lead to a large reduction of the overlap capacitance. This LDD region can be in accumulation or depletion. We use a single equation for both regions by using such smoothing parameters as  $V_{gs,overlap}$  and  $V_{gd,overlap}$  for the source and drain side, respectively. Unlike the case with the intrinsic capacitance, the overlap capacitances are reciprocal. In other words,  $C_{gs,overlap} = C_{sg,overlap}$  and  $C_{gd,overlap}$  $= C_{dg,overlap}.$ 

If capMod is non-zero, BSIM4 uses the bias-dependent overlap capacitance model; otherwise, a simple bias-independent model will be used.

#### **Bias-dependent overlap capacitance model**

(i) Source side

$$\frac{Q_{overlap,s}}{W_{active}} = (7.87)$$

$$CGSO \cdot V_{gs} + CGSL \left( V_{gs} - V_{gs,overlap} - \frac{CKAPPAS}{2} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4V_{gs,overlap}}{CKAPPAS}} \right) \right)$$

$$V_{gs,overlap} = \frac{1}{2} \left( V_{gs} + \delta_1 - \sqrt{(V_{gs} + \delta_1)^2 + 4\delta_1} \right) \quad \delta_1 = 0.02V$$

$$(7.88)$$

#### (ii) Drain side

$$\frac{Q_{overlap,d}}{W_{active}} = CGDO \cdot V_{gd}$$

$$+ CGDL \left( V_{gd} - V_{gd,overlap} - \frac{CKAPPAD}{2} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4V_{gd,overlap}}{CKAPPAD}} \right) \right)$$

$$V_{gd,overlap} = \frac{1}{2} \left( V_{gd} + \delta_1 - \sqrt{(V_{gd} + \delta_1)^2 + 4\delta_1} \right) \quad \delta_1 = 0.02V$$
(7.89)
(7.89)
(7.89)

#### (iii) Gate Overlap Charge

$$Q_{overlap,g} = -\left(Q_{overlap,d} + Q_{overlap,s} + \left(CGBO \cdot L_{active}\right) \cdot V_{gb}\right)$$
(7.91)

where *CGBO* is a model parameter, which represents the gate-to-body overlap capacitance per unit channel length.

#### **Bias-independent overlap capacitance model**

If capMod = 0, a bias-independent overlap capacitance model will be used. In this case, model parameters *CGSL*, *CGDL*, *CKAPPAS* and *CKAPPD* all have no effect.

The gate-to-source overlap charge is expressed by

$$Q_{overlap,s} = W_{active} \cdot CGSO \cdot V_{gs} \tag{7.92}$$

The gate-to-drain overlap charge is calculated by

$$Q_{overlap,d} = W_{active} \cdot CGDO \cdot V_{gd} \tag{7.93}$$

The gate-to-substrate overlap charge is computed by

$$Q_{overlap,b} = L_{active} \cdot CGBO \cdot V_{gb}$$
(7.94)

#### **Default** CGSO and CGDO

If *CGSO* and *CGDO* (the overlap capacitances between the gate and the heavily doped source / drain regions, respectively) are not given, they will be calculated. Appendix A gives the information on how *CGSO*, *CGDO* and CGBO are calculated.

## **Chapter 8: New Material Models**

The enormous success of CMOS technology in the past is mainly resulted from the continuous scaling of MOSFET device. Until very recently, the evolutionary scaling (such as gate dielectric) is based on the shrinking of physical dimensions. Many fundamental problems, such as increased gate leakage and oxide breakdown, have arisen from this conventional method. One of the effective solutions is to introduce new materials to replace the conventional material (For example, the silicon oxide gate is substituted by the high-k gate insulator). Significant progress has been achieved in terms of the understanding of new material properties and their integration into CMOS technology.

Considering the impacts of new materials on the electrical characteristics, BSIM introduces the new material model, which could predict well the non- $SiO_2$  gate insulator, non-poly-Si gate and non-Si channel.

## 8.1 Model Selector

One global selector is provided to turn on or off the new material models. When the selector (*mtrlMod*) is set to one, the new materials are modeled; while the default value (*mtrlMod=0*) maintains the backward compatibility.

## 8.2 Non-Silicon Channel

With the three new parameters, the temperature-dependent band gap and intrinsic carriers in non-silicon channel are described as follow:

$$Eg0 = BG0SUB - \frac{TBGASUB \times Tnom^2}{Tnom + TBGBSUB}$$

$$(8.1)$$

$$Eg(300.15) = BG0SUB - \frac{TBGASUB \times 300.15^2}{300.15 + TBGBSUB}$$
(8.2)

$$n_i = NIOSUB \times \left(\frac{Tnom}{300.15}\right)^{3/2} \times \exp\left(\frac{Eg(300.15) - Eg0}{2v_t}\right)$$
(8.3)

$$Eg = BG0SUB - \frac{TBGASUB \times Temp^2}{Temp + TBGBSUB}$$
(8.4)

Here, BGOSUB is the band-gap of substrate at T=0K; TBGASUB and TBGBSUB are the first and second parameters of band-gap change due to temperature, respectively.

The inversion charge layer thickness  $(X_{DC})$  is also modified as follows:

$$X_{DC} = \frac{ADOS \times 1.9 \times 10^{-9}}{1 + \left(\frac{V_{gsteff} + (VTH0 - VFB - \phi_s)}{2TOXP}\right)^{0.7 \times BDOS}}$$
(8.5)

Here, the density of states parameters *ADOS* and *BDOS* are introduced to control the charge centroid.

### 8.3 Non-SiO<sub>2</sub> Gate insulator

For Non-SiO<sub>2</sub> gate insulator, the equivalent SiO<sub>2</sub> thickness (*EOT*) is a new input parameter, which is measured at *VDDEOT*. Given this new parameter, the physical gate oxide thickness *TOXP* could be calculated as follows:

$$TOXP = EOT - \frac{3.9}{EPSRSUB} \times X_{DC} \Big|_{V_{gs} = VDDEOT, V_{ds} = V_{bs} = 0}$$
(8.6)

Here, *EPSRSUB* is the dielectric constant of substrate relative to vacuum.

## 8.4 Non-Poly Silicon Gate Dielectric

Two new parameters are introduced to describe the non-poly silicon gate dielectric. One is *PHIG*, which is the gate work function. Another is *EPSRGATE*, the dielectric constant of gate relative to vacuum. It is worth pointing out that *EPSRGATE*=0 represents the metal gate and deactivates the ploy depletion effect.

When the gate dielectric and channel are different materials, the flat band voltage at Source/Drain is calculated using the following:

$$V_{fbsd} = PHIG - (EASUB + \frac{Eg0}{2} - BSIM 4typy \times MIN\left(\frac{Eg0}{2}, v_t \ln\left(\frac{NSD}{n_i}\right)\right)$$
(8.7)

This new flat band equation improves the GIDL/GISL models as following:

$$I_{GIDL} = AGIDL \cdot W_{effCJ} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGIDL + V_{fbsd}}{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9}}$$

$$exp\left(-\frac{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9} \cdot BGIDL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGIDL + V_{fbsd}}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{db}^{3}}{CGIDL + V_{db}^{3}}$$

$$I_{GIDS} = AGISL \cdot W_{effCJ} \cdot Nf \cdot \frac{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGISL + V_{fbsd}}{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9}}$$

$$exp\left(-\frac{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9} \cdot BGISL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGISL + V_{fbsd}}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{db}^{3}}{CGISL + V_{db}^{3}}$$

$$exp\left(-\frac{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9} \cdot BGISL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGISL + V_{fbsd}}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{db}^{3}}{CGISL + V_{db}^{3}}$$

$$exp\left(-\frac{EOT \cdot \frac{EPSRSUB}{3.9} \cdot BGISL}{V_{ds} - V_{gse} - EGISL + V_{fbsd}}\right) \cdot \frac{V_{db}^{3}}{CGISL + V_{db}^{3}}$$

Furthermore, for *mtrlMod*=1 the mobility degradation uses the new expression of the vertical field in channel as following:

(8.10)

$$E_{eff} = \frac{V_{gsteff} + 2V_{th} - 2 \cdot BSIM \, 4type \cdot (PHIG - EASUB - Eg \, / \, 2 + 0.45)}{EOT} \times \frac{3.9}{EPSRSUB}$$

Consequently, when *mtrlMod*=1, *mobMod*=0 and *mobMod*=1 are changed, respectively:

#### **mobMod**=0

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0 \cdot f(L_{eff})}{1 + (UA + UC \cdot V_{bseff})E_{eff} + UB \cdot E_{eff}^2 + UD \left(\frac{V_{th} \cdot EOT}{V_{gsteff} + 2\sqrt{V_{th}^2 + 0.00001}}\right)^2}$$
(8.11)

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{U0 \cdot f(L_{eff})}{1 + (UA \cdot E_{eff} + UB \cdot E_{eff}^2)(1 + UC \cdot V_{bseff})UD \left(\frac{V_{th} \cdot EOT}{V_{gsteff} + 2\sqrt{V_{th}^2 + 0.00001}}\right)^2}$$
(8.12)

# **Chapter 9: High-Speed/RF Models**

As circuit speed and operating frequency rise, the need for accurate prediction of circuit performance near cut-off frequency or under very rapid transient operation becomes critical. BSIM4.0.0 provides a set of accurate and efficient high-speed/RF (radio frequency) models which consist of three modules: charge-deficit non-quasi-static (NQS) model, intrinsic-input resistance (IIR) model (bias-dependent gate resistance model), and substrate resistance network model. The charge-deficit NQS model comes from BSIM3v3.2 NQS model [11] but many improvements are added in BSIM4. The IIR model considers the effect of channel-reflected gate resistance and therefore accounts for the first-order NQS effect [12]. Thus, the chargedeficit NQS model and the IIR model should not be turned on These two models both work with multi-finger simultaneously. configuration. The substrate resistance model does not include any geometry dependence.

## 9.1 Charge-Deficit Non-Quasi-Static (NQS) Model

BSIM4 uses two separate model selectors to turn on or off the charge-deficit NQS model in transient simulation (using *trnqsMod*) and AC simulation (using *acnqsMod*). The AC NQS model does not require the internal NQS charge node that is needed for the transient NQS model. The transient and AC NQS models are developed from the same fundamental physics: the channel/gate charge response to the external signal are relaxation-time ( $\tau$ ) dependent and the transcapacitances and transconductances (such as  $G_m$ ) for AC analysis can therefore be expressed as functions of  $j\omega\tau$ .

MOSFET channel region is analogous to a bias-dependent RC distributed transmission line (Figure 10. 1a). In the Quasi-Static (QS) approach, the gate capacitor node is lumped with the external source and drain nodes (Figure 10. 1b). This ignores the finite time for the channel charge to build-up. One way to capture the NQS effect is to represent the channel with n transistors in series (Figure 10.1c), but it comes at the expense of simulation time. The BSIM4 charge-deficit NQS model uses Elmore equivalent circuit to model channel charge build-up, as illustrated in Figure 9.1d.



Figure 9.1 Quasi-Static and Non-Quasi-Static models for SPICE analysis.

#### 9.1.1 Transient Model

The transient charge-deficit NQS model can be turned on by setting transMod = 1 and off by setting transMod = 0.

Figure 10.2 shows the RC sub-circuit of charge deficit NQS model for transient simulation [13]. An internal node,  $Q_{def}(t)$ , is created to keep track of the amount of deficit/surplus channel charge necessary to reach equilibrium. The resistance **R** is determined from the RC time constant ( $\tau$ ). The current

source  $i_{cheq}(t)$  represents the equilibrium channel charging effect. The capacitor C is to be the value of  $C_{fact}$  (with a typical value of Farad [11]) to improve simulation accuracy.  $Q_{def}$  now becomes

$$Q_{def}\left(t\right) = V_{def} \times C_{fact} \tag{9.1}$$



Figure 9.2 Charge deficit NQS sub-circuit for transient analysis.

Considering both the transport and charging component, the total current related to the terminals D, G and S can be written as

$$i_{D,G,S}(t) = I_{D,G,S}(DC) + \frac{\partial Q_{d,g,S}(t)}{\partial t}$$
(9.2)

Based on the relaxation time approach, the terminal charge and corresponding charging current are modeled by

$$Q_{def}(t) = Q_{cheq}(t) - Q_{ch}(t)$$
 (9.3)

and

$$\frac{\partial Q_{d,g,s}(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial Q_{cheq}(t)}{\partial t} \frac{Q_{def}(t)}{\tau}$$
(9.4)

$$\frac{\partial Q_{d,g,s}(t)}{\partial t} = D, G, S_{spart} \frac{Q_{def}(t)}{\tau}$$
(9.5)

where  $D,G,S_{xpart}$  are charge deficit NQS channel charge partitioning number for terminals D, G and S, respectively;  $D_{xpart} + S_{xpart} = 1$  and  $G_{xpart} = -1$ .

The transit time  $\tau$  is equal to the product of  $R_{ii}$  and  $W_{eff}L_{eff}C_{oxe}$ , where  $R_{ii}$  is the intrinsic-input resistance [12] given by

$$\frac{1}{R_{ii}} = XRCRG1 \cdot \left( \frac{I_{ds}}{V_{dseff}} + XRCRG2 \cdot \frac{W_{eff} \,\mu_{eff} \,C_{oxeff} \,k_B T}{qL_{eff}} \right)$$
(9.6)

where  $C_{oxeff}$  is the effective gate dielectric capacitance calculated from the DC model. Note that  $R_{ii}$  in (9.6) considers both the drift and diffusion componets of the channel conduction, each of which dominates in inversion and subthreshold regions, respectively.

#### 9.1.2 AC Model

Similarly, the small-signal AC charge-deficit NQS model can be turned on by setting acnqsMod = 1 and off by setting acnqsMod = 0.

For small signals, by substituting (9.3) into (9.5), it is easy to show that in the frequency domain,  $Q_{ch}(t)$  can be transformed into

$$\Delta Q_{ch}(t) = \frac{\Delta Q_{cheq}(t)}{1 + j\omega\tau}$$
(9.7)

where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency. Based on (9.7), it can be shown that the transcapacitances  $C_{gi}$ ,  $C_{si}$ , and  $C_{di}$  (*i* stands for any of the G, D, S and B terminals of the device) and the channel transconductances  $G_m$ ,  $G_{ds}$ , and  $G_{mbs}$  all become complex quantities. For example, now  $G_m$  have the form of

$$G_{m} = \frac{G_{m0}}{1 + \omega^{2} \tau^{2}} + j \left( -\frac{G_{m0} \cdot \omega \tau}{1 + \omega^{2} \tau^{2}} \right)$$
(9.8)

and

$$C_{dg} = \frac{C_{dg0}}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2} + j \left( -\frac{C_{dg0} \cdot \omega \tau}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2} \right)$$
(9.9)

Those quantities with sub "0" in the above two equations are known from OP (operating point) analysis.

## 9.2 Gate Electrode Electrode and Intrinsic-Input Resistance (IIR) Model

#### 9.2.1 General Description

BSIM4 provides four options for modeling gate electrode resistance (biasindependent) and intrinsic-input resistance (IIR, bias-dependent). The IIR model considers the relaxation-time effect due to the distributive RC nature of the channel region, and therefore describes the first-order non-quasi-static effect. Thus, the IIR model should not be used together with the chargedeficit NQS model at the same time. The model selector *rgateMod* is used to choose different options.

#### 9.2.2 Model Option and Schematic

*rgateMod* = 0 (zero-resistance):



In this case, no gate resistance is generated.

*rgateMod* = 1 (constant-resistance):



In this case, only the electode gate resistance (bias-independent) is generated by adding an internal gate node. Rgeltd is give by

$$Rgeltd = \frac{RSHG \cdot \left(XGW + \frac{W_{efficj}}{3 \cdot NGCON}\right)}{NGCON \cdot \left(L_{drawn} - XGL\right) \cdot NF}$$
(9.10)

Refer to Chapter 8 for the layout parameters in the above equation.





In this case, the gate resistance is the sum of the electrode gate resistance (9.10) and the intrinsic-input resistance  $R_{ii}$  as given by (9.6). An internal gate node will be generated. *trngsMod* = 0 (default) and *acnqsMod* = 0 (default) should be selected for this case.

#### *rgateMod* = 3 (IIR model with two nodes):



In this case, the gate electrode resistance given by (9.10) is in series with the intrinsic-input resistance  $R_{ii}$  as given by (9.6) through two internal gate nodes, so that the overlap capacitance current will not pass through the intrinsic-input resistance. *trnqsMod* = 0 (default) and *acnqsMod* = 0 (default) should be selected for this case.

## 9.3 Substrate Resistance Network

#### 9.3.1 General Description

For CMOS RF circuit simulation, it is essential to consider the high frequency coupling through the substrate. BSIM4 offers a flexible built-in substrate resistance network. This network is constructed such that little simulation efficiency penalty will result. Note that the substrate resistance parameters as listed in Appendix A should be extracted for the total device, not on a per-finger basis.

#### 9.3.2 Model Selector and Topology

The model selector *rbodyMod* can be used to turn on or turn off the resistance network.

*rbodyMod* = 0 (Off):

No substrate resistance network is generated at all.

*rbodyMod* = 1 (On):

All five resistances in the substrate network as shown schematically below are present simultaneously.

A minimum conductance, *GBMIN*, is introduced in parallel with each resistance and therefore to prevent infinite resistance values, which would otherwise cause poor convergence. In Figure 8.3, *GBMIN* is merged into each resistance to simplify the representation of the model topology. Note that the intrinsic model substrate reference point in this case is the internal body node **bNodePrime**, into which the impact ionization current  $I_{ii}$  and the GIDL current  $I_{GIDL}$  flow.

*rbodyMod* = 2 (On : Scalable Substrate Network):

The schematic is similar to rbodyMod = 1 but all the five resistors in the substrate network are now scalable with a possibility of choosing either five resistors, three resistors or one resistor as the substrate network.

The resistors of the substrate network are scalable with respect to channel length (L), channel width (W) and number of fingers (NF). The scalable model allows to account for both horizontal and vertical contacts.

The scalable resistors *RBPS* and *RBPD* are evaluated through

$$RBPS = RBPS0 \bullet \left(\frac{L}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPSL} \bullet \left(\frac{W}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPSW} \bullet NF^{RBPSNF}$$
(9.11)

$$RBPD = RBPD0 \bullet \left(\frac{L}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPDL} \bullet \left(\frac{W}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPDW} \bullet NF^{RBPDNF}$$
(9.12)

The resistor *RBPB* consists of two parallel resistor paths, one to the horizontal contacts and other to the vertical contacts. These two resistances are scalable and *RBPB* is given by a parallel combination of these two resistances.

$$RBPBX = RBPBX 0 \bullet \left(\frac{L}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPBXL} \bullet \left(\frac{W}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPBXW} \bullet NF^{RBPBNF}$$
(9.13)

$$RBPBY = RBPBY0 \bullet \left(\frac{L}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPBYL} \bullet \left(\frac{W}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBPBYW} \bullet NF^{RBPBYNF}$$
(9.14)

$$RBPB = \frac{RBPBX \bullet RBPBY}{RBPBX + RBPBY}$$
(9.15)

The resistors *RBSB* and *RBDB* share the same scaling parameters but have different scaling prefactors. These resistors are modeled in the same way as *RBPB*. The equations for *RBSB* are shown below. The calculation for *RBDB* follows *RBSB*.

$$RBSBX = RBSBX \, 0 \, \bullet \left(\frac{L}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBSDBXL} \, \bullet \left(\frac{W}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBSDBXW} \, \bullet \, NF^{RBSDBXNF} \tag{9.16}$$

$$RBSBY = RBSBY0 \bullet \left(\frac{L}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBSDBYL} \bullet \left(\frac{W}{10^{-6}}\right)^{RBSDBYW} \bullet NF^{RBSDBYNF}$$
(9.17)

$$RBSB = \frac{RBSBX \bullet RBSBY}{RBSBX + RBSBY}$$
(9.18)

The implementation of *rbodyMod* = 2 allows the user to chose between the 5-R network (with all five resistors), 3-R network (with *RBPS*, *RBPD* and *RBPB*) and 1-R network (with only *RBPB*).

If the user doesn't provide both the scaling parameters *RBSBX0* and *RBSBY0* for *RBSB* **OR** both the scaling parameters *RBDBX0* and *RBDBY0* for *RBDB*, then the conductances for both *RBSB* and *RBDB* are set to *GBMIN*. This converts the 5-R schematic to 3-R schematic where the substrate network consists of the resistors *RBPS*, *RBPD* and *RBPB*. *RBPS*, *RBPD* and *RBPB* are then calculated using (9.10), (9.11) and (9.12).

If the user chooses not to provide either of *RBPS0* or *RBPD0*, then the 5-R schematic is converted to 1-R network with only one resistor *RBPB*. The conductances for *RBSB* and *RBDB* are set to *GBMIN*. The resistances *RBPS* and *RBPD* are set to 1e-3 Ohm. The resistor *RBPB* is then calculated using (9.12).

In all other situations, 5-R network is used with the resistor values calculated from the equations aforementioned.



Figure 9.3 Topology with the substrate resistance network turned on.

# **Chapter 10: Noise Modeling**

The following noise sources in MOSFETs are modeled in BSIM4 for SPICE noise ananlysis: flicker noise (also known as 1/f noise), channel thermal noise and induced gate noise and their correlation, thermal noise due to physical resistances such as the source/ drain, gate electrode, and substrate resistances, and shot noise due to the gate dielectric tunneling current. A complete list of the noise model parameters and explanations are given in Appendix A.

## **10.1 Flicker Noise Models**

#### **10.1.1 General Description**

BSIM4 provides two flicker noise models. When the model selector *fnoiMod* is set to 0, a simple flicker noise model which is convenient for hand calculations is invoked. A unified physical flicker noise model, which is the default model, will be used if *fnoiMod* = 1. These two modes come from BSIM3v3, but the unified model has many improvements. For instance, it is now smooth over all bias regions and considers the bulk charge effect.

#### **10.1.2 Equations** *fnoiMod* = 0 (simple model)

The noise density is

$$S_{id}(f) = \frac{KF \cdot I_{ds}^{AF}}{C_{oxe} L_{eff}^{2} f^{EF}}$$
(10.1)

where f is device operating frequency.

#### *fnoiMod* = 1 (unified model)

The physical mechanism for the flicker noise is trapping/detrapping-related charge fluctuation in oxide traps, which results in fluctuations of both mobile carrier numbers and mobilities in the channel. The unified flicker noise model captures this physical process.

In the inversion region, the noise density is expressed as [14]

$$S_{id,inv}(f) = \frac{k_B T q^2 \mu_{eff} I_{ds}}{C_{oxe} (L_{eff} - 2 \cdot LINTNOI)^2 A_{bulk} f^{ef} \cdot 10^{10}} \left( NOIA \cdot log \left( \frac{N_0 + N^*}{N_1 + N^*} \right) + NOIB \cdot (N_0 - N_1) + \frac{NOIC}{2} \left( N_0^2 - N_1^2 \right) \right)$$
$$+ \frac{k_B T I_{ds}^2 \Delta L_{clm}}{W_{eff} \cdot (L_{eff} - 2 \cdot LINTNOI)^2 f^{ef} \cdot 10^{10}} \cdot \frac{NOIA + NOIB \cdot N_1 + NOIC \cdot N_1^2}{\left( N_1 + N^* \right)^2}$$

where  $\mu_{eff}$  is the effective mobility at the given bias condition, and  $L_{eff}$  and  $W_{eff}$  are the effective channel length and width, respectively. The parameter  $N_0$  is the charge density at the source side given by

$$N_0 = C_{oxe} \cdot V_{gsteff} / q \tag{10.3}$$

The parameter  $N_l$  is the charge density at the drain end given by

$$N_{l} = C_{oxe} \cdot V_{gsteff} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{dseff}}{V_{gsteff} + 2\nu_{t}} \right) / q$$
(10.4)

 $N^*$  is given by

$$N^* = \frac{k_B T \cdot \left(C_{oxe} + C_d + CIT\right)}{q^2}$$
(10.5)

(10.2)

where *CIT* is a model parameter from DC IV and  $C_d$  is the depletion capacitance.

 $\Delta L_{clm}$  is the channel length reduction due to channel length modulation and given by

$$\Delta L_{clm} = Litl \cdot \log \left( \frac{\frac{V_{ds} - V_{dseff}}{Litl} + EM}{\frac{E_{sat}}{E_{sat}}} \right)$$
(10.6)  
$$E_{sat} = \frac{2VSAT}{\mu_{eff}}$$

In the subthreshold region, the noise density is written as

$$S_{id,subVt}(f) = \frac{NOIA \cdot k_B T \cdot I_{ds}^2}{W_{eff} L_{eff} f^{EF} N^{*2} \cdot 10^{10}}$$
(10.7)

The total flicker noise density is

$$S_{id}(f) = \frac{S_{id,inv}(f) \times S_{id,subvt}(f)}{S_{id,subvt}(f) + S_{id,inv}(f)}$$
(10.8)

## **10.2 Channel Thermal Noise**

There are two channel thermal noise models in BSIM4. One is a chargebased model (default model) similar to that used in BSIM3v3.2. The other is the holistic model. These two models can be selected through the model selector *tnoiMod*.

#### *tnoiMod* = 0 (charge based)

The noise current is given by

$$\overline{i_d^2} = \frac{4k_B T \Delta f}{R_{ds}(V) + \frac{L_{eff}^2}{\mu_{eff}|\mathcal{Q}_{uv}|}} \cdot NTNOI$$
(10.9)

where  $R_{ds}(V)$  is the bias-dependent LDD source/drain resistance, and the parameter *NTNOI* is introduced for more accurate fitting of short-channel devices.  $Q_{inv}$  is modeled by

$$Q_{inv} = W_{active} L_{active} C_{oxeff} \cdot NF \cdot \left[ V_{gsteff} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{dseff}}{2} + \frac{A_{bulk}^2 V_{dseff}^2}{12 \cdot \left(V_{gsteff} - \frac{A_{bulk} V_{dseff}}{2}\right)} \right]$$
(10.10)

Figure 10.1a shows the noise source connection for *tnoiMod* = 0.



# Figure 10.1 Schematic for BSIM4 channel thermal noise modeling.

#### *tnoiMod* = 1 (holistic)

In this thermal noise model, all the short-channel effects and velocity saturation effect incorporated in the IV model are automatically included, hency the name "holistic thermal noise model". In addition, the amplification of the channel thermal noise through  $G_m$  and  $G_{mbs}$  as well as the induced-gate noise with partial correlation to the channel thermal noise are all captured in the new "noise partition" model. Figure 10.1b shows schematically that part of the channel thermal noise source is partitioned to the source side.

The noise voltage source partitioned to the source side is given by

$$\overline{v_d^2} = 4k_B T \cdot \theta_{tnoi}^2 \cdot \frac{V_{dseff} \Delta f}{I_{ds}}$$
(10.11)

and the noise current source put in the channel region with gate and body amplication is given by

$$\overline{i_d}^2 = 4k_B T \frac{V_{dseff} \Delta f}{I_{ds}} \Big[ G_{ds} + \beta_{tnoi} \cdot (G_m + G_{mbs}) \Big]^2$$

$$- \overline{v_d}^2 \cdot (G_m + G_{ds} + G_{mbs})^2$$
(10.12)

where

$$\theta_{tnoi} = RNOIB \cdot \left[ 1 + TNOIB \cdot L_{eff} \cdot \left( \frac{V_{gsteff}}{E_{sat} L_{eff}} \right)^2 \right]$$
(10.13)

and

$$\beta_{moi} = RNOIA \cdot \left[ 1 + TNOIA \cdot L_{eff} \cdot \left( \frac{V_{gsteff}}{E_{sat} L_{eff}} \right)^2 \right]$$
(10.14)

where *RNOIB* and *RNOIA* are model parameters with default values 0.37 and 0.577 respectively.

#### tnoiMod = 2

Unlike tnoiMod=1, in this thermal noise model both the gate and the drain noise are implemented as current noise sources. The drain current noise flows from drain to source; whereas the induced gate current noise flows from the gate to the source. The correlation between the two noise sources is independently controllable and can be tuned using the parameter RNOIC, although the use of default value 0.395 is recommended when measured data is not available. As illustrated in Fig. 10.2, tnoiMod=2 shows good physical behavior in both the weak and strong inversion regions. The white noise gamma factor  $\gamma_{WN} = S_{Id} / 4kT_{g_{d0}}$  shows a value of 1 at low Vds, as expected. At

high Vds it correctly goes to 2/3 for strong inversion and 1/2 in sub-threshold [20].

tnoiMod=2 ensures gate noise never exceeds the drain noise, as shown in (10.31). The frequency dependence of gate noise is implemented as (10.30). The relevant formulations of tnoiMod=2 are given below.

$$\delta = \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{L_{vsat}}{L}\right)^3 \left[ \frac{1+\eta}{\left[ (1+\eta) + \frac{2\alpha V_t}{V_{gsteff}} \right]^2} - \frac{\left[ 6(1+\eta) + \frac{2\alpha V_t}{V_{gsteff}} \right] (1-\eta)^2}{15 \left[ (1+\eta) + \frac{2\alpha V_t}{V_{gsteff}} \right]^4} + \frac{(1-\eta)^4}{9 \left[ (1+\eta) + \frac{2\alpha V_t}{V_{gsteff}} \right]^5} \right]$$
(10.15)

$$\gamma = \frac{L}{L_{vsat}} \left[ \frac{1+\eta}{2} + \frac{(1-\eta)^2}{6 \left[ (1+\eta) + \frac{2V_{t}\alpha}{V_{gsteff}} \right]} \right]$$
(10.16)

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{L_{vsat}}{L} \left[ \frac{1 - \eta}{\left[ (1 + \eta) + \frac{2\alpha V_t}{V_{gsteff}} \right]} + \frac{(1 - \eta)^3}{3 \left[ (1 + \eta) + \frac{2\alpha V_t}{V_{gsteff}} \right]^3} \right]$$
(10.17)

$$L_{vsat} = L_{eff} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{V_{dseff}}{E_{sat}L_{eff}} \right]$$
(10.18)

$$\alpha = A_{bulk} \tag{10.19}$$

$$V_b = \frac{V_{gsteff} + 2v_t}{A_{bulk}} \tag{10.20}$$

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{V_{dseff}}{V_b} \tag{10.21}$$

$$S_{id} = 4kT \cdot \gamma \cdot g_{d0} \cdot \left(3 \cdot \beta_{tnoi}^2\right) \tag{10.22}$$

$$S_{ig0} = 4kT \cdot C_0^2 \cdot \omega^2 \cdot \frac{\delta}{g_{d0}} \cdot \left(\frac{15 \cdot \theta_{tnoi}^2}{4}\right)$$
(10.23)

$$c = -j \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\gamma \cdot \delta}} \cdot \left(\frac{c_{tnoi}}{0.395}\right) \tag{10.24}$$

$$g_{d0} = NF \times \frac{\mu_{eff} C_{oxeff} \frac{W_{eff}}{L_{eff}} V_{gsteff}}{1 + gche \cdot R_{ds}}$$
(10.25)

$$C_0 = NF \times C_{oxeff} W_{eff,CV} L_{eff,CV}$$
(10.26)

$$\beta_{tnoi} = RNOIA \cdot \left[ 1 + TNOIA \cdot L_{eff} \cdot \left( \frac{V_{gsteff}}{E_{sat}L_{eff}} \right)^2 \right]$$
(10.27)

$$\theta_{tnoi} = RNOIB \cdot \left[ 1 + TNOIB \cdot L_{eff} \cdot \left( \frac{V_{gsteff}}{E_{sat}L_{eff}} \right)^2 \right]$$
(10.28)

$$c_{tnoi} = RNOIC \cdot \left[ 1 + TNOIC \cdot L_{eff} \cdot \left( \frac{V_{gsteff}}{E_{sat}L_{eff}} \right)^2 \right]$$
(10.29)

$$S_{ig} = \frac{S_{ig0}}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \tag{10.30}$$

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{S_{ig0}(\omega = 1)}{S_{id}}} \tag{10.31}$$



Figure 10.2 tnoiMod=2 shows good physical behavior at high and low  $V_{ds}$  from sub-threshold to strong inversion regions.

## **10.3 Other Noise Sources Modeled**

BSIM4 also models the thermal noise due to the substrate, electrode gate, and source/drain resistances. Shot noise due to various gate tunneling components is modeled as well.

# **Chapter 11: Asymmetric MOS Junction Diode Models**

## **11.1 Junction Diode IV Model**

In BSIM4, there are three junction diode IV models. When the IV model selector *dioMod* is set to 0 ("resistance-free"), the diode IV is modeled as resistance-free with or without breakdown depending on the parameter values of *XJBVS* or *XJBVD*. When *dioMod* is set to 1 ("breakdown-free"), the diode is modeled exactly the same way as in BSIM3v3.2 with current-limiting feature in the forward-bias region through the limiting current parameters *IJTHSFWD* or *IJTHDFWD*; diode breakdown is not modeled for *dioMod* = 1 and *XJBVS*, *XJBVD*, *BVS*, and *BVD* parameters all have no effect. When *dioMod* is set to 2 ("resistance-and-breakdown"), BSIM4 models the diode breakdown with current limiting in both forward and reverse operations. In general, setting *dioMod* to 1 produces fast convergence.

#### 11.1.1 Source/Body Junction Diode

In the following, the equations for the source-side diode are given. The model parameters are shown in Appendix A.

• *dioMod* = 0 (resistance-free)

$$I_{bs} = I_{sbs} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{qV_{bs}}{NJS \cdot k_B TNOM}\right) - 1 \right] \cdot f_{breakdown} + V_{bs} \cdot G_{min}$$
(11.1)

where  $I_{sbs}$  is the total saturation current consisting of the components through the gate-edge  $(J_{sswgs})$  and isolation-edge sidewalls  $(J_{ssws})$  and the bottom junction  $(J_{ss})$ ,

$$I_{sbs} = A_{seff} J_{ss} \left(T\right) + P_{seff} J_{ssws} \left(T\right) + W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot J_{sswgs} \left(T\right)$$
(11.2)

where the calculation of the junction area and perimeter is discussed in Chapter 12, and the temperature-dependent current density model is given in Chapter 13. In (11.1),  $f_{breakdown}$  is given by

$$f_{breakdown} = 1 + XJBVS \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{q \cdot (BVS + V_{bs})}{NJS \cdot k_B TNOM}\right)$$
(11.3)

In the above equation, when XJBVS = 0, no breakdown will be modeled. If XJBVS < 0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

#### • *dioMod* = 1 (breakdown-free)

No breakdown is modeled. The exponential IV term in (11.5) is linearized at the limiting current *IJTHSFWD* in the forward-bias model only.

$$I_{bs} = I_{sbs} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{qV_{bs}}{NJS \cdot k_B TNOM}\right) - 1 \right] + V_{bs} \cdot G_{\min}$$
(11.4)

• *dioMod* = 2 (resistance-and-breakdown):

Diode breakdown is always modeled. The exponential term (11.5) is linearized at both the limiting current *IJTHSFWD* in the forward-bias mode and the limiting current *IJTHSREV* in the reverse-bias mode.

$$I_{bs} = I_{sbs} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{qV_{bs}}{NJS \cdot k_{B}TNOM}\right) - 1 \right] \cdot f_{breakdown} + V_{bs} \cdot G_{\min}$$
(11.5)

For *dioMod* = 2, if *XJBVS* <= 0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

#### **11.1.2 Drain/Body Junction Diode**

The drain-side diode has the same system of equations as those for the source-side diode, but with a separate set of model parameters as explained in detail in Appendix A.

• **dioMod** = 0 (resistance-free)

$$I_{bd} = I_{sbd} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{qV_{bd}}{NJD \cdot k_B TNOM}\right) - 1 \right] \cdot f_{breakdown} + V_{bd} \cdot G_{\min}$$
(11.6)

where  $I_{sbd}$  is the total saturation current consisting of the components through the gate-edge  $(J_{sswgd})$  and isolation-edge sidewalls  $(J_{sswd})$  and the bottom junction  $(J_{sd})$ ,

$$I_{sbd} = A_{deff} J_{sd} \left(T\right) + P_{deff} J_{sswd} \left(T\right) + W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot J_{sswgd} \left(T\right)$$
(11.7)

where the calculation of the junction area and perimeter is discussed in Chapter 11, and the temperature-dependent current density model is given in Chapter 12. In (11.6),  $f_{breakdown}$  is given by

$$f_{breakdown} = 1 + XJBVD \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{q \cdot (BVD + V_{bd})}{NJD \cdot k_BTNOM}\right)$$
(11.8)

In the above equation, when XJBVD = 0, no breakdown will be modeled. If XJBVD < 0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

#### • *dioMod* = 1 (breakdown-free)

No breakdown is modeled. The exponential IV term in (11.9) is linearized at the limiting current *IJTHSFWD* in the forward-bias model only.

$$I_{bd} = I_{sbd} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{qV_{bd}}{NJD \cdot k_B TNOM}\right) - 1 \right] + V_{bd} \cdot G_{\min}$$
(11.9)

• *dioMod* = 2 (resistance-and-breakdown):

Diode breakdown is always modeled. The exponential term (11.10) is linearized at both the limiting current *IJTHSFWD* in the forward-bias mode and the limiting current *IJTHSREV* in the reverse-bias mode.

$$I_{bd} = I_{sbd} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{qV_{bd}}{NJD \cdot k_B TNOM}\right) - 1 \right] \cdot f_{breakdown} + V_{bd} \cdot G_{\min}$$
(11.10)

For *dioMod* = 2, if *XJBVD* <= 0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

#### 11.1.3 Total Junction Source/Drain Diode Including Tunneling

Total diode current including the carrier recombination and trap-assisted tunneling current in the space-charge region is modeled by:

$$I_{bs\_total} = I_{bs}$$

$$-W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot J_{tsswgs}(T) \cdot \left[ \exp\left(\frac{-V_{bs}}{NJTSSWG(T) \cdot Vtm0} \cdot \frac{VTSSWGS}{VTSSWGS - V_{bs}}\right) - 1 \right]$$

$$-P_{s,deff} J_{tssws}(T) \left[ \exp\left(\frac{-V_{bs}}{NJTSSW(T) \cdot Vtm0} \cdot \frac{VTSSWS}{VTSSWS - V_{bs}}\right) - 1 \right]$$

$$-A_{s,deff} J_{tss}(T) \left[ \exp\left(\frac{-V_{bs}}{NJTS(T) \cdot Vtm0} \cdot \frac{VTSS}{VTSS - V_{bs}}\right) - 1 \right] + g_{\text{min}} \cdot V_{bs}$$

$$(11.12)$$

$$\begin{split} I_{bd\_total} &= I_{bd} \\ &-W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot J_{tsswgd}(T) \cdot \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-V_{bd}}{NJTSSWGD(T) \cdot Vtm0} \cdot \frac{VTSSWGD}{VTSSWGD - V_{bd}} \right) - 1 \\ &-P_{d,deff} J_{tsswd}(T) \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-V_{bd}}{NJTSSWD(T) \cdot Vtm0} \cdot \frac{VTSSWD}{VTSSWD - V_{bd}} \right) - 1 \right] \\ &-A_{d,deff} J_{tsd}(T) \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-V_{bd}}{NJTSD(T) \cdot Vtm0} \cdot \frac{VTSD}{VTSD - V_{bd}} \right) - 1 \right] + g_{\text{min}} \cdot V_{bd} \end{split}$$

## **11.2 Junction Diode CV Model**

Source and drain junction capacitances consist of three components: the bottom junction capacitance, sidewall junction capacitance along the isolation edge, and sidewall junction capacitance along the gate edge. An analogous set of equations are used for both sides but each side has a separate set of model parameters.

#### 11.2.1 Source/Body Junction Diode

The source-side junction capacitance can be calculated by

$$C_{bs} = A_{seff} C_{jbs} + P_{seff} C_{jbssw} + W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot C_{jbsswg}$$
(11.13)

where  $C_{jbs}$  is the unit-area bottom S/B junciton capacitance,  $C_{jbssw}$  is the unit-length S/B junction sidewall capacitance along the isolation edge, and  $C_{jbsswg}$  is the unit-length S/B junction sidewall capacitance along the gate edge. The effective area and perimeters in (11.13) are given in Chapter 11.

#### C<sub>jbs</sub> is calculated by

if  $V_{bs} < 0$ 

$$C_{jbs} = CJS(T) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{bs}}{PBS(T)}\right)^{-MJS}$$
(11.14)

otherwise

$$C_{jbs} = CJS(T) \cdot \left(1 + MJS \cdot \frac{V_{bs}}{PBS(T)}\right)$$
(11.15)

#### C<sub>ibssw</sub> is calculated by

if  $V_{bs} < 0$ 

$$C_{jbssw} = CJSWS(T) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{bs}}{PBSWS(T)}\right)^{-MJSWS}$$
(11.16)

otherwise

$$C_{jbssw} = CJSWS(T) \cdot \left(1 + MJSWS \cdot \frac{V_{bs}}{PBSWS(T)}\right)$$
(11.17)

#### Cibsswg is calculated by

if  $V_{\rm bs} < 0$ 

$$C_{jbsswg} = CJSWGS(T) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{bs}}{PBSWGS(T)}\right)^{-MJSWGS}$$
(11.18)

otherwise

$$C_{jbsswg} = CJSWGS(T) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{bs}}{PBSWGS(T)}\right)^{-MJSWGS}$$
(11.19)

#### 11.2.2 Drain/Body Junction Diode

The drain-side junction capacitance can be calculated by

$$C_{bd} = A_{deff} C_{jbd} + P_{deff} C_{jbdsw} + W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot C_{jbdswg}$$
(11.20)

where  $C_{jbd}$  is the unit-area bottom D/B junciton capacitance,  $C_{jbdsw}$  is the unit-length D/B junction sidewall capacitance along the isolation edge, and  $C_{jbdswg}$  is the unit-length D/B junction sidewall capacitance along the gate edge. The effective area and perimeters in (11.20) are given in Chapter 12.

#### C<sub>ibd</sub> is calculated by

if  $V_{bd} < 0$ 

$$C_{jbd} = CJD(T) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{bd}}{PBD(T)}\right)^{-MJD}$$
(11.21)

otherwise

$$C_{jbd} = CJD(T) \cdot \left(1 + MJD \cdot \frac{V_{bd}}{PBD(T)}\right)$$
(11.22)

## <u>C<sub>ibdsw</sub> is calculated by</u>

if  $V_{bd} < 0$ 

$$C_{jbdsw} = CJSWD(T) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{bd}}{PBSWD(T)}\right)^{-MJSWD}$$
(11.23)

otherwise

$$C_{jbdsw} = CJSWD(T) \cdot \left(1 + MJSWD \cdot \frac{V_{bd}}{PBSWD(T)}\right)$$
(11.24)

## <u>C<sub>jbdswg</sub> is calculated by</u>

if  $V_{bd} < 0$ 

$$C_{jbdswg} = CJSWGD(T) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_{bd}}{PBSWGD(T)}\right)^{-MJSWGD}$$
(11.25)

otherwise

$$C_{jbdswg} = CJSWGD(T) \cdot \left(1 + MJSWGD \cdot \frac{V_{bd}}{PBSWGD(T)}\right)$$
(11.26)

# **Chapter 12: Layout-Dependent Parasitics Models**

BSIM4 provides a comprehensive and versatile geometry/layout-dependent parasites model [15]. It supports modeling of series (such as isolated, shared, or merged source/ drain) and multi-finger device layout, or a combination of these two configurations. This model have impact on every BSIM4 sub-models except the substrate resistance network model. Note that the narrow-width effect in the per-finger device with multi-finger configuration is accounted for by this model. A complete list of model parameters and selectors can be found in Appendix A.

## **12.1 Geometry Definition**

Figure 12.1 schematically shows the geometry definition for various source/drain connections and source/drain/gate contacts. The layout parameters shown in this figure will be used to calculate resistances and source/drain perimeters and areas.


Figure 12.1 Definition for layout parameters.

# **12.2 Model Formulation and Options**

### **12.2.1 Effective Junction Perimeter and Area**

In the following, only the source-side case is illustrated. The same approach is used for the drain side. The effective junction perimeter on the source side is calculated by

```
If (PS is given)

if (perMod == 0)

P_{seff} = PS

else

P_{seff} = PS - W_{effcj} \cdot NF

Else

P_{seff} computed from NF, DWJ, geoMod, DMCG, DMCI, DMDG,
```

*DMCGT*, and *MIN*.

The effective junction area on the source side is calculated by

```
If (AS is given)

A_{seff} = AS

Else

A_{seff} computed from NF, DWJ, geoMod, DMCG, DMCI, DMDG,

DMCGT, and MIN.
```

In the above,  $P_{seff}$  and  $A_{seff}$  will be used to calculate junction diode IV and CV.  $P_{seff}$  does not include the gate-edge perimeter.

### 12.2.2 Source/Drain Diffusion Resistance

The source diffusion resistance is calculated by

```
If (number of source squares NRS is given)

R_{sdiff} = NRS \cdot RSH

Else if (rgeoMod == 0)

Source diffusion resistance R_{sdiff} is not generated.

Else

R_{sdiff} computed from NF, DWJ, geoMod, DMCG, DMCI, DMDG,

DMCGT, RSH, and MIN.
```

where the number of source squares NRS is an instance parameter. Similarly,

the drain diffusion resistance is calculated by

If (number of source squares NRD is given)

 $R_{ddiff} = NRD \cdot RSH$ 

Else if (*rgeoMod* == 0)

Drain diffusion resistance  $R_{ddiff}$  is not generated.

Else

*R*<sub>ddiff</sub> computed from *NF*, *DWJ*, *geoMod*, *DMCG*, *DMCI*, *DMDG*, *DMCGT*, *RSH*, and *MIN*.

#### 12.2.3 Gate Electrode Resistance

The gate electrode resistance with multi-finger configuration is modeled by

$$Rgeltd = \frac{RSHG \cdot \left(XGW + \frac{W_{effici}}{3 \cdot NGCON}\right)}{NGCON \cdot \left(L_{drawn} - XGL\right) \cdot NF}$$
(12.1)

#### 12.2.4 Option for Source/Drain Connections

Table 12.1 lists the options for source/drain connections through the model selector *geoMod*.

geoMod	End source	End drain	Note
0	isolated	isolated	NF=Odd
1	isolated	shared	NF=Odd, Even
2	shared	isolated	NF=Odd, Even
3	shared	shared	NF=Odd, Even
4	isolated	merged	NF=Odd
5	shared	merged	NF=Odd, Even
6	merged	isolated	NF=Odd
7	merged	shared	NF=Odd, Even
8	merged	merged	NF=Odd
9	sha/iso	shared	NF=Even
10	shared	sha/iso	NF=Even

#### Table 12.1 geoMod options.

For multi-finger devices, all inside S/D diffusions are assumed shared.

### **12.2.5 Option for Source/Drain Contacts**

Table 12.2 lists the options for source/drain contacts through the model selector *rgeoMod*.

rgeoMod	End-source contact	End-drain contact
0	No $R_{sdiff}$	No $R_{ddiff}$
1	wide	wide
2	wide	point
3	point	wide
4	point	point
5	wide	merged
6	point	merged
7	merged	wide
8	merged	point

Table 12.2 *rgeoMod* options.

# **Chapter 13: Temperature Dependence Model**

Accurate modeling of the temperature effects on MOSFET characteristics is important to predict circuit behavior over a range of operating temperatures (T). The operating temperature might be different from the nominal temperature (TNOM) at which the BSIM4 model parameters are extracted. This chapter presents the BSIM4 temperature dependence models for threshold voltage, mobility, saturation velocity, source/drain resistance, and junction diode IV and CV.

## **13.1 Temperature Dependence of Threshold**

# Voltage

The temperature dependence of  $V_{th}$  is modeled by

$$V_{th}(T) = V_{th}(TNOM) + \left(KT1 + \frac{KT1L}{L_{eff}} + KT2 \cdot V_{bseff}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)$$
(13.1)

$$V_{fb}(T) = V_{fb}(TNOM) - KT1 \cdot \left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)$$
(13.2)

$$VOFF(T) = VOFF(TNOM) \cdot [1 + TVOFF \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.3)

$$VOFFCV(T) = VOFFCV(TNOM) \cdot [1 + TVOFFCV(T - TNOM)]$$
(13.4)

$$VFBSDOFF(T) = VFBSDOFF(TNOM)$$
(13.5)

$$\left[1 + TVFBSDOFF \cdot (T - TNOM)\right]$$

$$NFACTOR(T) = NFACTOR(TNOM) + TNFACTOR \cdot \left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)$$
 (13.6)

$$ETA0(T) = ETA0(TNOM) + TETA0 \cdot \left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)$$
(13.7)

### **13.2 Temperature Dependence of Mobility**

The BSIM4 mobility model parameters have the following temperature dependences depending on the model selected through *TEMPMOD*.

If TEMPMOD = 0,

$$U0(T) = U0(TNOM) \cdot (T/TNOM)^{UTE}$$
(13.8)

$$UA(T) = UA(TNOM) + UA1 \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.9)

$$UB(T) = UB(TNOM) + UB1 \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.10)

$$UC(T) = UC(TNOM) + UC1 \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.11)

and

$$UD(T) = UD(TNOM) + UD1 \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.12)

If TEMPMOD = 1 or 2,

$$U0(T) = U0(TNOM) \cdot (T/TNOM)^{UTE}$$
(13.13)

$$UA(T) = UA(TNOM)[1 + UA1 \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.14)

$$UB(T) = UB(TNOM) + UB1 \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.15)

$$UC(T) = UC(TNOM)[1 + UC1 \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.16)

and

$$UD(T) = UD(TNOM)[1 + UD1 \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.17)

#### If *TEMPMOD* = 3,

$$U0(T) = U0(TNOM) \cdot (T/TNOM)^{UTE}$$
(13.18)

$$UCS(T) = UCS(TNOM) \cdot (T/TNOM)^{UCSTE}$$
(13.19)

$$UA(T) = UA(TNOM) \cdot (T / TNOM)^{UA1}$$
(13.20)

$$UB(T) = UB(TNOM) \cdot (T / TNOM)^{UB1}$$
(13.21)

$$UC(T) = UC(TNOM) \cdot (T / TNOM)^{UC1}$$
(13.22)

and

$$UD(T) = UD(TNOM) \cdot (T / TNOM)^{UD1}$$
(13.23)

It is worth pointing out that tempMod=3 only affects the mobility. Other parameters such as Rs and Rd are same as those in tempMod=2.

### **13.3 Temperature Dependence of Saturation Velocity**

If TEMPMOD = 0, the temperature dependence of VSAT is modeled by

$$VSAT(T) = VSAT(TNOM) - AT \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.24)

If TEMPMOD = 1, the temperature dependence of VSAT is modeled by

$$VSAT(T) = VSAT(TNOM)[1 - AT \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.25)

## **13.4 Temperature Dependence of LDD**

### Resistance

If *TEMPMOD* = 0,

*rdsMod* = 0 (internal source/drain LDD resistance)

$$RDSW(T) = RDSW(TNOM) + PRT \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.26)

$$RDSWMIN(T) = RDSWMIN(TNOM) + PRT \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.27)

*rdsMod* = 1 (external source/drain LDD resistance)

$$RDW(T) = RDW(TNOM) + PRT \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.28)  

$$RDWMIN(T) = RDWMIN(TNOM) + PRT \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.29)  

$$RSW(T) = RSW(TNOM) + PRT \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.30)  
and  

$$RSWMIN(T) = RSWMIN(TNOM) + PRT \cdot (T/TNOM - 1)$$
(13.31)  
If **TEMPMOD** = 1,  

$$rdsMod = 0 \text{ (internal source/drain LDD resistance)}$$
(13.32)  

$$RDSW(T) = RDSW(TNOM)[1 + PRT \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.33)  

$$rdsMod = 1 \text{ (external source/drain LDD resistance)}$$
(13.34)  

$$RDW(T) = RDW(TNOM)[1 + PRT \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.35)  

$$RDWMIN(T) = RDWMIN(TNOM)[1 + PRT \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.36)

$$RSWMIN(T) = RSWMIN(TNOM)[1 + PRT \cdot (T - TNOM)]$$
(13.37)

# **13.5 Temperature Dependence of Junction**

### **Diode IV**

### • Source-side diode

The source-side diode is turned off if both  $A_{seff}$  and  $P_{seff}$  are zero. Otherwise, the source-side saturation current is given by

$$I_{sbs} = A_{seff} J_{ss} \left(T\right) + P_{seff} J_{ssws} \left(T\right) + W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot J_{sswgs} \left(T\right)$$
(13.38)

where

(13.39)

$$J_{ss}(T) = JSS(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\frac{E_{g}(TNOM)}{v_{t}(TNOM)} - \frac{E_{g}(T)}{v_{t}(T)} + XTIS \cdot \ln\left(\frac{T}{TNOM}\right)}{NJS}\right)$$

(13.40)

$$J_{ssws}(T) = JSSWS(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\frac{E_{g}(TNOM)}{v_{t}(TNOM)} - \frac{E_{g}(T)}{v_{t}(T)} + XTIS \cdot \ln\left(\frac{T}{TNOM}\right)}{NJS}\right)$$

and

$$J_{sswgs}(T) = JSSWGS(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\frac{E_g(TNOM)}{k_b \cdot TNOM} - \frac{E_g(T)}{k_b \cdot T} + XTIS \cdot \ln\left(\frac{T}{TNOM}\right)}{NJS}\right)$$
(13.41)

where  $E_g$  is given in Section 12.7.

#### • Drain-side diode

The drain-side diode is turned off if both  $A_{seff}$  and  $P_{seff}$  are zero. Otherwise, the drain-side saturation current is given by

$$I_{sbd} = A_{deff} J_{sd} \left(T\right) + P_{deff} J_{sswd} \left(T\right) + W_{effcj} \cdot NF \cdot J_{sswgd} \left(T\right)$$
(13.42)

where

$$J_{sd}(T) = JSD(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\frac{E_g(TNOM)}{k_b \cdot TNOM} - \frac{E_g(T)}{k_b \cdot T} + XTID \cdot \ln\left(\frac{T}{TNOM}\right)}{NJD}\right)$$
(13.43)  
(13.44)

$$J_{sswd}(T) = JSSWD(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\frac{E_g(TNOM)}{k_b \cdot TNOM} - \frac{E_g(T)}{k_b \cdot T} + XTID \cdot \ln\left(\frac{T}{TNOM}\right)}{NJD}\right)$$

and

$$J_{sswgd}(T) = JSSWGD(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\frac{E_g(TNOM)}{k_b \cdot TNOM} - \frac{E_g(T)}{k_b \cdot T} + XTID \cdot \ln\left(\frac{T}{TNOM}\right)}{NJD}\right)$$
(13.45)

$$J_{tsswgs}(T) = J_{tsswgs}(TNOM) \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{JTWEFF}{W_{effcj}}} + 1 \right)$$

$$\exp\left[ \frac{-Eg(TNOM)}{k_B T} \cdot X_{tsswgs} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{T}{TNOM} \right) \right]$$

$$J_{tssws}(T) = J_{tssws}(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left[ \frac{-Eg(TNOM)}{k_B T} \cdot X_{tssws} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{T}{TNOM} \right) \right]$$
(13.46)
(13.46)
(13.47)

$$J_{tss}(T) = J_{tss}(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left[\frac{-Eg(TNOM)}{k_B T} \cdot X_{tss} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T}{TNOM}\right)\right]$$
(13.48)

$$J_{tsswgd}(T) = J_{tsswgd}(TNOM) \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{JTWEFF}{W_{effcj}}} + 1 \right)$$

$$.\exp\left[ \frac{-Eg(TNOM)}{k_B T} \cdot X_{tsswgd} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{T}{TNOM} \right) \right]$$
(13.49)

$$J_{tsd}(T) = J_{tsd}(TNOM) \cdot \exp\left[\frac{-Eg(TNOM)}{k_B T} \cdot X_{tsd} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T}{TNOM}\right)\right]$$
(13.50)

$$NJTSSWG(T) = NJTSSWG(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TNJTSSWG\left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)\right]$$
(13.51)

$$NJTSSW(T) = NJTSSW(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TNJTSSW\left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)\right]$$
(13.52)

$$NJTS(T) = NJTS(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TNTJS\left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)\right]$$
(13.53)

$$NJTSSWGD(T) = NJTSSWGD(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TNJTSSWGD\left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)\right]$$
(13.54)

$$NJTSSWD(T) = NJTSSWD(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TNJTSSWD\left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)\right]$$
(13.55)

$$NJTSSWD(T) = NJTSSWD(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TNJTSSWD\left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)\right]$$
(13.56)

$$NJTSD(T) = NJTSD(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TNTJSD\left(\frac{T}{TNOM} - 1\right)\right]$$
(13.57)

The original TAT current densities  $J_{tsswgs}$  and  $J_{tsswgd}$  (i.e., Equ. 13.43 and 13.46) are width independent, while in experiments narrower device shows higher TAT current per width. Here, BSIM 4.6.2 introduced a new parameter *JTWEFF* to describe this phenomenon. The backward compatibility is kept when *JTWEFF* is zero.

### **13.6 Temperature Dependence of Junction Diode CV**

#### • Source-side diode

The temperature dependences of zero-bias unit-length/area junction capacitances on the source side are modeled by

$$CJS(T) = CJS(TNOM) + TCJ \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.58)

$$CJSWS(T) = CJSWS(TNOM) + TCJSW \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.59)

and

$$CJSWGS(T) = CJSWGS(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TCJSWG \cdot (T - TNOM)\right]$$
(13.60)

The temperature dependences of the built-in potentials on the source side are modeled by

$$PBS(T) = PBS(TNOM) - TPB \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.61)

$$PBSWS(T) = PBSWS(TNOM) - TPBSW \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.62)

and

$$PBSWGS(T) = PBSWGS(TNOM) - TPBSWG \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.63)

#### • Drain-side diode

The temperature dependences of zero-bias unit-length/area junction capacitances on the drain side are modeled by

$$CJD(T) = CJD(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TCJ \cdot (T - TNOM)\right]$$
(13.64)

$$CJSWD(T) = CJSWD(TNOM) + TCJSW \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.65)

and

$$CJSWGD(T) = CJSWGD(TNOM) \cdot \left[1 + TCJSWG \cdot (T - TNOM)\right]$$
(13.66)

The temperature dependences of the built-in potentials on the drain side are modeled by

$$PBD(T) = PBD(TNOM) - TPB \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.67)

$$PBSWD(T) = PBSWD(TNOM) - TPBSW \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.68)

and

$$PBSWGD(T) = PBSWGD(TNOM) - TPBSWG \cdot (T - TNOM)$$
(13.69)

### **13.7** Temperature Dependences of $E_g$ and $n_i$

#### <u>mrtlMod=0</u>

#### • Energy-band gap of Si $(E_g)$

The temperature dependence of  $E_g$  is modeled by

$$E_{g}(TNOM) = 1.16 - \frac{7.02 \times 10^{-4} TNOM^{2}}{TNOM + 1108}$$
(13.70)

and

$$E_g(T) = 1.16 - \frac{7.02 \times 10^{-4} T^2}{T + 1108}$$
(13.71)

### • Intrinsic carrier concentration of Si $(n_i)$

The temperature dependence of  $n_i$  is modeled by

$$n_{i} = 1.45e10 \cdot \frac{TNOM}{300.15} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{TNOM}{300.15}} \cdot \exp\left[21.5565981 - \frac{qE_{g}(TNOM)}{2 \cdot k_{B}T}\right]$$
(13.72)

<u>mrtlMod=1</u>

### • Energy-band gap of non-silicon channel $(E_g)$

The temperature dependence of  $E_g$  is modeled by

$$Eg0 = BG0SUB - \frac{TBGASUB \times Tnom^2}{Tnom + TBGBSUB}$$
(13.73)

$$Eg(300.15) = BG0SUB - \frac{TBGASUB \times 300.15^2}{300.15 + TBGBSUB}$$
(13.74)

$$Eg = BG0SUB - \frac{TBGASUB \times Temp^2}{Temp + TBGBSUB}$$
(13.75)

• Intrinsic carrier concentration of non-silicon channel  $(n_i)$ 

$$n_{i} = NI0SUB \times \left(\frac{Tnom}{300.15}\right)^{3/2} \times \exp\left(\frac{Eg(300.15) - Eg0}{2v_{i}}\right)$$
(13.76)

# **Chapter 14: Stress Effect Model**

CMOS feature size aggressively scaling makes shallow trench isolation(STI) very popular active area isolatiohn process in advanced technologies. Recent years, strain channel materials have been employed to achieve high device performance. The mechanical stress effect induced by these process causes MOSFET performance function of the active area size(OD: oxide definition) and the location of the device in the active area. And the necessity of new models to describe the layout dependence of MOS parameters due to stress effect becomes very urgent in advance CMOS technologies.

Influence of stress on mobility has been well known since the 0.13um technology. The stress influence on saturation velocity is also experimentally demonstrated. Stress-induced enhancement or suppression of dopant diffusion during the processing is reported. Since the doping profile may be changed due to different STI sizes and stress, the threshold voltage shift and changes of other second-order effects, such as DIBL and body effect, were shown in process integration.

BSIM4 considers the influence of stress on mobility, velocity saturation, threshold voltage, body effect, and DIBL effect.

# 14.1 Stress Effect Model Development

Experimental analysis show that there exist at least two different mechanisms within the influence of stress effect on device characteristics. The first one is mobility-related and is induced by the band structure modification. The second one is Vth-related as a result of doping profile variation. Both of them follow the same 1/LOD trend but reveal different L and W scaling. We have derived a phenomenological model based on these findings by modifying some parameters in the BSIM model. Note that the following equations have no impact on the iteration time because there are no voltage-controlled components in them.

#### 14.1.1 Mobility-related Equations

This model introduces the first mechanism by adjusting the U0 and Vsat according to different W, L and OD shapes. Define mobility relative change due to stress effect as :

$$\rho_{\mu_{eff}} = \Delta \mu_{eff} / \mu_{effo} = (\mu_{eff} - \mu_{effo}) / \mu_{effo} = \frac{\mu_{eff}}{\mu_{effo}} - 1$$
(14.1)

So,

$$\frac{\mu_{eff}}{\mu_{effo}} = 1 + \rho_{\mu_{eff}} \tag{14.2}$$

Figure14.1 shows the typical layout of a MOSFET on active layout surrounded by STI isolation. SA, SB are the distances between isolation edge to Poly from one and the other side, respectively. 2D simulation shows that stress distribution can be expressed by a simple function of SA and SB.



Figure 14.1 shows the typical layout of a MOSFET



# Figure 14.2 Stress distribution within MOSFET channel using 2D simulation

Assuming that mobility relative change is proportional to stress distribution. It can be described as function of SA, SB(LOD effect), L, W, and T dependence:

$$\rho_{\mu eff} = \frac{KU0}{Kstress\_u0} \cdot (Inv\_sa + Inv\_sb)$$
(14.3)

where:

$$Inv\_sa = \frac{1}{SA+0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}} \quad Inv\_sb = \frac{1}{SB+0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}}$$

$$Kstress\_u0 = \left(1 + \frac{LKU0}{(L_{drawn} + XL)^{LLODKU0}} + \frac{WKU0}{(W_{drawn} + XW + WLOD)^{WLODKU0}} + \frac{PKU0}{(L_{drawn} + XL)^{LLODKU0} \cdot (W_{drawn} + XW + WLOD)^{WLODKU0}}\right) \times \left(1 + TKU0 \cdot \left(\frac{Temperan}{TNOM}\right)\right)$$

So that:

$$\mu_{eff} = \frac{1 + \rho_{\mu eff} (SA, SB)}{1 + \rho_{\mu eff} (SA_{ref}, SB_{ref})} \mu_{effo}$$
(14.5)

$$\upsilon_{sattemp} = \frac{1 + KVSAT \cdot \rho_{\mu eff} (SA, SB)}{1 + KVSAT \cdot \rho_{\mu eff} (SA_{ref}, SB_{ref})} \upsilon_{sattempo}$$
(14.6)

and  $SA_{ref}$ ,  $SB_{ref}$  are reference distances between OD edge to poly from one and the other side.

#### **14.1.2 Vth-related Equations**

Vth0, K2 and ETA0 are modified to cover the doping profile change in the devices with different LOD. They use the same 1/LOD formulas as shown in section(14.1), but different equations for W and L scaling:

$$VTH0 = VTH0_{original} + \frac{KVTH0}{Kstress\_vth0} \cdot (Inv\_sa + Inv\_sb - Inv\_sa_{ref} - Inv\_sb_{ref})$$
(14.7)  

$$K2 = K2_{original} + \frac{STK2}{Kstress\_vth0^{LODK2}} \cdot (Inv\_sa + Inv\_sb - Inv\_sa_{ref} - Inv\_sb_{ref})$$
  

$$ETA0 = ETA0_{original} + \frac{STETA0}{Kstress\_vth0^{LODETA0}} \cdot (Inv\_sa + Inv\_sb - Inv\_sa_{ref} - Inv\_sb_{ref})$$

Where:

BSIM4v4.7 Manual Copyright © 2010 UC Berkeley

$$Inv_sa_{ref} = \frac{1}{SA_{ref} + 0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}} \qquad Inv_sb_{ref} = \frac{1}{SB_{ref} + 0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}}$$
(14.8)

$$Kstress\_vth0 = 1 + \frac{LKVTH0}{(L_{drawn} + XL)^{\text{LLODKVTH}}} + \frac{WKVTH0}{(W_{drawn} + XW + WLOD)^{\text{WLODKVTH}}} + \frac{PKVTH0}{(L_{drawn} + XL)^{\text{LLODKVTH}} \cdot (W_{drawn} + XW + WLOD)^{\text{WLODKVTH}}}$$
(14.9)

#### 14.1.3 Multiple Finger Device

For multiple finger device, the total LOD effect is the average of LOD effect to every finger. That is(see Figure14.3) for the layout for multiple finger device):



Figure 14.3 Layout of multiple finger MOSFET

# 14.2 Effective SA and SB for Irregular LOD

General MOSFET has an irregular shape of active area shown in Figure 14.3 To fully describe the shape of OD region will require additional instance parameters. However, this will result in too many parameters in the net lists and would massively increase the read-in time and degrade the readability of parameters. One way to overcome this difficulty is the concept of effective SA and SB similar to ref. [16].

Stress effect model described in Section(14.1) allows an accurate and efficient layout extraction of effective SA and SB while keeping fully compatibility of the LOD model. They are expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{SA_{\text{eff}} + 0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{SW_{i}}{W_{drawn}} \cdot \frac{1}{sa_{i} + 0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}}$$

$$\frac{1}{SB_{\text{eff}} + 0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{SW_{i}}{W_{drawn}} \cdot \frac{1}{sb_{i} + 0.5 \cdot L_{drawn}}$$
(14.10)



Figure 14.4 A typical layout of MOS devices with more instance parameters (swi, sai and sbi) in addition to the traditional L and W

# **Chapter 15: Well Proximity Effect Model**

Retrograde well profiles have several key advantages for highly scaled bulk complementary metal oxide semiconductor(CMOS) technology. With the advent of high-energy implanters and reduced thermal cycle processing, it has become possible to provide a relatively heavily doped deep neell and pwell without affecting the critical device-related doping at the surface. The deep well implants provide a low resistance path and suppress parasitic bipolar gain for latchup protection, and can also improve soft error rate and noise isolation. A deep buried layer is also key to forming triple-well structures for isolated-well NMOSFETs. However, deep buried layers can affect devices located near the mask edge. Some of the ions scattered out of the edge of the photoresist are implanted in the silicon surface near the mask edge, altering the threshold voltage of those devices [17]. It is observed a threshold voltage shifts of up to 100 mV in a deep boron retrograde pwell, a deep phosphorus retrograde nwell, and also a triple-well implementation with a deep phosphorus isolation layer below the pwell over a lateral distance on the order of a micrometer[17]. This effect is called well proximity effect.

BSIM4 considers the influence of well proximity effect on threshold voltage, mobility, and body effect. This well proximity effect model is developed by the Compact Model Council[19].

# **15.1 Well Proximity Effect Model**

Experimental analysis[17] shows that well proximity effect is strong function of distance of FET from mask edge, and electrical quantities influenced by it follow the same geometrical trend. A phenomenological model based on these findings has been developed by modifying some parameters in the BSIM model. Note that the following equations have no impact on the iteration time because there are no voltage-controlled components in them.

Well proximity affects threshold voltage, mobility and the body effect of the device. The effect of the well proximity can be described through the following equations :

$$Vth0 = Vth0_{org} + KVTH0WE \cdot (SCA + WEB \cdot SCB + WEC \cdot SCC)$$
(15.1)

 $K2 = K2_{org} + K2WE \cdot (SCA + WEB \cdot SCB + WEC \cdot SCC)$ 

$$\mu_{eff} = \mu_{eff.org} \cdot (1 + \text{KU0WE} \cdot (\text{SCA} + \text{WEB} \cdot \text{SCB} + \text{WEC} \cdot \text{SCC}))$$

where SCA, SCB, SCC are instance parameters that represent the integral of the first/second/third distribution function for scattered well dopant.

The guidelines for calculating the instance parameters SCA, SCB, SCC have been developed by the Compact Model Council which can be found at the CMC website [19].

# **Chapter 16: Parameter Extraction Methodology**

Parameter extraction is an important part of model development. The extraction methodology depends on the model and on the way the model is used. A combination of a local optimization and the group device extraction strategy is adopted for parameter extraction.

# **16.1 Optimization strategy**

There are two main, different optimization strategies: global optimization and local optimization. Global optimization relies on the explicit use of a computer to find one set of model parameters which will best fit the available experimental (measured) data. This methodology may give the minimum average error between measured and simulated (calculated) data points, but it also treats each parameter as a "fitting" parameter. Physical parameters extracted in such a manner might yield values that are not consistent with their physical intent.

In local optimization, many parameters are extracted independently of one another. Parameters are extracted from device bias conditions which correspond to dominant physical mechanisms. Parameters which are extracted in this manner might not fit experimental data in all the bias conditions. Nonetheless, these extraction methodologies are developed specifically with respect to a given parameter's physical meaning. If properly executed, it should, overall, predict device performance quite well. Values extracted in this manner will now have some physical relevance.

# **16.2 Extraction Strategy**

Two different strategies are available for extracting parameters: single device extraction strategy and group device extraction strategy. In single device extraction strategy, experimental data from a single device is used to extract a complete set of model parameters. This strategy will fit one device very well but will not fit other devices with different geometries. Furthermore, single device extraction strategy cannot guarantee that the extracted parameters are physical. If only one set of channel length and width is used, parameters related to channel length and channel width dependencies can not be determined.

It is suggested that BSIM4 use group device extraction strategy. This requires measured data from devices with different geometries. All devices are measured under the same bias conditions. The resulting fit might not be absolutely perfect for any single device but will be better for the group of devices under consideration. In the following, a general extraction methodology is proposed for basic BSIM4 model parameters. Thus, it will not cover other model parameters, such as those of the gate tunneling current model and RF models, etc.

# **16.3 Extraction Procedure**

### **16.3.1 Extraction Requirements**

One large size device and two sets of smaller-sized devices are needed to extract parameters, as shown in Figure 16.1.



Figure 16.1 Device geometries used for parameter extraction

The large-sized device ( $W \ge 10\mu m$ ,  $L \ge 10\mu m$ ) is used to extract parameters which are independent of short/narrow channel effects and parasitic resistance. Specifically, these are: mobility, the large-sized device threshold voltage *VTH*0, and the body effect coefficients *K*1 and *K*2 which depend on the vertical doping concentration distribution. The set of devices with a fixed large channel width but different channel lengths are used to extract parameters which are related to the short channel effects. Similarly, the set of devices with a fixed, long channel length but different channel widths are used to extract parameters which are related to narrow width effects. Regardless of device geometry, each device will have to be measured under four, distinct bias conditions.

(1)  $I_{ds}$  vs.  $V_{gs}$  @  $V_{ds} = 0.05$ V with different  $V_{bs}$ .

(2)  $I_{ds}$  vs.  $V_{ds}$  @  $V_{bs} = 0$ V with different  $V_{gs}$ .

(3)  $I_{ds}$  vs.  $V_{gs}$  @  $V_{ds} = V_{dd}$  with different  $V_{bs}$ .

(4)  $I_{ds}$  vs.  $V_{ds}$  @  $V_{bs} = V_{bb}$  with different  $V_{gs}$ . ( $|V_{bb}|$  is the maximum body bias).

#### 16.3.2 Optimization

The optimization process recommended is a combination of Newton-Raphson's iteration and linear-squares fit of either one, two, or three variables. A flow chart of this optimization process is shown in Figure 16.2. The model equation is first arranged in a form suitable for Newton-Raphson's iteration as shown in (16.1):

$$f_{\exp}(P_{10}, P_{20}, P_{30}) - f_{sim}(P_1^{(m)}, P_2^{(m)}, P_3^{(m)}) = \frac{\partial f_{sim}}{\partial P_1} \Delta P_1^m + \frac{\partial f_{sim}}{\partial P_2} \Delta P_2^m + \frac{\partial f_{sim}}{\partial P_3} \Delta P_3^m$$
(16.1)

The variable  $f_{sim}()$  is the objective function to be optimized. The variable  $f_{exp}()$  stands for the experimental data.  $P_{10}$ ,  $P_{20}$ , and  $P_{30}$  represent the desired extracted parameter values.  $P_1^{(m)}$ ,  $P_2^{(m)}$  and  $P_3^{(m)}$  represent parameter values after the *m*th iteration.



Figure 16.2 Optimization flow

To change (16.1) into a form that a linear least-squares fit routine can be used (i.e. in a form of y = a + bx1 + cx2), both sides of (16.1) are divided by  $\partial f_{sim} / \partial P_I$ . This gives the change in  $P_I$ ,  $\Delta P_I^{(m)}$ , for the next iteration such that:

$$P_i^{(m+1)} = P_i^m + \Delta P_i^{(m)} \tag{16.2}$$

where i=1, 2, 3 for this example. The (m+1) parameter values for  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  are obtained in an identical fashion. This process is repeated until the incremental parameter change in parameter values  $\Delta P_i(m)$  are smaller than a pre-determined value. At this point, the parameters  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  have been extracted.

### **16.3.3 Extraction Routine**

Before any model parameters can be extracted, some process parameters have to be provided. They are listed below in Table 16.1.

Input Parameters Names	Physical Meaning
TOXE, TOXP, DTOX, or EPSROX	Gate oxide thickness and dielectric constant
NDEP	Doping concentration in the channel
TNOM	Temperature at which the data is taken
L <sub>drawn</sub>	Mask level channel length
$\mathbf{W}_{drawn}$	Mask level channel width
XJ	Junction depth

 Table 16.1 Prerequisite input parameters prior to extraction process

The parameters are extracted in the following procedure. These procedures are based on a physical understanding of the model and based on local optimization. (Note: *Fitting Target Data* refers to measurement data used for model extraction.)

#### <u>Step 1</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Device & Experimental Data
Extracted Furtheters & Friting Furget Data	Device & Experimental Data
	Large Size Device (Large W& L)
V 1110, K1, K2	Large Size Device (Large W & L).
	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm ds} = 0.05$ V at Different $V_{\rm bs}$
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $V_{tb}(V_{bs})$	Extracted Experimental Data $V_{th}(V_{bs})$
	Extracted Experimental Data + ur(+ bs)

Step	2

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
UA, UB, UC, EU	Large Size Device (Large W & L).

Fitting Target Exp. Data: Strong Inversion region $I_{ds}(V_{gs}, V_{bs})$	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm ds} = 0.05$ V at Different $V_{\rm bs}$
--	---

### <u>Step 3</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
LINT, $R_{ds}$ (RDSW, $W$ , $V_{bs}$ )	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> & Different <i>L</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: Strong Inversion region $I_{ds}(V_{gs}, V_{bs})$	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm ds} = 0.05$ V at Different $V_{\rm bs}$

### <u>Step 4</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
WINT, R <sub>ds</sub> (RDSW, W, V <sub>bs</sub> )	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed L &
	Different W).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: Strong Inversion	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm ds} = 0.05$ V at Different $V_{\rm bs}$
region $I_{\rm ds}(V_{\rm gs}, V_{\rm bs})$	
WINT, $R_{ds}$ (RDSW, $W$ , $V_{bs}$ ) Fitting Target Exp. Data: Strong Inversion region $I_{ds}(V_{gs}, V_{bs})$	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>L</i> & Different <i>W</i> ). $I_{ds}$ vs. $V_{gs}$ @ $V_{ds} = 0.05$ V at Different $V_{bs}$

### <u>Step 5</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
RDSW, PRWG, PRWB, WR Fitting Target Exp. Data: $R_{ds}$ (RDSW, $W$ , $V_{gs}$ , $V_{bs}$ )	$R_{ds}(\text{RDSW}, W, V_{gs}, V_{bs})$

### <u>Step 6</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
DVT0, DVT1, DVT2, LPE0, LPEB	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &

### Parameter Extraction Methodology

	Different <i>L</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $V_{\text{th}}(V_{\text{bs}}, L, W)$	$V_{th}(V_{bs}, L, W)$

### <u>Step 7</u>

Devices & Experimental Data
Devices & Experimental Data
One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed W &
One set of Devices (Large and Fixed w &
Different I)
Different L).
V (V, I, W)
$v_{th}(v_{bs}, L, vv)$

### <u>Step 8</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
Entracted Faranteters te Frang Farget Data	Devices & Enpermiental Data
K3 K3B W0	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed $W \&$
$\mathbf{K}$ , $\mathbf{K}$ , $\mathbf{M}$ , $\mathbf{W}$	One set of Devices (Large and Fixed w &
	Different L)
	Different L).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: V <sub>th</sub> (V <sub>ha</sub> , L, W)	$V_{th}(V_{ha}, L, W)$
	(100, 000, 20, 000)

#### <u>Step 9</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
MINV, VOFF, VOFFL, NFACTOR,	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
CDSC, CDSCB	Different <i>L</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: Subthreshold	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm ds} = 0.05$ V at Different $V_{\rm bs}$
region $I_{\rm ds}(V_{\rm gs}, V_{\rm bs})$	

### <u>Step 10</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
Extracted I drameters & I fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
CDSCD	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
Fitting Target Exp. Data: Subthreshold	Different <i>L</i> ).
region $I_{\rm ds}(V_{\rm gs}, V_{\rm bs})$	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm bs} = V_{\rm bb}$ at Different $V_{\rm ds}$

### <u>Step 11</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
DWB Fitting Target Exp. Data: Strong Inversion region $I_{ds}(V_{gs}, V_{bs})$	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> & Different <i>L</i> ). $I_{ds}$ vs. $V_{gs}$ @ $V_{ds} = 0.05$ V at Different $V_{bs}$

### <u>Step 12</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
VSAT, A0, AGS, LAMBDA, XN, VTL,	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
LC	Different <i>L</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $I_{sat}(V_{gs}, V_{bs})/W$	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm ds}$ @ $V_{\rm bs} = 0$ V at Different $V_{\rm gs}$
A1, A2 (PMOS Only)	
Fitting Target Exp. Data $V_{dsat}(V_{gs})$	

### <u>Step 13</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
B0, B1	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>L</i> & Different <i>W</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: <i>I</i> <sub>sat</sub> ( <i>V</i> <sub>gs</sub> , <i>V</i> <sub>bs</sub> )/ <i>W</i>	$I_{ds}$ vs. $V_{ds}$ @ $V_{bs} = 0V$ at Different $V_{gs}$

### Parameter Extraction Methodology

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
Extracted I arameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
DWC	One Set of Derivers (Lance and Fined L &
DwG	One set of Devices (Large and Fixed $L \propto$
	D'(() ( U)
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $I_{sat}(V_{os}, V_{bs})/W$	Different W).
	$I_{de}$ vs. $V_{de}$ (a) $V_{be} = ()V$ at Different $V_{ae}$

### <u>Step 14</u>

### <u>Step 15</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
PSCBE1, PSCBE2	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
	Different <i>L</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $R_{out}(V_{gs}, V_{ds})$	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm ds}$ @ $V_{\rm bs} = 0$ V at Different $V_{\rm gs}$

### <u>Step 16</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
PCLM, θ(DROUT, PDIBLC1, PDIBLC2,	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
L), PVAG, FPROUT, DITS, DITSL,	Different L).
DITSD	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm ds}$ @ $V_{\rm bs} = 0$ V at Different $V_{\rm gs}$
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $R_{out}(V_{gs}, V_{ds})$	

<u>Step 17</u>

# Parameter Extraction Methodology

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
DROUT, PDIBLC1, PDIBLC2	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $\theta$ (DROUT,	Different <i>L</i> ).
PDIBLC1, PDIBLC2, $L$ )	$\theta$ (DROUT, PDIBLC1, PDIBLC2, <i>L</i> )

### <u>Step 18</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
PDIBLCB	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
	Different <i>L</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $\theta$ (DROUT,	$I_{ds}$ vs. $V_{gs}$ @ fixed $V_{gs}$ at Different $V_{bs}$
PDIBLC1, PDIBLC2, L, V <sub>bs</sub> )	

### <u>Step 19</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
$\theta_{DIBL}$ (ETA0, ETAB, DSUB, DVTP0,	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &
DVTP1, L)	Different <i>L</i> ).
	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm ds} = V_{\rm dd}$ at Different $V_{\rm bs}$
Fitting Target Exp. Data: Subthreshold	
region $I_{\rm ds}(V_{\rm gs}, V_{\rm bs})$	

<u>Step 20</u>				
Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data			

ETA0, ETAB, DSUB	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> & Different <i>L</i> ).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $\theta_{DIBL}$ (ETA0, ETAB, <i>L</i> )	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm gs}$ @ $V_{\rm ds} = V_{\rm dd}$ at Different $V_{\rm bs}$

### <u>Step 21</u>

Devices & Experimental Data
Devices & Experimental Data
One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed W &
Different L)
$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm ds}$ @ $V_{\rm bs} = V_{\rm bb}$ at Different $V_{\rm gs}$

### <u>Step 22</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data		
ALPHA0, ALPHA1, BETA0	One Set of Devices (Large and Fixed <i>W</i> &		
	Different L).		
Fitting Target Exp. Data: $I_{\rm ii}(V_{\rm gs}, V_{\rm bs})/W$	$I_{\rm ds}$ vs. $V_{\rm ds}$ @ $V_{\rm bs} = V_{\rm bb}$ at Different $V_{\rm ds}$		

### <u>Step 23</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data

ku0, kvsat, tku0, lku0, wku0, pku0, llodku0, wlodku0	Set of Devices (Different L, W, SA, SB).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: <i>Mobility (SA, SB, L, W)</i>	$I_{\rm ds-linear}$ @ $V_{\rm gs} = V_{\rm dd}$ , $V_{\rm ds} = 0.05$

### <u>Step 24</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
	-
kvth0, lkvth0, wkvth0, pvth0, llodvth,	Set of Devices (Different L, W, SA, SB).
wlodvth	
	$V_{\rm th}(SA, SB, L, W)$
Fitting Target Exp. Data: V <sub>th</sub> (SA, SB, L, W)	

### <u>Step 25</u>

Extracted Parameters & Fitting Target Data	Devices & Experimental Data
stk2, lodk2, steta0, lodeta0	Set of Devices (Different L, W, SA, SB).
Fitting Target Exp. Data: <i>k2(SA, SB, L, W)</i> , eta0( <i>SA, SB, L, W</i> )	k2(SA, SB, L, W), eta0(SA, SB, L, W)

# **Appendix A: Complete Parameter List**

# A.1 BSIM4.7.0 Model Selectors/Controller

Donomotor nome		Default		
r arameter name	Description	value	<b>Binnable</b> ?	Note
LEVEL (SPICE3	SPICE3 model selector	14	NA	BSIM4 also
parameter)				set as the
				default
				model in
				SPICE3
VERSION	Model version number	4.7.0	NA	Berkeley
				Latest
				official
				release
BINUNIT	Binning unit selector	1	NA	-
PARAMCHK	Switch for parameter	1	NA	Parameters
	value check			checked
	Mohility model			
MOBMOD	selector	0	NA	-
				If 0,original
	New material model			model is
MTRLMOD	selector	0	NA	used If 1,
	selector			new format
				used
RDSMOD	Bias-dependent			$R_{ds}(V)$
	source/drain resistance	0	<b>N</b> .T. 4	modeled
	model selector	0	NA	internally
				through IV
ICCMOD	Cata ta ahannal			equation
IGCNIOD	tunnaling aurrant	0	ΝIΛ	OFE
	model selector	0	NA	ON
IGBMOD	Gate-to-substrate			
IODINOD	tunneling current	0	NA	OFF
	model selector	0		011
CVCHARGEMOD	Threshold voltage for	6		
	C-Vmodel selector	0	NA	-
CAPMOD	Capacitance model	r	ΝA	
	selector	2		-

RGATEMOD	Gate resistance model	0 (no gate		-
(Also an instance	selector	resistance)		
parameter)				
RBODYMOD	Substrate resistance	0 ( network	NA	-
(Also an instance	network model selector	off)		
parameter)		,		
TRNQSMOD	Transient NQS model	0	NA	OFF
(Also an instance	selector			
parameter)				
ACNQSMOD	AC small-signal NQS	0	NA	OFF
(Also an instance	model selector			
parameter)				
	Flicker noise model			
FNOIMOD	selector	1	NA	-
TNOIMOD	Thermal noise model	0	ΝΔ	
INOLINOD	selector	Ū	1 1 1	-
DIOMOD	Source/drain junction	1	NA	_
21011102	diode IV model	-		
	selector			
TEMPMOD	Temperature mode	0	No	If 0,original
	selector			model is
				used If 1,
				new format
				used
DEDMOD	W/h oth or DC/DD (with or	1 (in aludin a	NT A	
PERMOD	given) includes the	1 (Including	INA	-
	gate-edge perimeter	edge		
	gate-euge permeter	nerimeter)		
GEOMOD (Also	Geometry-dependent	0 (isolated)	NA	-
an instance	parasitics model	(isolutou)		
parameter)	selector - specifying			
<b>F</b>	how the end S/D			
	diffusions are			
	connected			
RGEOMOD	Source/drain diffusion	0 (no S/D	NA	-
(Instance	resistance and contact	diffusion		
parameter only)	model selector -	resistance)		
	specifying the end S/D			
	contact type: point,			
	wide or merged, and			
	how S/D parasitics			
	resistance is computed			
#### BSIM4.7.0 Model Selectors/Controller

WPEMOD	Flag for WPE model (WPEMOD=1 to activate this model	0	NA	-
GIDLMOD	GIDL current model selector	0	NA	-

# **A.2 Process Parameters**

Parameter name	Description	Default value	Binnable?	Note
EPSROX	Gate dielectric constant relative to vacuum	3.9 (SiO <sub>2</sub> )	No	Typically greater than or equal to 3.9
TOXE	Electrical gate equivalent oxide thickness	3.0e-9m	No	Fatal error if not positive
EOT	Equivalent SiO <sub>2</sub> thickness	1.5e-9m	No	Fatal error if not positive
TOXP	Physical gate equivalent oxide thickness	TOXE	No	Fatal error if not positive
ТОХМ	Tox at which parameters are extracted	TOXE	No	Fatal error if not positive
DTOX	Defined as (TOXE-TOXP)	0.0m	No	-
XJ	S/D junction depth	1.5e-7m	Yes	-
GAMMA1 (γ1 in equation)	Body-effect coefficient near the surface	calculated	V <sup>1/2</sup>	Note-1
GAMMA2 (γ2 in equation)	Body-effect coefficient in the bulk	calculated	V <sup>1/2</sup>	Note-1
NDEP	Channel doping concentration at depletion edge for zero body bias	1.7e17cm <sup>-3</sup>	Yes	Note-2
NSUB	Substrate doping concentration	6.0e16cm <sup>-3</sup>	Yes	_
NGATE	Poly Si gate doping concentration	0.0cm <sup>-3</sup>	Yes	-
NSD	Source/drain doping concentration Fatal error if not positive	1.0e20cm <sup>-3</sup>	Yes	-

#### **Process Parameters**

VBX	$V_{bs}$ at which the depletion region width equalsXT	calculated (V)	No	Note-3
XT	Doping depth	1.55e-7m	Yes	-
RSH	Source/drain sheet resistance	0.00hm/ square	No	Should not be negative
RSHG	Gate electrode sheet resistance	0.10hm/ square	No	Should not be negative

# A.3 Basic Model Parameters

Parameter name	Description	Default value	Binnable?	Note
VTH0 or VTHO	Long-channel threshold voltage at $V_{bs}=0$	0.7V (NMOS) -0.7V(PMOS)	Yes	Note-4
DELVTO (Instance parameter only)	Zero bias threshold voltage variation	0.0V	No	
VFB	Flat-band voltage	-1.0V	Yes	Note-4
VDDEOT	Gate voltage at which EOT is measured	1.5V (NMOS) -1.5V(PMOS)	No	-
LEFFEOT	Effective gate length at which EOT is measured	1u	No	
WEFFEOT	Effective width at which EOT is measured	10u	No	
TEMPEOT	Temperature at which EOT is measured	27°C	No	
PHIN	Non-uniform vertical doping effect on surface potential	0.0V	Yes	-
EASUB	Electron affinity of substrate	4.05eV	No	-
EPSRSUB	Dielectric constant of substrate relative to vacuum	11.7	No	-
EPSRSUB	Dielectric constant of gate relative to vacuum	11.7	No	-
NIOSUB	Intrinsic carrier concentration at T=300.15K	1.45e16m <sup>3</sup>	No	-
BG0SUB	Band-gap of substrate at T=0K	1.16eV	No	-
TBGASUB	First parameter of band-gap change due to temperature	7.02e-4eV/K	No	-
TBGBSUB	Second parameter of band- gap change due to temperature	1108.0K	No	-
ADOS	Density of states parameter to control charge centroid	1	No	-

BDOS	Density of states parameter to control charge centroid	1	No	-
K1	First-order body bias coefficient	$0.5 V^{1/2}$	Yes	Note-5
K2	Second-order body bias coefficient	0.0	Yes	Note-5
K3	Narrow width coefficient	80.0	Yes	-
K3B	Body effect coefficient of K3	$0.0 \text{ V}^{-1}$	Yes	-
W0	Narrow width parameter	2.5e-6m	Yes	-
LPE0	Lateral non-uniform doping parameter at $V_{bs}=0$	1.74e-7m	Yes	-
LPEB	Lateral non-uniform doping effect on K1	0.0m	Yes	-
VBM	Maximum applied body bias in VTH0 calculation	-3.0V	Yes	-
DVT0	First coefficient of short- channel effect on $V_{th}$	2.2	Yes	-
DVT1	Second coefficient of short- channel effect on $V_{th}$	0.53	Yes	-
DVT2	Body-bias coefficient of short-channel effect on $V_{th}$	-0.032V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
DVTP0	Coefficient of drain- induced $V_{th}$ shift for long- channel devices with pocket implant	0.0m	Yes	Not modeled if binned DVTP0 <=0.0
DVTP1	Coefficient of drain-induced $V_{th}$ shift for long-channel devices with pocket implant	0.0V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
DVTP2	Coefficient of drain-induced $V_{th}$ shift for long-channeldevices with pocket implant	0.0 V-m <sup>DVTP3</sup>	Yes	-
DVTP3	Coefficient of drain-induced $V_{th}$ shift for long-channeldevices with pocket implant	0.0	Yes	-
DVTP4	Coefficient of drain-induced $V_{th}$ shift for long-channeldevices with pocket implant	0.0 V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-

DVTP5	Coefficient of drain-induced $V_{th}$ shift for long-channel devices with pocket implant	0.0 V	Yes	-
DVT0W	First coefficient of narrow width effect on $V_{th}$ for small channel length	0.0	Yes	-
DVT1W	Second coefficient of narrow width effect on $V_{th}$ for small channel length	5.3e6m <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	_
DVT2W	Body-bias coefficient of narrow width effect for small channel length	-0.032V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	_
U0	Low-field mobility	0.067 m <sup>2</sup> /(Vs) (NMOS); 0.025 m <sup>2</sup> /(Vs) PMOS	Yes	-
UA	Coefficient of first-order mobility degradation due to vertical field	1.0e-9m/V for MOBMOD =0 and 1; 1.0e- 15m/V for MOBMOD =2	Yes	-
UB	Coefficient of secon-order mobility degradation due to vertical field	1.0e-19m <sup>2</sup> / V <sup>2</sup>	Yes	-
UC	Coefficient of mobility degradation due to body- bias effect	$-0.0465V^{-1}$ for MOBMOD=1; $-0.0465e^{-9}$ m/V <sup>2</sup> for MOBMOD =0 and 2	Yes	_
UD	Mobility Coulumb scattering coefficient	0.0m <sup>-2</sup>	Yes	-
UCS	Coulombic scattering exponent	1.67 (NMOS) 1.0 (PMOS)	Yes	-
UP	Mobility channel length coefficient	0(1/m <sup>2</sup> )	Yes	-
LP	Mobility channel length exponential coefficient	1e-8(m)	Yes	-
EU	Exponent for mobility degradation of MOBMOD=2	1.67 NMOS); 1.0 (PMOS)		-
VSAT	Saturation velocity	8.0e4m/s	Yes	-

A0	Coefficient of channel- length dependence of bulk charge effect	1.0	Yes	-
AGS	Coefficient of <i>Vgs</i> dependence of bulk charge effect	0.0V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
B0	Bulk charge effect coefficient for channel width	0.0m	Yes	-
B1	Bulk charge effect width offset	0.0m	Yes	-
KETA	Body-bias coefficient of bulk charge effect	-0.047V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
A1	First non-saturation effect parameter	$0.0 V^{-1}$	Yes	-
A2	Second non-saturation factor	1.0	Yes	-
WINT	Channel-width offset parameter	0.0m	No	-
LINT	Channel-length offset parameter	0.0m	No	-
DWG	Coefficient of gate bias dependence of $W_{eff}$	0.0m/V	Yes	-
DWB	Coefficient of body bias dependence of $W_{eff}$ bias dependence	0.0m/V <sup>1/2</sup>	Yes	-
VOFF	Offset voltage in subthreshold region for large W and L	-0.08V	Yes	-
VOFFL	Channel-length dependence of VOFF	0.0mV	No	-
MINV	$V_{gsteff}$ fitting parameter for moderate inversion condition	0.0	Yes	-
NFACTOR	Subthreshold swing factor	1.0	Yes	-
ETA0	DIBL coefficient in subthreshold region	0.08	Yes	-
ETAB	Body-bias coefficient for the subthreshold DIBL effect	-0.07V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
DSUB	DIBL coefficient exponent in subthreshold region	DROUT	Yes	-

CIT	Interface trap capacitance	$0.0F/m^2$	Yes	-
CDSC	coupling capacitance between source/ drain and channel	$2.4e-4F/m^2$	Yes	-
CDSCB	Body-bias sensitivity of Cdsc	0.0F/(Vm <sup>2</sup> )	Yes	-
CDSCD	Drain-bias sensitivity of CDSC	0.0(F/Vm <sup>2</sup> )	Yes	-
PCLM	Channel length modulation parameter	1.3	Yes	-
PDIBLC1	Parameter for DIBL effect on Rout	0.39	Yes	-
PDIBLC2	Parameter for DIBL effect on Rout	0.0086	Yes	-
PDIBLCB	Body bias coefficient of DIBL effect on Rout	$0.0 V^{-1}$	Yes	-
DROUT	Channel-length dependence of DIBL effect on Rout	0.56	Yes	-
PSCBE1	First substrate current induced body-effect parameter	4.24e8V/m	Yes	-
PSCBE2	Second substrate current induced body-effect parameter	1.0e-5m/V	Yes	-
PVAG	Gate-bias dependence of Early voltage	0.0	Yes	-
DELTA (δ in equation)	Parameter for DC V <sub>dseff</sub>	0.01V	Yes	-
FPROUT	Effect of pocket implant on Rout degradation	0.0V/m <sup>0.5</sup>	Yes	Not modeled if binned FPROUT not positive
PDITS	Impact of drain-induced Vth shift on Rout	0.0V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	Not modeled if binned PDITS=0; Fatal error if binned PDITS negative

PDITSL	Channel-length dependence of drain-induced $V_{th}$ shift for Rout	0.0m <sup>-1</sup>	No	Fatal error if PDITSL negative
PDITSD	$V_{ds}$ dependence of drain- induced $V_{th}$ shift for Rout	$0.0V^{-1}$	Yes	-
LAMBDA	Velocity overshoot coefficient	0.0	Yes	If not given or (<=0.0), velocity overshoot will be turned off
VTL	Thermal velocity	2.05e5[m/s]	Yes	If not given or (<=0.0), source end thermal velocity will be turned off
LC	Velocity back scattering coefficient	0.0[m]	No	5e9[m] at room temperatur e
XN	Velocity back scattering coefficient	3.0	Yes	-

# A.4 Parameters for Asymmetric and Bias-Dependent $R_{ds}$ Model

Parameter		Default value		
name	Description	Delault value	<b>Binnable</b> ?	Note
RDSW	Zero bias LDD resistance per unit width for RDSMOD=0	200.0 ohm(μm)WR	Yes	If negative, reset to 0.0
RDSWMIN	LDD resistance per unit width at high $V_{gs}$ and zero $V_{bs}$ for RDSMOD=0	0.0 ohm(µm)WR	No	-
RDW	Zero bias lightly-doped drain resistance <i>Rd</i> ( <i>V</i> ) per unit width for RDSMOD=1	100.0 ohm(μm)WR	Yes	-
RDWMIN	Lightly-doped drain resistance per unit width at high $V_{gs}$ and zero $V_{bs}$ for RDSMOD=1	0.0 ohm(µm)WR	No	-
RSW	Zero bias lightly-doped source resistance <i>Rs(V)</i> per unit width for RDSMOD=1	100.0 ohm(μm)WR	Yes	-
RSWMIN	Lightly-doped source resistance per unit width at high $V_{gs}$ and zero $V_{bs}$ for RDSMOD=1	0.0 ohm(µm)WR	No	-
PRWG	Gate-bias dependence of LDD resistance	1.0V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
PRWB	Body-bias dependence of LDD resistance	0.0V <sup>-0.5</sup>	Yes	-
WR	Channel-width dependence parameter of LDD resistance	1.0	Yes	-
NRS (instance parameter only)	Number of source diffusion squares	1.0	No	-
NRD (instance parameter only)	Number of drain diffusion squares	1.0	No	-

## **A.5 Impact Ionization Current Model Parameters**

Parameter		Default		
name	Description	value	<b>Binnable?</b>	Note
ALPHA0	First parameter of impact ionization current	0.0m/V	Yes	-
ALPHA1	Channel length scaling parameter of impact ionization current	0.0/V	Yes	-
BETA0	First $V_{ds}$ dependent parameter of impact ionization current	0.0/V	Yes	-

## A.6 Gate-Induced Drain Leakage Model Parameters

Parameter		Default		
name	Description	value	<b>Binnable?</b>	Note
AGIDL	Pre-exponential coefficient for GIDL	0.0mho	Yes	$I_{gidl}=0.0 \text{ if}$ binned AGIDL =0.0
BGIDL	Exponential coefficient for GIDL	2.3e9V/m	Yes	$I_{gidl}=0.0 \text{ if}$ binned BGIDL =0.0
CGIDL	Paramter for body-bias effect on GIDL	$0.5V^3$	Yes	-
EGIDL	Fitting parameter for band bending for GIDL	0.8V	Yes	-
RGIDL	Parameter for GIDL gate bias dependence	1.0	Yes	-
KGIDL	Parameter for GIDL body bias dependence	0 V	Yes	-
FGIDL	Parameter for GIDL body bias dependence	0 V	Yes	-
AGISL	Pre-exponential coefficient for GISL	AGIDL	Yes	$I_{gisl}=0.0 \text{ if}$ binned AGISL =0.0
BGISL	Exponential coefficient for GISL	BGIDL	Yes	$I_{gisl}=0.0 \text{ if}$ binned BGISL =0.0
CGISL	Parameter for body-bias effect on GISL	CGIDL	Yes	-
EGISL	Fitting parameter for band bending for GISL	EGIDL	Yes	-
RGISL	Parameter for GISL gate bias dependence	RGIDL	Yes	-
KGISL	Parameter for GISL body bias dependence	KGIDL	Yes	-
FGISL	Parameter for GISL body bias dependence	FGIDL	Yes	-

# A.7 Gate Dielectric Tunneling Current Model Parameters

Parameter		Default value	D: 11.0	
name	Description		Binnable?	Note
AIGBACC	Parameter for $I_{gb}$ in	9.49e-4 $(Fs^2/g)^{0.5}$	Yes	-
	accumulation	m		
BIGBACC	Parameter for $I_{gb}$ in	$1.71e-3 (Fs^2/g)^{0.5}$	Yes	-
	accumulation	$m^{-1}V^{-1}$		
CIGBACC	Parameter for $I_{gb}$ in	$0.075 V^{-1}$	Yes	-
	accumulation	1.0	N/	<b>F</b> ( 1
NIGBACC	Parameter for $I_{gb}$ in	1.0	Yes	Fatal error
	accumulation			11 binned
AIGBINV	Parameter for <i>L</i> in	$1.11.0 (T ^{2}) 0.5$	Ves	positive
MODIN	inversion	$1.11e-2 (Fs^{-7}g)^{3/2}$	103	_
		m 2 05		
BIGBINV	Parameter for $I_{gb}$ in	$9.49e-4 (Fs^2/g)^{0.5}$	Yes	-
	inversion	m <sup>-1</sup> V <sup>-1</sup>		
CIGBINV	Parameter for $I_{gb}$ in	$0.006 V^{-1}$	Yes	-
	inversion			
EIGBINV	Parameter for $I_{gb}$ in	1.1V	Yes	-
NICRINU	Beremeter for L in	2.0	Vas	Fotal arror
MODINV	inversion	5.0	105	if binned
				value not
				positive
AIGC	Parameter for $I_{gcs}$ and $I_{gcd}$	1.36e-2 (NMOS)	Yes	-
		and 9.8e-3		
		(PMOS)		
		$(Fs^2/g)^{0.5}m^{-1}$		
BIGC	Parameter for $I_{gcs}$ and $I_{gcd}$		Yes	-
		1.71e-3 (NMOS)		
		and 7.59e-4		
		(PMOS)		
		$(Fs^2/g)^{0.5}$		
		$m^{-1}V^{-1}$		

Gate Dielectric Tunneling Current Model Parame	eters
--	-------

CIGC	Parameter for $I_{gcs}$ and $I_{gcd}$	0.075 (NMOS) and 0.03 (PMOS) V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
AIGS	Parameter for <i>I</i> <sub>gs</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} 1.36e-2 \text{ (NMOS)} \\ \text{and } 9.8e-3 \\ \text{(PMOS)} \\ \text{(Fs}^{2}/\text{g})^{0.5}\text{m}^{-1} \end{array}$	Yes	-
BIGS	Parameter for <i>I</i> <sub>gs</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} 1.71\text{e-3 (NMOS)} \\ \text{and } 7.59\text{e-4} \\ (PMOS) \\ (Fs^2/g)^{0.5} \\ m^{-1}V^{-1} \end{array}$	Yes	-
CIGS	Parameter for <i>I</i> <sub>gs</sub>	0.075 (NMOS) and 0.03 (PMOS) V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
DLCIG	Source/drain overlap length for $I_{gs}$	LINT	Yes	-
AIGD	Parameter for <i>I</i> <sub>gd</sub>	1.36e-2 (NMOS) and 9.8e-3 (PMOS) (Fs2/g) <sup>0.5</sup> m <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
BIGD	Parameter for $I_{gd}$	1.71e-3 (NMOS) and 7.59e-4 (PMOS) (Fs2/g) <sup>0.5</sup> m <sup>-1</sup> V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
CIGD	Parameter for <i>I</i> <sub>gd</sub>	0.075 (NMOS) and 0.03 (PMOS) V <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-
DLCIGD	Source/drain overlap length for $I_{gd}$	LINT	Yes	-
NIGC	Parameter for $I_{gcs}$ , $I_{gcd}$ , $I_{gs}$ and $I_{gd}$	1.0	Yes	Fatal error if binned value not positive
POXEDGE	Factor for the gate oxide thickness in source/drain overlap regions	1.0	Yes	Fatal error if binned value not positive

PIGCD	$V_{ds}$ dependence of $I_{gcs}$ and $I_{gcd}$	1.0	Yes	Fatal error if binned value not
				positive
NTOX	Exponent for the gate oxide ratio	1.0	Yes	-
TOXREF	Nominal gate oxide thickness for gate dielectric tunneling current model only	3.0e-9m	No	Fatal error if not positive
VFBSDOFF	Flatband Voltage Offset Parameter	0.0V	Yes	-

**Gate Dielectric Tunneling Current Model Parameters** 

## A.8 Charge and Capacitance Model Parameters

Parameter		Default		
name	Description	value	<b>Binnable</b> ?	Note
XPART	Charge partition parameter	0.0	No	-
CGSO	Non LDD region source-gate	calculated	No	Note-6
	channel width	(F/m)		
CGDO	Non LDD region drain-gate overlap capacitance per unit channel width	calculated (F/m)	No	Note-6
CGBO	Gate-bulk overlap capacitance per unit channel length	0.0	F/m	Note-6
CGSL	Overlap capacitance between gate and lightly-doped source region	0.0F/m	Yes	-
CGDL	Overlap capacitance between gate and lightly-doped source region	0.0F/m	Yes	-
CKAPPAS	Coefficient of bias-dependent overlap capacitance for the source side	0.6V	Yes	-
CKAPPAD	Coefficient of bias-dependent overlap capacitance for the drain side	CKAPPAS	Yes	-
CF	Fringing field capacitance	calculated (F/m)	Yes	Note-7
CLC	Constant term for the short channel model	1.0e-7m	Yes	-
CLE	Exponential term for the short channel model	0.6	Yes	-
DLC	Channel-length offset parameter for CV model	LINT (m)	No	-
DWC	Channel-width offset parameter for CV model	WINT (m)	No	-
VFBCV	Flat-band voltage parameter (for CAPMOD=0 only)	-1.0V	Yes	-
NOFF	CV parameter in $V_{gsteff,CV}$ for weak to strong inversion	1.0	Yes	-
VOFFCV	$CV$ parameter in $V_{gsteff,CV}$ for week to strong inversion	0.0V	Yes	-

### **Charge and Capacitance Model Parameters**

VOFFCVL	Channel-length dependence of VOFFCVL	0.0	Yes	-
MINVCV	$V_{gsteff,CV}$ fitting parameter for moderate inversion condition	0.0	Yes	-
ACDE	Exponential coefficient for charge thickness in CAPMOD=2 for accumulation and depletion regions	1.0m/V	Yes	-
MOIN	Coefficient for the gate-bias dependent surface potential	15.0	Yes	-

# A.9 High-Speed/RF Model Parameters

Parameter		Default	<b>Binnable</b> ?	
name	Description	value		Note
XRCRG1	Parameter for distributed	12.0	Yes	Warning
	channel-resistance effect for			message
	both intrinsic-input resistance			issued if
	and charge-deficit NQS models			binned
				XRCRG1
				<=0.0
XRCRG2	Parameter to account for the	1.0	Yes	-
	excess channel diffusion			
	resistance for both intrinsic			
	input resistance and charge-			
	deficit NQS models			
RBPB (Also	Resistance connected between	50.00hm	No	If less
an instance	bNodePrime and bNode			than
parameter)				1.0e-
				30hm,
				reset to
				1.0e-
	D 11	50.0.1	ŊŢ	30hm
RBPD (Also	Resistance connected between	50.00hm	No	If less
an instance	bNodePrime and dbNode			than
parameter)				1.0e-
				30nm,
				reset to
				1.0e-
DDDS (Also	Pasistance connected between	50.0ohm	No	
Also an instance	hNodePrime and shNode	50.001111	NO	than
an instance	bittoder fille and softode			
				30hm
				reset to
				1.0e-
				30hm
RBDB (Also	Resistance connected between	50.00hm	No	If less
an instance	dbNode and bNode			than
parameter)				1.0e-
- /				30hm,
				reset to
				1.0e-
				30hm

RBSB (Also	Resistance connected between	50.00hm	No	If less
an instance	sbNode and bNode			than
parameter)				1.0e-
-				30hm,
				reset to
				1.0e-
				30hm
GBMIN	Conductance in parallel with	1.0e-	No	Warning
	each of the five substrate	12mho		message
	resistances to avoid potential			issued if
	numerical instability due to			less than
	unreasonably too large a			1.0e-20
	substrate resistance			mho
RBPS0	Scaling prefactor for RBPS	50 Ohms	No	
RBPSL	Length Scaling parameter for RBPS	0.0	No	
RBPSW	Width Scaling parameter for RBPS	0.0	No	
RBPSNF	Number of fingers Scaling	0.0	No	
	parameter for RBPS	<b>7</b> 0.01		
RBPD0	Scaling prefactor for RBPD	50 Ohms	No	
RBPDL	Length Scaling parameter for RBPD	0.0	No	
RBPDW	Width Scaling parameter for RBPD	0.0	No	
RBPDNF	Number of fingers Scaling parameter for RBPD	0.0	No	
RBPBX0	Scaling prefactor for RBPBX	100 Ohms	No	
RBPBXL	Length Scaling parameter forRBPBX	0.0	No	
RBPBXW	Width Scaling parameter for RBPBX	0.0	No	
RBPBXNF	Number of fingers Scaling parameter for RBPBX	0.0	No	
RBPBY0	Scaling prefactor for RBPBY	100 Ohms	No	
RBPBYL	Length Scaling parameter forRBPBY	0.0	No	
RBPBYW	Width Scaling parameter for RBPBY	0.0	No	
RBPBYNF	Number of fingers Scaling parameter for RBPBY	0.0	No	
RBSBX0	Scaling prefactor for RBSBX	100 Ohms	No	
RBSBY0	Scaling prefactor for RBSBY	100 Ohms	No	
RBDBX0	Scaling prefactor for RBDBX	100 Ohms	No	

### **High-Speed/RF Model Parameters**

RBDBY0	Scaling prefactor for RBDBY	100 Ohms	No	
RBSDBXL	Length Scaling parameter for RBSBX and RBDBX	0.0	No	
RBSDBXW	Width Scaling parameter for RBSBX and RBDBX	0.0	No	
RBSDBXNF	Number of fingers Scaling parameter for RBSBX and RBDBX	0.0	No	
RBSDBYL	Length Scaling parameter for RBSBY and RBDBY	0.0	No	
RBSDBYW	Width Scaling parameter for RBSBY and RBDBY	0.0	No	
RBSDBYNF	Number of fingers Scaling parameter for RBSBY and RBDBY	0.0	No	

### A.10 Flicker and Thermal Noise Model Parameters

		Default		
Parameter	Description	value	<b>Binnable?</b>	Note
name				
NOIA	Flicker noise parameter A	6.25e41	No	-
		$(eV)^{-1}s^{1-}$		
		<sup>m</sup> m <sup>o</sup> for		
		$\frac{188}{6}$		
		$(eV)^{-1}s^{1-}$		
		$^{\text{EF}}\text{m}^{-3}$ for		
		PMOS		
NOIB	Flicker noise parameter B	3.125e26	No	-
		$(eV)^{-1}s^{1-}$		
		<sup>LT</sup> m <sup>-1</sup> for		
		$1.5 \circ 25$		
		$(eV)^{-1}s^{1-}$		
		$^{\text{EF}}\text{m}^{-1}$ for		
		PMOS		
NOIC	Flicker noise parameter C	$8.75 (eV)^{-1}$	No	-
		$^{1}s^{1-EF}m$		
EM	Saturation field	4 1e7V/m	No	
		4.10/ 1.0	NO	-
AF	Flicker noise exponent	1.0	No	-
EF	Flicker noise frequency	1.0	No	-
KF	Flicker noise coefficient	0 0 A2-EF 1-	No	_
	There hoise coefficient	0.0 s EFF	110	
	Longth Daduction Doromaton		No	
LINTNOI	Offset	0.0 m	INO	-
NTNOI	Noise factor for short-channel	1.0	No	-
	devices for TNOIMOD=0 only			
TNOIA	Coefficient of channel-length	1.5	No	-
	thermal noise			
TNOIB	Channel-length dependence	3.5	No	
intoil	parameter for channel thermal	5.5	110	
	noise partitioning			
TNOIC	Length dependent parameter	0	No	-
	for Correlation Coefficient			
RNOIA	Thermal Noise Coefficient	0.577	No	-

#### Flicker and Thermal Noise Model Parameters

RNOIB	Thermal Noise Coefficient	0.5164	No	-
RNOIC	Correlation Coefficient parameter	0.395	No	-

## A.11 Layout-Dependent Parasitic Model Parameters

Parameter		Default	<b>Binnable</b> ?	
name	Description	value		Note
DMCG	Distance from S/D contact	0.0m	No	-
	center to the gate edge			
DMCI	Distance from S/D contact	DMCG	No	-
	center to the isolation edge in			
	the channel-length direction			
DMDG	Same as DMCG but for merged	0.0m	No	-
	device only			
DMCGT	DMCG of test structures	0.0m	No	-
NF (instance	Number of device fingers	1	No	Fatal
parameter				error if
only)				less than
				one
DWJ	Offset of the S/D junction	DWC (in	No	-
	width	CVmodel)		
MIN	Whether to minimize the	0	No	-
(instance	number of drain or source	(minimize		
parameter	diffusions for even-number	the drain		
only)	fingered device	diffusion		
		number)		
XGW(Also	Distance from the gate contact	0.0m	No	-
an instance	to the channel edge			
parameter)				
XGL	Offset of the gate length due to	0.0m	No	-
	variations in patterning			
XL	Channel length offset due to	0.0m	No	-
	mask/ etch effect			
XW	Channel width offset due to	0.0m	No	-
	mask/etch effect			
NGCON(Also	Number of gate contacts	1	No	Fatal
an instance				error if
parameter)				less than
				one; if
				not equal
				to 1 or 2,
				warning
				message
				issued
				and reset
				to 1

Parameter name (separate for source and drain side as indicated in the names) IJTHSREV IJTHDREV	<b>Description</b> Limiting current in reverse bias region	Default value IJTHSREV =0.1A IJTHDREV =IJTHSREV	Binnable? No	Note If not positive, reset to 0.1A
IJTHSFWD IJTHDFWD	Limiting current in forward bias region	IJTHSFWD =0.1A IJTHDFWD =IJTHSFWD	No	If not positive, reset to 0.1A
XJBVS XJBVD	Fitting parameter for diode breakdown	XJBVS=1.0 XJBVD =XJBVS	No	Note-8
BVS BVD	Breakdown voltage	BVS=10.0V BVD=BVS	No	If not positive, reset to 10.0V
JSS JSD	Bottom junction reverse saturation current density	JSS= 1.0e- 4A/m2 JSD=JSS	No	_
JSWS JSWD	Isolation-edge sidewall reverse saturation current density	JSWS =0.0A/m JSWD =JSWS	No	-

## A.12 Asymmetric Source/Drain Junction Diode Model Parameters

### Asymmetric Source/Drain Junction Diode Model Parameters

JSWGS JSWGD	Gate-edge sidewall reverse saturation current density	JSWGS =0.0A/m JSWGD =JSWGS	No	_
JTSS JTSD	Bottom trap-assisted saturation current density	JTSS =0.0A/m JTSD=JTSS	No	-
JTSSWS JTSSWD	STI sidewall trap-assisted saturation current density	JTSSWS =0.0A/m2 JTSSWD =JTSSWS	No	-
JTSSWGS JTSSWGD	Gate-edge sidewall trap- assisted saturation current density	JTSSWGS =0.0A/m JTSSWGD =JTSSWGS	No	-
JTWEFF	Trap-assistant tunneling current density width dependence	0.0	No	-
NJTS NJTSD	Non-ideality factor for JTSS and JTSD	NJTS=20.0 NJTSD =NJTS	No	-
NJTSSW NJTSSWD	Non-ideality factor for JTSSWS and JTSSWD	NJTSSW =20.0 NJTSSWD =NJTSSW	No	-
NJTSSWG NJTSSWGD	Non-ideality factor forJTSSWGS and JTSSWGD	NJTSSWG =20.0 NJTSSWGD =NJTSSWG	No	-

### Asymmetric Source/Drain Junction Diode Model Parameters

XTSS, XTSD	Power dependence of JTSS,	XTSS=0.02	No	-
	JISD on temperature	X15D=0.02		
XTSSWS, XTSSWD	Power dependence of JTSSWS, JTSSWD on temperature	XTSSWS =0.02 XTSSWD =0.02	No	-
XTSSWGS, XTSSWGD	Power dependence of JTSSWGS, JTSSWGD on temperature	XTSSWGS =0.02 XTSSWGD =0.02	No	-
VTSS VTSD	Bottom trap-assisted voltage dependent parameter	VTSS=10V VTSD =VTSS	No	-
VTSSWS VTSSWD	STI sidewall trap-assisted voltage dependent parameter	VTSSWS =10V VTSSWD =VTSSWS	No	_
VTSSWGS VTSSWGD	Gate-edge sidewall trap- assisted voltage dependent parameter	VTSSWGS =10V VTSSWGD =VTSSWGS	No	-
TNJTS TNJTSD	Temperature coefficient for NJTS and NJTSD	TNJTS=0.0 TNJTSD =TNJTS	No	-
TNJTSSW TNJTSSWD	Temperature coefficient for NJTSSW and NJTSSWD	TNJTSSW= 0.0 TNJTSSWD =TNJTSSW	No	-
TNJTSSWG TNJTSSWGD	Temperature coefficient for NJTSSWG and NJTSSWG	TNJTSSWG =0.0 TNJTSSWGD = TNJTSSWG	No	-

### Asymmetric Source/Drain Junction Diode Model Parameters

CJS CJD	Bottom junction capacitance per unit area at zero bias	CJS=5.0e-4 F/m <sup>2</sup> CJD=CJS	No	_
MJS MJD	Bottom junction capacitance grating coefficient	MJS=0.5 MJD=MJS	No	-
MJSWS MJSWD	Isolation-edge sidewall junction capacitance grading coefficient	MJSWS =0.33 MJSWD =MJSWS	No	-
CJSWS CJSWD	Isolation-edge sidewall junction capacitance per unit area	CJSWS= 5.0e-10 F/m CJSWD =CJSWS	No	-
CJSWGS CJSWGD	Gate-edge sidewall junction capacitance per unit length	CJSWGS =CJSWS CJSWGD =CJSWS	No	_
MJSWGS MJSWGD	Gate-edge sidewall junction capacitance grading coefficient	MJSWGS =MJSWS MJSWGD =MJSWS	No	-
РВ	Bottom junction built-in potential	PBS=1.0V PBD=PBS	No	-
PBSWS PBSWD	Isolation-edge sidewall junction built-in potential	PBSWS =1.0V PBSWD =PBSWS	No	-
PBSWGS PBSWGD	Gate-edge sidewall junction built-in potential	PBSWGS =PBSWS PBSWGD =PBSWS	No	-

# A.13 Temperature Dependence Parameters

Parameter name	Description	Default value	Binnable?	Note
TNOM	Temperature at which parameters are extracted	27°C	No	-
UTE	Mobility temperature exponent	-1.5	Yes	-
UCSTE	Coulombic mobility	-4.775e-3	Yes	-
KT1	Temperature coefficient for threshold voltage	-0.11V	Yes	-
KT1L	Channel length dependence of the temperature coefficient for threshold voltage	0.0Vm	Yes	-
KT2	Body-bias coefficient of $V_{th}$ temperature effect	0.022	Yes	-
UA1	Temperature coefficient for UA	1.0e-9m/V	Yes	-
UB1	Temperature coefficient for UB	-1.0e-18 (m/V) <sup>2</sup>	Yes	-
UC1	Temperature coefficient for UC	$\begin{array}{c} 0.056V\text{-}1 \text{ for} \\ \text{MOBMOD=1;} \\ 0.056e\text{-}9m\text{/} V^2 \\ \text{for} \\ \text{MOBMOD=0} \\ \text{and } 2 \end{array}$	Yes	_
UD1	Temperature coefficient for UD	$0.0(1/m)^2$	Yes	-
AT	Temperature coefficient for saturation velocity	3.3e4m/s	Yes	-
PRT	Temperature coefficient for Rdsw	0.0ohm-m	Yes	-
NJS, NJD	Emission coefficients of junction for source and drain junctions, respectively	NJS=1.0; NJD=NJS	No	-

XTIS, XTID	Junction current temperature	XTIS=3.0; XTID=XTIS	No	-
	junctions, respectively	MID-MIS		
ТРВ	Temperature coefficient of PB	0.0V/K	No	-
TPBSW	Temperature coefficient of PBSW	0.0V/K	No	-
TPBSWG	Temperature coefficient of PBSWG	0.0V/K	No	-
TCJ	Temperature coefficient of CJ	$0.0 \text{K}^{-1}$	No	-
TCJSW	Temperature coefficient of CJSW	$0.0 \text{K}^{-1}$	No	-
TCJSWG	Temperature coefficient of CJSWG	$0.0 { m K}^{-1}$	No	-
TVOFF	Temperature coefficient of VOFF	$0.0 \text{K}^{-1}$	No	-
TVFBSDOFF	Temperature coefficient of VFBSDOFF	0.0K <sup>-1</sup>	No	-
TNFACTOR	Temperature coefficient of NFACTOR	0.0	Yes	-
TETA0	Temperature coefficient of ETA0	0.0	Yes	-
TVOFFCV	Temperature coefficient of VOFFCV	0.0 K <sup>-1</sup>	Yes	-

# A.14 Stress Effect Model Parameters

Parameter name	Description	Default value	Binnable?	Note
SA (Instance Parameter)	Distance between OD edge to Poly from one side	0.0		If not given or(<=0), stress effect will be turned off
SB (Instance Parameter)	Distance between OD edge to Poly from other side	0.0		If not given or(<=0), stress effect will be turned off
SD (Instance Parameter)	Distance between neighbouring fingers	0.0		For NF>1 :If not given or(<=0), stress effect will be turned off
SAref	Reference distance between OD and edge to poly of one side	1E-06[m]	No	>0.0
SBref	Reference distance between OD and edge to poly of the other side	1E-06[m]	No	>0.0
WLOD	Width parameter for stress effect	0.0[m]	No	-
KU0	Mobility degradation/enhancement coefficient for stress effect	0.0[m]	No	-

[				
KVSAT	Saturation velocity degradation/ enhancement parameter for	0.0[m]	No	- 1<=kvsat
				<-1
TKU0	Temperature coefficient of KU0	0.0	No	-
LKU0	Length dependence of ku0	0.0	No	-
WKU0	Width dependence of ku0	0.0	No	-
PKU0	Cross-term dependence of ku0	0.0	No	-
LLODKU0	Length parameter for u0 stress effect	0.0	No	>0
WLODKU0	Width parameter for u0 stress effect	0.0	No	>0
KVTH0	Threshold shift parameter for stress effect	0.0[Vm]	No	-
LKVTH0	Length dependence of kvth0	0.0	No	-
WKVTH0	Width dependence of kvth0	0.0	No	-
PKVTH0	Cross-term dependence of kvth0	0.0	No	-
LLODVTH	Length parameter for $V_{th}$ stress effect	0.0	No	>0
WLODVTH	Width parameter for $V_{th}$ stress effect	0.0	No	>0
STK2	K2 shift factor related to Vth0 change	0.0[m]	No	
LODK2	K2 shift modification factor for stress effect	1.0	No	>0
STETA0	eta0 shift factor related to Vth0 change	0.0[m]	No	
LODETA0	eta0 shift modification factor for stress effect	1.0	No	>0

# A.15 Well-Proximity Effect Model Parameters

Parameter name	Description	Default value	Binnable?	Note
SCA (Instance Parameter)	Integral of the first distribution function for scattered well dopant	0.0	no	If not given , calculated
SCB (Instance Parameter)	Integral of the second distribution function for scattered well dopant	0.0	no	If not given , calculated
SCC (Instance Parameter)	Integral of the third distribution function for scattered well dopant	0.0	no	If not given , calculated
SC (Instance Parameter)	Distance to a single well edge	0.0[m]	no	If not given or <=0.0, turn off WPE
WEB	Coefficient for SCB	0.0	No	>0.0
WEC	Coefficient for SCC	0.0	No	>0.0
KVTH0WE	Threshold shift factor for well proximity effect	0.0	Yes	-
K2WE	K2 shift factor for well proximity effect	0.0	Yes	-
KU0WE	Mobility degradation factor for well proximity effect	0.0	Yes	-
SCREF	Reference distance to calculate SCA, SCB and SCC	1e-6[m]	No	>0

# A.16 dW and dL Parameters

Parameter	Description	Defeult rome	Dinnahla?	Nata
name	Description	Default name	Binnable:	Note
WL	Coefficient of length dependence for width offset	0.0mWLN	No	-
WLN	Power of length dependence of width offset	1.0	No	-
WW	Coefficient of width dependence for width offset	0.0mWWN	No	-
WWN	Power of width dependence of width offset	1.0	No	-
WWL	Coefficient of length and width cross term dependence for width offset	0.0 mWWN+WLN	No	-
LL	Coefficient of length dependence for length offset	0.0mLLN	No	-
LLN	Power of length dependence for length offset	1.0	No	-
LW	Coefficient of width dependence for length offset	0.0mLWN	No	-
LWN	Power of width dependence for length offset	1.0	No	-
LWL	Coefficient of length and width cross term dependence for length offset	0.0 mLWN+LLN	No	-
LLC	Coefficient of length dependence for CV channel length offset	LL	No	-
LWC	Coefficient of width dependence for CV channel length offset	LW	No	-
LWLC	Coefficient of length and width cross-term dependence for CV channel length offset	LWL	No	-
WLC	Coefficient of length dependence for CV channel width offset	WL	No	-

WWC	Coefficient of width dependence for CV channel width offset	WW	No	-
WWLC	Coefficient of length and width cross-term dependence for CV channel width offset	WWL	No	-

# A.17 Range Parameters for Model Application

Parameter name	Description	Default value	Binnable?	Note
LMIN	Minimum channel length	0.0m	No	-
LMAX	Maximum channel length	1.0m	No	-
WMIN	Minimum channel width	0.0m	No	-
WMAX	Maximum channel width	1.0m	No	-

### A.18 Notes 1-8

**Note-1:** If  $\gamma_1$  is not given, it is calculated by

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2q\varepsilon_{si}NDEP}}{C_{oxe}}$$

If  $\gamma_2$  is not given, it is calculated by

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2q\varepsilon_{si}NSUB}}{C_{oxe}}$$

**Note-2:** If *NDEP* is not given and  $\gamma_1$  is given, *NDEP* is calculated from

$$NDEP = \frac{\gamma_1^2 C_{oxe}^2}{2q\varepsilon_{si}}$$

If both  $\gamma_1$  and *NDEP* are not given, *NDEP* defaults to 1.7e17cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $\gamma_1$  is calculated from *NDEP*.

Note-3: If VBX is not given, it is calculated by

$$\frac{qNDEP \cdot XT^2}{2\varepsilon_{si}} = \Phi_s - VBX$$

Note-4: If VTH0 is not given, it is calculated by

 $VTH0 = VFB + \Phi_s + K1\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bs}}$ 

where VFB = -1.0. If VTH0 is given, VFB defaults to

$$VFB = VTH0 - \Phi_s - K1\sqrt{\Phi_s - V_{bs}}$$

Note-5: If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are not given, they are calculated by

$$K1 = \gamma_2 - 2K2\sqrt{\Phi_s - VBM}$$
$$K2 = \frac{(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)(\sqrt{\Phi_s - VBX} - \sqrt{\Phi_s})}{2\sqrt{\Phi_s}(\sqrt{\Phi_s - VBM} - \sqrt{\Phi_s}) + VBM}$$
Note-6: If CGSO is not given, it is calculated by

If (*DLC* is given and > 0.0)

$$CGSO = DLC \cdot C_{ove} - CGSL$$

if (*CGSO* < 0.0), *CGSO* = 0.0

Else

 $CGSO = 0.6 \cdot XJ \cdot C_{ore}$ 

If CGBO is not given, it is calculated by

 $CGBO = 2 \cdot DWC \cdot C_{oxe}$ 

Note-8:

For *dioMod* = 0, if *XJBVS* < 0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

For *dioMod* = 2, if *XJBVS* <=0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

For *dioMod* = 0, if *XJBVD* < 0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

For *dioMod* = 2, if *XJBVD* <=0.0, it is reset to 1.0.

## **Appendix B: Core Parameters**

Parameter		Default		
name	Description	value	<b>Binnable</b> ?	Note
TOXE	Electrical gate equivalent oxide	3.0e-9m	No	Fatal
	thickness			error if
				not
				positive
TOXP	Physical gate equivalent oxide	TOXE	No	Fatal
	thickness			error if
				not
DEON				positive
DIOX	Defined as (TOXE-TOXP)	0.0m	No	-
XJ	S/D junction depth	1.5e-7m	Yes	-
NDEP	Channel doping concentration	1.7e17cm <sup>-3</sup>	Yes	Note-2
	at depletion edge for zero body			
	bias	0.71	<b>T</b> 7	
VTH0 or	Long-channel threshold voltage	0.7V	Yes	Note-4
VIHO	at $V_{bs}=0$	(NMOS)		
		(PMOS)		
	First-order body bias	$0.5V^{1/2}$		
K1	coefficient	0.5 V	Yes	Note-5
	Second-order body bias			
K2	coefficient	0.0	Yes	Note-5
LPE0	Lateral non-uniform doping	1.74e-7m	Yes	_
	parameter at $V_{bs}=0$			
DVT0	First coefficient of short-	2.2	Yes	-
	channel effect on $V_{th}$			
DVT1	Second coefficient of short-	0.53	Yes	-
	channel effect on $V_{th}$			
U0	Low-field mobility	0.067	Yes	-
		$m^{2}/(Vs)$		
		(NMOS):		
		0.025		
		$m^2/(Vs)$		
		PMOS		
VSAT	Saturation velocity	8.0e4m/s	Yes	-

## **Appendix C: References**

- [1] Y. C. King, H. Fujioka, S. Kamohara, K. Chen, and Chenming Hu, "DC electrical oxide thickness model for quantization of the inversion layer in MOSFETs", *Semicond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 13, pp. 963-966, 1998.
- [2] Weidong Liu, Xiaodong Jin, Yachin King, and Chenming Hu, "An efficient and accurate compact model for thin-oxide-MOSFET intrinsic capacitance considering the finite charge layer thickness", *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-46, May, 1999.
- [3] Kanyu M. Cao, Weidong Liu, Xiaodong Jin, Karthik Vasanth, Keith Green, John Krick, Tom Vrotsos, and Chenming Hu, "Modeling of pocket implanted MOSFETs for anomalous analog behavior," *Tech. Dig. of IEDM*, pp. 171-174, 1999.
- [4] Z.H. Liu, C. Hu, J.H. Huang, T.Y. Chan, M.C. Jeng, P.K. Ko, and Y.C. Cheng, "Threshold Voltage Model For Deep-Submicrometer MOSFETs," *IEEE Tran. Electron Devices*, vol. 40, pp. 86-95, 1993.
- [5] J.A. Greenfield and R.W. Dutton, "Nonplanar VLSI Device Analysis Using the Solution of Poisson's Equation," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-27, p.1520, 1980.
- [6] H. S. Lee, "An Analysis of the Threshold Voltage for Short-Channel IGFET's," *Solid-State Electronics*, vol.16, p.1407, 1973.
- [7] Yuhua Cheng and Chenming Hu, "MOSFET Modeling & BSIM3 User's Guide," Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [8] C. Hu, S. Tam, F.C. Hsu, P.K. Ko, T.Y. Chan and K.W. Kyle, "Hot-Electron Induced MOSFET Degradation - Model, Monitor, Improvement," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 32, pp. 375-385, 1985.
- [9] T. Y. Chen, J. Chen, P. K. Ko, C. Hu, "The impact of gate-induced drain leakage current on MOSFET scaling," *Tech. Digest of IEDM*, pp. 718-721, 1987.
- [10] S. A. Parke, E. Moon, H-J. Wenn, P. K. Ko, and C. Hu, "Design for suppression of gate-induced drain leakage in LDD MOSFETs using a quasi 2D analytical model," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp 1694-1703, 1992.
- [11] Weidong Liu, Xiaodong Jin, J. Chen, M. Jeng, Z. Liu, Y. Cheng, K. Chen, M. Chan, K. Hui, J. Huang, R. Tu, P. Ko, and Chenming Hu, "BSIM3v3.2 MOSFET Model and Users' Manual," http://www-device.eecs.berkeley.edu/~bsim3.
- [12] Xiaodong Jin, J-J Ou, C-H Chen, Weidong Liu, Paul Gray, and Chenming Hu, "An effective gate resistance model for CMOS RF and noise modeling," *Tech. Dig. of IEDM*, pp. 961-964, 1998.
- [13] Mansun Chan, K. Hui, R. Neff, C. Hu, P. Ko, "A Relaxation time Approach to Model the Non-Quasi-Static Transient Effects in MOSFETs," *Tech. Dig. of IEDM*, pp. 169-172, 1994.

- [14] K.K. Hung, P. Ko, C. Hu, and Y. C. Cheng, "A Physics-Based MOSFET Noise Model for Circuit Simulators," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 1323-1333, 1990.
- [15] Weidong Liu, Kanyu M. Cao, Xiaodong Jin, and Chenming Hu, "BSIM4.0.0 Technical Notes," http:// www-device.eecs.berkeley.edu/~bsim3/bsim4.html.
- [16] R.A.Bianchi, G.Bouche and O.Roux-dit-Buisson, "Accurate Modeling of Trench Isolation Induced Mechanical Stress Effect on MOSFET Electrical Performance," IEDM 2002, pp. 117-120.
- [17] Hook, T.B.; Brown, J.; Cottrell, P.; Adler, E.; Hoyniak, D.; Johnson, J.; Mann, R., "Lateral ion implant straggle and mask proximity effect", *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, Volume 50, no 9, pp 1946-1951, Sept. 2003
- [18] Yi-Ming Sheu, Ke-Wei Su, Sheng-Jier Yang, Hsien-Te Chen, Chih-Chiang Wang, Ming-Jer Chen, and Sally Liu, "Modeling Well Edge Proximity Effect on Highly-Scaled MOSFETs", CICC 2005
- [19] CMC Website : http://www.eigroup.org/cmc

[20] A.J. Scholten, R. van Langevelde, L.F. Tiemeijer, and D.B.M. Klaassen, "Compact Modeling of Noise in CMOS", CICC 2006